# Research article

# **Open Access**

# The transcriptional programme of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium reveals a key role for tryptophan metabolism in biofilms

Shea Hamilton<sup>1,2,6</sup>, Roy JM Bongaerts<sup>1</sup>, Francis Mulholland<sup>1</sup>, Brett Cochrane<sup>4,6</sup>, Jonathan Porter<sup>3</sup>, Sacha Lucchini<sup>1</sup>, Hilary M Lappin-Scott<sup>2</sup> and Jay CD Hinton<sup>\*1,5</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Institute of Food Research, Norwich Research Park, Colney, Norwich, NR4 7UA, UK, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4PS, UK, <sup>3</sup>National Laboratory Service, Starcross Laboratory, Staplake Mount, Starcross, EX6 8PE, UK, <sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO16 7PX, UK, <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Genetics & Microbiology, Moyne Institute of Preventive Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland and <sup>6</sup>Shea Hamilton, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, London, W2 1PG, UK; Brett Cochrane, Unilever SEAC, Colworth Science Park, Sharnbrook, Bedfordshire, MK44 1LQ, UK

E-mail: Shea Hamilton - s.hamilton@imperial.ac.uk; Roy JM Bongaerts - roy.bongaerts@bbsrc.ac.uk; Francis Mulholland - francis.mulholland@bbsrc.ac.uk; Brett Cochrane - Brett.Cochrane@unilever.com; Jonathan Porter - jonathan.porter@environment-agency.gov.uk; Sacha Lucchini - sacha.lucchini@bbsrc.ac.uk; Hilary M Lappin-Scott - h.m.lappin-scott@exeter.ac.uk; Jay CD Hinton\* - jay.hinton@tcd.ie \*Corresponding author

Published: 11 December 2009

BMC Genomics 2009, 10:599 doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-599

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/10/599

© 2009 Hamilton et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 20 February 2009 Accepted: 11 December 2009

### Abstract

**Background:** Biofilm formation enhances the capacity of pathogenic Salmonella bacteria to survive stresses that are commonly encountered within food processing and during host infection. The persistence of Salmonella within the food chain has become a major health concern, as biofilms can serve as a reservoir for the contamination of food products. While the molecular mechanisms required for the survival of bacteria on surfaces are not fully understood, transcriptional studies of other bacteria have demonstrated that biofilm growth triggers the expression of specific sets of genes, compared with planktonic cells. Until now, most gene expression studies of Salmonella have focused on the effect of infection-relevant stressors on virulence or the comparison of mutant and wild-type bacteria. However little is known about the physiological responses taking place inside a Salmonella biofilm.

**Results:** We have determined the transcriptomic and proteomic profiles of biofilms of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. We discovered that 124 detectable proteins were differentially expressed in the biofilm compared with planktonic cells, and that 10% of the S. Typhimurium genome (433 genes) showed a 2-fold or more change in the biofilm compared with planktonic cells. The genes that were significantly up-regulated implicated certain cellular processes in biofilm development including amino acid metabolism, cell motility, global regulation and tolerance to stress. We found that the most highly down-regulated genes in the biofilm were located on <u>Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 2</u> (SPI2), and that a functional SPI2 secretion system regulator (ssrA) was required for S. Typhimurium biofilm formation. We identified STM0341 as a gene of unknown function that was needed for biofilm growth. Genes involved in tryptophan (trp) biosynthesis and transport were up-regulated in the biofilm. Deletion of trpE led to decreased bacterial attachment and this biofilm defect was restored by exogenous tryptophan or indole.

**Conclusions:** Biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium causes distinct changes in gene and protein expression. Our results show that aromatic amino acids make an important contribution to biofilm formation and reveal a link between SPI2 expression and surface-associated growth in S. Typhimurium.

# Background

The ability to survive and overcome stress allows nontyphoidal *Salmonella* pathogens to be isolated from a diverse range of environments. Specific serovars of *S. enterica*, including *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, are of particular concern to medicine and industry because they cause a significant proportion of foodborne disease worldwide. There has been a controversial suggestion that infection by *Salmonella* may subsequently cause an increase in mortality for up to one year [1], and it is clear that we need to improve our understanding of the behaviour of this pathogen.

Experiments that tracked the spread of Salmonella from the farm and within food processing facilities have provided a direct link between bacterial biofilms and the contamination of the resulting food products [2-4]. Surface-associated growth, termed biofilm growth, has been shown to promote the survival of Salmonella when exposed to limited nutrient availability, heat, acidic pH, low temperatures and antimicrobials [5-9]. Salmonella cells attach and grow on a variety of abiotic and biotic surfaces, and remain viable for many weeks. Indeed, the number of outbreaks of salmonellosis caused by microbial growth on the surfaces of raw fruits and vegetables has increased dramatically in recent years [10-13]. This type of persistence of Salmonella in the food chain has become a major health concern, because the detachment of viable cells from a biofilm can cause subsequent contamination of foods during processing.

The profound consequences of biofilm formation in both nature and disease have led to increased efforts to define the characteristics that make biofilm cells physiologically distinct from planktonic (freely suspended) cells, and to identify the properties of microorganisms during surface-associated growth. Cells within a biofilm are heterogeneous, can grow at different rates, and resist antimicrobial treatments [14-16]. Additionally, clusters of biofilm cells are typically encased in a bacterialderived extracellular matrix that is thought to provide adhesion and strength, as well as act as a physical barrier against the diffusion of antimicrobials [17,18]. S. Typhimurium biofilms can form on abiotic surfaces (e.g. glass, polystyrene, stainless steel) and biotic surfaces (e.g. human epithelial cells or gallstones); in many strains, the bacterial cells are associated with an extracellular matrix composed of curli (thin aggregative fimbriae) and cellulose [19-24]. Recent work has shown that strains exhibiting the rdar (red, dry and rough) morphotype, expressing curli and cellulose, are involved in colonisation but do not contribute to the persistence of *Salmonella* on food processing surfaces [25]. *S.* Typhimurium also displays a swarming phenotype, a specialised motility that enables hyperflagellated bacteria to efficiently colonise surfaces and requires a combination of the chemotaxis, LPS synthesis, type III secretion and iron metabolism systems [26,27].

Biofilms have previously been studied with transcriptomic, proteomic and in vivo expression technologybased approaches for bacterial species, such as E. coli and Pseudomonas [28-30]. A large number of genes or proteins that are differentially regulated during biofilm development have been identified. Few studies have focused on the global response of Salmonella under environmental conditions relevant to food processing, where bacteria may encounter hydrodynamic stress and nutrient limitation [31]. Two studies have used a proteomic approach to identify S. Enteritidis proteins that are differentially regulated during biofilm growth in response to disinfectant and to different fluid flow rates [9,32]. However, the physiological and regulatory processes involved in the growth and persistence of Salmonella biofilms remain unclear. We have used a combination of physiological, transcriptomic and proteomic approaches to address this problem in S. Typhimurium.

# Results

### Growth of Salmonella biofilms

The biofilm phenotype of *Salmonella* isolates is known to vary significantly depending on the strain, nutrient source, temperature and other factors [23,33-35]. Because *Salmonella* can encounter hydrodynamic environments at several stages in food processing [32], we assessed the biofilm forming capacity of *S*. Typhimurium SL1344 on glass in a flowing system. We found that SL1344 produced substantially thicker biofilms in Colonising Factor Antigen (CFA) medium at 25°C, when compared to growth at 37°C, or growth in rich nutrient media (Luria Broth, LB), Brain Heart Infusion or M9 minimal media supplemented with glucose, sucrose

or glycerol (data not shown). CFA medium has been previously shown to promote biofilm growth of *S*. Typhimurium [36].

SL1344 biofilms were then grown in a modified batch system for 72 h (Additional file 1) using silicone rubber tubing as a substratum for growth, as this surface permitted the isolation of sufficient quantities of mature biofilm and planktonic cells for proteomic and transcriptomic analyses (see Methods). The tubing was positioned vertically to avoid the isolation of bacterial aggregates following sedimentation. The pH of the medium effluent showed no detectable change (pH 7.0  $\pm$  0.2) throughout the experiment. Influent samples were collected aseptically throughout the experiment showing that the number of cells increased from  $1 \times 10^{6}$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> (T = 0) to  $5 \times 10^{8}$  CFA ml<sup>-1</sup> (T = 72 h). No pellicle formation was observed in the influent flask during the 72 h experiment. To determine if the cells within a mature 72 h biofilm were metabolically active, an analogous biofilm grown in a glass flow cell was stained with Live/Dead BacLight. Images captured at ten random fields of view along the glass surface confirmed that more than 95% of the bacterial cells were alive after 72 h of growth (data not shown). Taken together, these experiments established that biofilms of SL1344 continued to accumulate biomass on glass for at least 72 h, and that the majority of these cells were viable.

### The global gene expression profile of Salmonella biofilm

The transcriptome of mature *S*. Typhimurium biofilm (72 h) was compared to its planktonic counterpart (72 h) in three independent biological experiments. Capillary gel electrophoresis was used to confirm that the RNA obtained from the 72 h biofilm and planktonic samples was of good quality prior to labelling (data not shown). The transcriptomic data from the three biological replicates were statistically filtered and data are only presented for genes that showed significant changes in every replicate.

The transcriptomic data showed that a total of 560 genes were differentially expressed (P < 0.01) in biofilms compared with 72 h planktonic cultures, and 433 of these genes (10% of the S. Typhimurium genome) displayed more than a 2-fold change in expression. These included 229 genes that were up-regulated in biofilm (Additional file 2) and 204 down-regulated genes (Additional file 3). We noted that almost half of these genes were of hypothetical or unknown function. All differentially expressed genes were catalogued according to functional categories and were predominantly involved in cell motility, amino acid metabolism, stress response, outer membrane function and virulence (Figure 1). Figure 2A shows a subset of up-regulated genes that correspond to cellular processes previously implicated in biofilm growth (e.g. cell surface structures, motility, global regulation and oxygen availability) and Figure 2B shows a subset of genes specific to Type III secretion. The complete transcriptomic data sets are presented in Additional files 2 and 3, and the key findings are discussed below.

In E. coli, cell surface structures such as fimbriae have been shown to be required for the initial colonisation of abiotic and biotic surfaces and the establishment of wellestablished biofilms [37-40]. In mature biofilms of S. Typhimurium, several genes required for bacterial attachment and motility were up-regulated, including csgB and csgA that encode the curlin fimbrial subunits (Figure 2A). The extracellular matrix of S. Typhimurium biofilms is composed of curli, along with cellulose, colanic acid and other polymers, depending on nutrient availability [24]. Under the conditions used in this study, biofilm cells showed increased expression of one gene, bcsE, required for cellulose biosynthesis. Genes involved in flagellar biosynthesis, assembly and regulation were up-regulated by up to 7-fold (Figure 2B). Genes required for motility and chemotaxis were also up-regulated in S. Typhimurium biofilms, including motAB, cheA, cheY, cheR (Figure 2A) and tsr. Other cell-surface associated genes that were highly expressed in the biofilm included those encoding the major outer membrane protein OmpX (Figure 2A), a regulator of LPS O-chain length WzzB, the OM lipoprotein Blc, the membrane bound lipid phosphotase PgpB and 13 genes of hypothetical cell envelope function.

Gradients in oxygen concentration have been experimentally demonstrated within biofilm clusters [41]. Consistent with this, several genes that sense or respond to oxygen availability were up-regulated in flowing biofilm, including aer (Figure 2A) and fnr [42]. cyo genes encode the cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase subunits of the aerobic respiratory chain, and are regulated by the master flagellar regulator [43]. The cyo genes were more highly expressed in the biofilm suggesting that the environment of the biofilm was aerobic. Furthermore, several genes known to be repressed by aerobiosis were down-regulated in the biofilm, including genes of the fumarate (fum, frd), hydrogenase 2 (hyb), cytochrome o (cyd) and dicarboxvlate (*dcu*) operons (Additional file 3). While biofilms are reported to be spatially heterogeneous in terms of oxygen levels, our results are consistent with some penetration of oxygen into cell clusters in a flowing environment, as suggested by Xu et al., [44]. This may reflect the high oxygen permeability of the silicone surface used for growing our biofilms [45].



### Figure I

Whole genome expression profiling of S. Typhimurium SL1344 flowing biofilms compared to planktonic cells when grown in CFA at 25°C for 72 h. Expression changes of genes belonging to functional groups and pathogenicity islands (numbers in parenthesis refer to the genes assigned to each functional group from the genome of S. Typhimurium LT2). The bars show the percentage of genes belonging to each group that were altered for expression > 2-fold between planktonic and flowing biofilm cells. The blue bars indicate the proportion of genes that are down-regulated and the red bars represent the proportion of up-regulated genes for each group during biofilm growth (P < 0.01).

The precise growth phase of bacteria within the interior of a biofilm cell cluster has not been established, although several groups have reported slow growth rates, presumably due to nutrient limitation [16,46]. Specific operons involved in translation (i.e. *rps*, *rpl*, *rpm*) were down-regulated in biofilms of *S*. Typhimurium when compared to planktonic cells, suggesting that slower growth occurred in the attached population (Additional file 3). Transcriptomic comparisons with planktonic cells that were isolated at earlier time points



### Figure 2

**Biofilm-regulated S. Typhimurium gene expression.** (A) A subset of genes up-regulated in the biofilm that encode cell surface structures, motility, global regulation, and oxygen diffusion. Representative examples of the functional categories (Figure 1) are shown. (B) Type III secretion genes. Transcriptomic data from the flowing biofilm system (72 h) was normalised to the planktonic samples and values are shown as fold change on a logarithmic scale (e.g. value of 10 on the Y-axis corresponds to 10-fold up-regulated). All genes were significant at P < 0.01(\* denotes that the csgA gene was significant at P < 0.05).

of the experiment (i.e. 6 and 24 h), indicated that mature biofilm cells were most similar to a stationary phase planktonic population (data not shown). This observation is consistent with findings from studies in *E. coli* where biofilms cells have similarities to bacteria in stationary phase [37,40].

Global gene regulators respond to environmental conditions, including nutrient limitation, oxygen availability and osmotic stress, and control a wide range of adaptive physiological and regulatory circuits. Several genes that encode global gene regulators were upregulated in flowing biofilms of *S*. Typhimurium, including *csrA* (Figure 2A) and *ihfA*, confirming previous studies in well-established biofilms of *Salmonella* or *E. coli* [47,48]. Other regulatory genes that respond to starvation conditions, including *rpoH*, *cbpA* and *phoH*, were up-regulated during biofilm growth (Additional file 2). RpoS ( $\sigma^{38}$ ), the sigma factor that activates genes under growth arresting conditions, was highly expressed in both biofilm and planktonic populations. We observed that more than 25% of the *S*. Typhimurium RpoS regulon [49] was up-regulated in biofilm cells (Additional file 2).

Following three days of growth, biofilms of *S*. Typhimurium showed up-regulation of genes that respond to oxidative stress (*lexA*, *msrA*, *soda*, *sodC*, *gloA*), heat shock (*clpA*, *clpX*), DNA replication and repair (*recA*, *mug*, *phrB*), cell envelope stress (*pspB*) and a putative stressrelated gene (*yicC*) when compared to planktonic cells. A possible role for MsrA, RecA, PspB and the cytoplasmic Clp protease in biofilm development of Gram-negative species has been previously reported [37,50]. At least 19 genes that were up-regulated during biofilm growth of *S*. Typhimurium have a role in the osmotic stress and acid tolerance responses of *E. coli* [51], including *treF*, *talA*, *poxB*, *osmCY*, *himA*, *dps* and *aceB* (Additional file 2).

Amino acid synthesis is energetically expensive for the cell but essential for protein production, nitrogen transfer and osmotic protection [52]. We identified genes involved in alanine (dad) and glutamine/glutamate (gln, gltL, astE, nadE, STM1795) metabolism and transport that were more highly expressed in the biofilm (Additional file 2). We were intrigued to discover that the biosynthetic genes of the trp operon were overexpressed in well-established biofilms of S. Typhimurium (Table 1). These genes are required from the initial steps of tryptophan synthesis (i.e. from chorismate to indole) to the transfer of indole to tryptophan [53]. Additionally, there was a strong biofilm-dependent induction of *mtr*, a tryptophan-specific transporter (Figure 2A). Recent studies reported the induction of tryptophan biosynthesis genes during early biofilm formation in E. coli, followed by repression of this operon at later time points [38,40].

Expression profiles indicated that virulence genes located within <u>Salmonella pathogenicity island 1</u> (SPI1) and the serotype-specific S. Typhimurium plasmid (pSLT) were not differentially expressed during biofilm growth. However, more than 30 genes belonging to the *ssr, ssa* and *sse* operons of SPI2, were down-regulated by up to 100-fold in the biofilm (Figure 2B; Additional file 3); SPI2 encodes a type III secretion system that is required for intracellular survival in host phagocytes [54]. This prompted us to monitor the level of SPI2 expression observed in our CFA media-based experiments at 25°C compared with growth in LB at a higher temperature (data not shown). Surprisingly, our transcriptomic data showed that SPI2 was expressed at a significantly higher

Gene name	Description	Identifier	Fold-induction	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>
trþD	Anthranilate synthase, component II	STM1724	5	3E-03
trpC	Tryptophan biosynthesis protein TRPCF, bifunctional	STM1725	11	4E-04
trþB	Tryptophan synthase beta protein	STM1726	8	6E-05
trþA	Tryptophan synthase alpha chain	STM1727	7	7E-05
trþS2	Putative tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase	STM4508	2	6E-04
trpR	Transcriptional regulator for tryptophan operon and aroH	STM4583	3	3E-04

Table I: Expression levels of S. Typhimurium tryptophan biosynthetic genes during biofilm growth under flowing conditions

a. Genes which passed the statistical filtering (P < 0.01). Expression values are expressed as fold-induction comparing expression in biofilm cells to planktonic cells.

level in planktonic CFA cultures grown at 25°C than at mid-log phase in LB at 37°C. This suggests that the CFA media contains a factor that induces SPI2 expression, even at this low temperature (data not shown). The only SPI2 gene observed to be up-regulated in biofilm was *orf319*, which encodes a putative transmembrane protein of unknown function and does not contribute to S. Typhimurium virulence (Figure 2B) [55]. In addition, *sopB*, a SPI5-encoded phosphatase required for invasion of epithelial cells [56], was up-regulated in *Salmonella* biofilm.

# Surface-associated growth of S. Typhimurium leads to changes in protein expression

To expand our analysis from the transcriptomic to the proteomic level, and to identify biofilm-regulated proteins, total protein extracts from biofilm and planktonic populations of S. Typhimurium were compared using 2-D PAGE. Samples from the same flowing biofilm system were used for the proteomic and the transcriptomic experiments. A representative example of the biofilm and planktonic proteome with over 600 detected proteins spots per gel is shown in Figure 3AB. Direct comparison of protein spots showed that the levels of at least 250 proteins remained similar in both populations. However, the expression of 124 proteins was altered (> 2-fold), with the expression of 59 proteins increasing and 65 proteins decreasing during surface-associated growth compared to planktonic culture. At least 175 proteins were only detected in the biofilm samples, and not in planktonic culture.

# Identification of differentially regulated and unique proteins

Fifty protein spots that showed differential expression between biofilm and planktonic gels, as well as spots detected as unique to either mode of growth (Figure 3AB), were selected and analysed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS). These spots were specifically chosen as they were abundant and clearly separated from other spots, to facilitate unambiguously identification by MS. Forty-four proteins were successfully identified, and we discovered that the majority of proteins that were unique



### Figure 3

Biofilm-regulated protein expression. SYPRO® Ruby stained 2-D gels of total protein extracts from flowing biofilm (A) and planktonic (B) cells of S. Typhimurium grown in CFA medium at 25°C for 72 h. Spots circled in red were excised from the gels and identified by mass spectrometry and peptide mass fingerprinting. (C) Magnification of 2-D gels comparing expression of FlgK (I), DppA (II), and YggE (III), which were all more highly expressed in the biofilm cells than in planktonic cells. (D) Western immunoblot of total protein extracts of mature S. Typhimurium biofilm (B) and planktonic (P) cells both grown in CFA medium for 72 h. Protein extracts were separated on a denaturing SDS-PAGE gel and probed with anti-FliC monoclonal antibody. Densitometric analysis showed that FliC was 3.4 fold induced in the biofilm compared with planktonic cells.

or highly expressed in the biofilm corresponded to the same functional groups identified by transcriptomic analyses. These included proteins involved in cell motility, amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism, as well as proteins of unassigned function (Additional file 4). In fact, 45% of the 24 up-regulated proteins corresponded to genes identified as differentially expressed at the transcriptional level (Table 2). A common theme emerged relating to cell motility, with

Protein <sup>a</sup>	Function	Average fold expression in the biofilm compared to planktonic (n = 2)
DppA	ABC superfamily dipeptide transport protein	+ 10.7
TreA	Periplasmic trehalase	Unique to biofilm
GalE	UDP-galactose-4-epimerase	+ 2.9
YggE	Putative periplasmic immunogenic protein	+ 3.7
YciF	Putative cytoplasmic protein	Unique to biofilm
YajQ	Putative cytoplasmic protein	Unique to biofilm
FlgL	Flagella hook-filament junction protein (HAP3)	+ 44.0
ladA	Putative isoaspartyl dipeptidase	Unique to planktonic
FliD	Flagellar filament cap protein (HAP2)	Unique to biofilm
FlgK	Flagellar hook-filament junction protein (HAPI)	+ 3.3
AnsB	Periplasmic L-asparaginase II protein	+ 2.9
ArgT	ABC superfamily; lysine/arginine/orthinine tranport protein	+ 4.9

Table 2: Biofilm-regulated proteins that show similar trends in proteomic and transcriptomic analysis

a. Proteins identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and peptide mass fingerprinting (Mascot). Proteins up-regulated in the biofilm that show the same pattern of expression at the transcriptional level (Additional files 2 and 3).

the up-regulation of three external flagellar proteins FlgK (Figure 3C), FlgL and FliD (also known as HAP1, HAP3, and HAP2) that are involved in the later stages of flagellar assembly. FlgK and FlgL were up-regulated in the biofilm compared to planktonic growth and FliD was only detected in the biofilm samples. Our transcriptomic analysis showed an increase in the expression of FliC in the biofilm, but this protein was not identified in the 2-D analysis. To confirm the biofilm-dependent regulation of proteins involved in late flagellar assembly, we performed a Western blot. As shown in Figure 3D, FliC protein was 3.4-fold more abundant in biofilm cells when compared with a planktonic culture.

Two periplasmic transport proteins were up-regulated in biofilm, namely DppA (10-fold; Figure 3C), a dipeptidebinding protein and ArgT (5-fold), which transports arginine, lysine and orthinine. Proteomic analysis of *S*. Typhimurium biofilm cells also showed differential expression of AnsB, TreA and GalE which are involved in asparagine metabolism, trehalose degradation and the conversion of UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose (Additional file 4). The remaining proteins that were unique or more highly expressed during biofilm growth were of unknown function (Additional file 4). The putative periplasmic protein YggE, which is highly conserved in Enterobacteriaceae and has been shown to possess immunogenic properties in *Edwardsiella ictaluri* [57], was also more abundant (3.7-fold) during biofilm growth (Figure 3C).

### Targeted deletion of biofilm-regulated genes

To investigate the function of genes shown to be differentially expressed in S. Typhimurium biofilm, eight targeted gene deletions were constructed (Additional file 5AB). Genes that showed a biofilm-specific pattern of expression were selected for chromosomal mutation, including *mtr*, *yhfG*, *ybaY* and genes of the *trp* operon (Additional file 6). Disruption of trpE, which encodes anthranilate synthase component I, was chosen because four genes of the tryptophan operon were highly up-regulated during biofilm growth and the product of *trpE* catalyses the first reaction of the tryptophan pathway with TrpD (Table 1). Moreover, TrpE is the most important enzyme of this pathway from a regulatory point of view, as it is subject to feedback inhibition by tryptophan [53]. The other four genes were selected on the basis that they were they most highly expressed (ygaT) or repressed (STM0341, STM2779) in the biofilm, or because they were previously implicated in biofilms of E. coli (pspABCDEF) [37]. To test whether the chromosomal deletions altered the fitness of the strains, the growth patterns of each mutant were analysed over 24 h in CFA and LB medium. No significant differences were observed in the planktonic growth rate of each mutant compared to the SL1344 parental strain (Additional file 7).

To determine whether the deletion of these genes altered biofilm formation, each strain was tested for its ability to attach to polystyrene in a static biofilm assay. As shown in Figure 4A, the  $\Delta trpE$  mutant formed significantly less biofilm (P = 0.0001) than the parental strain after 24 h, showing that anthranilate synthase is required for biofilm formation. Similarly, biofilm growth of  $\Delta$ STM0341 was significantly reduced (P = 0.001). None of the other mutations affected growth of biofilms.

To determine whether the  $\Delta trpE$  mutant also had a biofilm defect on a hydrophilic glass surface, biofilm



### Figure 4

(A) Static biofilm formation of eight targeted gene deletion mutants, compared to attachment of WT SLI344. Following incubation at 25°C for 24 h in CFA medium, the level of biofilm formation is expressed as a percentage of WT SLI344 which had an  $A_{590\ nm}$  of 0.56  $\pm$ 0.04 in this experiment. The  $\Delta trpE$  (JH3185) (\* P = 0.0001) and  $\Delta$ STM0341 (JH3187) (\* P = 0.001) mutants showed significantly less attachment to polystyrene than WT SL1344. The mean absorbance values from four wells are shown as a percentage of WT SLI344 and the error bars represent the SD between four technical replicates (n = 3). (B) The attachment of the  $\Delta trpE$  (black diamonds) mutant (JH3185) to the bottom surface of a glass flow cell compared with S. Typhimurium SLI344 (back circles). Bacteria were cultured at 25°C in CFA medium in a glass flow cell. Error bars represent the standard deviation between 6 images captured along the length of the flow cell over 24 h (n = 3). formation was compared to WT SL1344 in a oncethrough glass flow cell system. The attachment of  $\Delta trpE$ was monitored by light microscopy for 24 h and these results confirmed that the mutant formed reduced levels of biofilm (P < 0.01; Figure 4B; n = 3). Although  $\Delta trpE$ cells did transiently attach and form small microcolonies on glass, they failed to grow and to form thick cell clusters on the flow cell surface, resulting in 3-fold less of the surface being covered with biofilm compared with the WT strain (Figure 4B). The biofilm forming capacity of the  $\Delta$ STM0341 mutant was also reduced on glass when compared to WT SL1344 in one independent experiment (data not shown).

# Inactivation of ssrA and rpoS alters biofilm formation in S. Typhimurium

Our transcriptomic analysis revealed that genes of the SPI2 type 3 secretion system were differentially expressed (i.e. down-regulated up to 100-fold) during biofilm growth. SPI2 repression is activated by the two-component regulatory systems SsrAB and PhoP/Q and in response to high levels of phosphate and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, however this repression during biofilm growth has not been previously reported [58-61]. To determine the effect of SPI2 gene expression on Salmonella biofilm formation, the ability of a  $\Delta ssrA$  deletion mutant to attach to polystyrene was compared to WT SL1344. The same  $\Delta ssrA$  strain has been used to identify the SsrA regulon of S. Typhimurium [62]. A *Dorf319* deletion mutant was also tested as this was the only SPI2-associated gene that was up-regulated in the biofilm. No significant differences were observed in the planktonic growth rates of  $\Delta ssrA$  or  $\Delta orf319$  compared to the SL1344 parental strain (Additional file 7). Deletion of ssrA caused more than a 40% decrease in attachment after 24 h and 48 h of growth, and this was restored to WT levels by complementation with a low copy plasmid encoding ssrAB (Figure 5A). The  $\Delta orf319$  mutant did not show a significant defect in biofilm formation. To further examine the effect of SPI2 expression and its impact on biofilm formation, we over-expressed ssrAB from an arabinose-inducible plasmid (Figure 5B). These results showed that increased SPI2 expression significantly reduced biofilm formation in S. Typhimurium.

Transcriptomic comparison of biofilm and planktonic cells showed that *rpoS* was highly expressed in both populations, and that several RpoS-activated genes showed biofilm-specific patterns of expression. To determine the impact of RpoS expression on biofilm growth, we tested the fitness of a  $\Delta rpoS$  mutant compared to WT SL1344 and its ability to colonise polystyrene (Additional file 7, Figure 5A). We found that inactivation of *rpoS* significantly reduced the ability of *S*. Typhimurium to form a biofilm (P < 0.0001).



### Figure 5

**Static biofilm formations.** (A) Static biofilm formation of SPI2 and *rpoS* deletion mutants. Following incubation at 25°C for 48 h in CFA medium, the level of biofilm formation is expressed as a percentage of WT SL1344 which had an  $A_{590 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.15 ± 0.02 in this experiment. The  $\Delta$ ssrA (JH3180) and  $\Delta$ rpoS (JH3142) mutants showed significantly less attachment to polystrene than WT SL1344 after 24 h (data not shown) and 48 h of growth (\* P = 0.001-0.02). Complementation of  $\Delta$ ssrA with a low copy plasmid encoding ssrAB (JH3181) restored the ability of this mutant to form WT biofilm after 48 h (\* P = 0.002). The mean absorbance values from four wells are shown as a percentage of WT SL1344 and the error bars represent the SD between 4 technical replicates (n = 2). (B) Static biofilm formation of SL1344 over-expressing SsrAB (p1437-1) in CFA medium (+ 0.1% L-arabinose where indicated) for 24 h at 25°C. The level of biofilm formation is expressed as a percentage of WT SL1344, which had an  $A_{590 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.14 ± 0.01 in this experiment. The induction of SsrAB expression by an arabinose-inducible promoter significantly inhibited attachment (\* P = 0.000007) when compared to WT SL1344. No significant difference in attachment was observed in the control strain over-expressing SsrAB in the reverse orientation (p1437-6). The mean absorbance values from six wells are shown and the error bars represent the SD between 4 technical replicates.

# Phenotypic characteristics of S. Typhimurium deletion mutants

The biofilm-forming capacity of *S*. Typhimurium strains has been linked to the expression of curli and cellulose production [21]. Likewise, the ability of E. coli to colonise and grow on surfaces has been shown to require flagella and RpoS [46,63]. Because of the importance of these cellular functions for biofilm formation, we examined whether the mutant strains showed alterations in swimming motility, RpoS activity or the ability to bind calcofluor and congo red (CR) dye, compared with the WT strain (Table 3). All deletion mutants showed the same pattern of flagellar-mediated motility as WT SL1344, indicating that the reduced biofilm formation observed in  $\Delta trpE$ ,  $\Delta$ STM0341 or  $\Delta ssrA$ did not reflect a motility defect. When RpoS activity was examined indirectly by assessment of KatE-encoded catalase production, all of the mutants showed the same RpoS-positive phenotype as the WT SL1344 strain.

Calcofluor has previously been used to detect celluloseproducing strains of *S*. Typhimurium as this dye binds polysaccharides with 1,4 $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl units and fluoresces under UV light [24] To assess cellulose production, we grew each strain on CFA Calcofluor agar at 25 and 37°C and compared them to WT SL1344 and the cellulose-deficient *rpoS* mutant [64]. Six of the strains that were positive for biofilm formation on CFA (i.e.  $\Delta$ STM2779,  $\Delta$ ygaT,  $\Delta$ ybaY,  $\Delta$ yhfG,  $\Delta$ mtr and  $\Delta$ pspABC-DEF) fluoresced brightly at 25 °C. In contrast, three of the mutants ( $\Delta$ STM0341,  $\Delta$ trpE, and  $\Delta$ ssrA) that exhibited impaired levels of biofilm formation showed lower levels of calcofluor binding at 25 °C, suggesting reduced levels of cellulose production (Table 3). The  $\Delta$ orf319 mutant also showed lower levels of binding to calcofluor but was not impaired in biofilm formation. Experiments to monitor cellulose production at 37 °C showed that  $\Delta$ ssrA,  $\Delta$ ssrA/pssrAB and  $\Delta$ orf319 fluoresced more brightly than any other strain, suggesting a temperature-dependent production of cellulose in these SPI2 deletion strains.

Co-expression of genes specific to EPS, curli and cellulose production results in the rdar colony morphotype in *S*. Typhimurium [64]. This morphotype is characterised by the binding of CR dye and the formation of red, dry and rugose spreading colonies. In strains not expressing curli and cellulose, a conventional smooth white colony is observed. We analysed the appearance of each strain on CFA-CR agar at 25° and 37°C compared to strains positive (*S*. Typhimurium LT2) and negative ( $\Delta rpoS$ ) for the rdar morphotype [64,65]. Incubation at 25°C resulted in SL1344 and most of the mutants producing the rdar morphotype (Table 3). Three strains ( $\Delta trpE$ ,  $\Delta STM2779$ ,  $\Delta ssrA/pssrAB$ ) showed altered morphology (i.e. smooth dark red

Strain	Genotype	Biofilm Capacity <sup>a</sup>	Motility	RpoS activity <sup>b</sup>	Calcofluo	<sup>c</sup> binding <sup>c</sup>		EPS	produc	tion
			-		25°C	37°C	25°C <sup>d</sup>	Morphology	37°C <sup>d</sup>	Morphology
SL1344	wild-type	+	+	+	L	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3185	ΔtrpE	—	+	+	L	L	DR	S	R	R/S
JH3187	ΔSTM0341	—	+	+	L	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3180	∆ssrA	—	+	+	L	н	DR	R	DR	R
JH3181	∆ssrA/pssrAB	+	+	+	L	н	DR	S	DR	S
JH3179	∆ORF319	+	+	+	L	н	DR	R	DR	R
JH3182	∆STM2779	+	+	+	Н	L	DR	S	DR	R
JH3183	∆ygaT	+	+	+	Н	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3184	ΔybaY	+	+	+	н	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3186	ΔyhfG	+	+	+	н	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3188	Δmtr	+	+	+	н	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3189	ΔpspABCDEF	+	+	+	Н	L	DR	R	DR	R
JH3142	∆rpoS	—	+	—	—	—	W	R	W	S

Table 3: Phenotypic characteristics of deletion mutants and WT strain tested for motility, RpoS activity, calcofluor binding and changes in extracellular matrix

a. Biofilm formation is based on attachment under static conditions after 24 and 48 h of growth in CFA medium at 25°C. Symbols: (+) = WT biofilm growth; (-) = severely reduced attachment.

b. RpoS activity as defined by catalase activity in the semi-quantitative assay as described by Zambrano et al., [106].

c. Calcofluor binding was assessed following growth of SL1344 and mutant strains at 25 and 37°C on CFA agar supplemented with fluorescent

brightener 28. Fluorescence levels are reported as low (L) or high (H) as compared to a cellulose-deficient rpoS mutant (-).

d. Colony colour was reported as dark red (DR), red (R), or white (W) with dark red indicating WT EPS production. Colony morphology was assessed as rough (R) or smooth (S) following overnight growth on CFA agar supplemented with CR dye at 25 and 37°C. Colony morphology of WT SL1344 and mutant strains were compared to strain positive (S. Typhimurium LT2) and negative ( $\Delta rpoS$ ) for the rdar morphotype.

colonies) at 25°C. However, the smooth phenotype disappeared when  $\Delta trpE$  and  $\Delta STM2779$  were grown on CR at 37°C. These results indicate that chromosomal deletion of *trpE*, STM2779 (and *rpoS*) conferred temperature-dependent changes in EPS production, which were only apparent at 25°C. We noted that the  $\Delta trpE$  and  $\Delta rpoS$  mutants showed a reduced ability to colonise polystyrene at 25°C, the same temperature at which a smooth or white colony phenotype was produced.

Previous work showed that the *tnaA* gene, encoding for tryptophanase, was required for *E. coli* biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces and human pneumocytes, and speculated that TnaA may modulate pH changes during attachment [66]. Since the *tnaA* gene is not present in *S*. Typhimurium, we investigated whether the  $\Delta trpE$  and  $\Delta$ STM0341 mutants, which showed reduced colonisation of abiotic surfaces and cellulose production, also showed altered levels of adherence or invasion of epithelial cells. We found that the  $\Delta$ STM0341 mutant was 9-times less adherent and 4-times less invasive than its parental strain (P < 0.01; data not shown), however *Salmonella* derivatives lacking the *trpE* gene did not show a significant difference in adherence and invasion compared to WT SL1344.

# A role for aromatic amino acids in Salmonella biofilm growth

Our data showed that inactivation of the tryptophan biosynthetic pathway altered the biofilm-forming capacity and EPS production by *S*. Typhimurium. To investigate the impact of amino acid availability on

surface attachment, SL1344 was grown in CFA broth supplemented with different concentrations of aromatic and non-aromatic amino acids. Biofilm formation was determined after 12, 24 and 48 h of growth and compared to non-supplemented medium. Casamino acids, the main constituent of CFA medium, have been used in nutritional studies to determine bacterial growth requirements for peptides and amino acids [67]. Supplementation with non-aromatic amino acids did not alter the attachment of S. Typhimurium to polystyrene (data not shown), whilst a significant increase in biofilm formation occurred in the presence of aromatic amino acids (Figure 6). This positive effect was noted even after 12 h of incubation, where the addition of all aromatic compounds, apart from tryptophan, significantly increased the number of adherent cells from 10 to 15-fold when compared with non-supplemented medium (P < 0.005). Similar positive effects on biofilm formation were observed after 24 h, with the greatest increase noted in wells containing indole (P < 0.001). Interestingly, supplementation with tryptophan had significant effects on surface associated growth at the later stages of biofilm development (i.e. 48 h). The addition of all aromatic amino acids significantly enhanced biofilm formation after 48 h of incubation, compared with non-supplemented CFA (P < 0.005).

# Tryptophan and indole rescue the biofilm defect of the $\Delta$ trpE mutant

To determine whether the phenotypes associated with the  $\Delta trpE$  mutation were the direct result of cells being



#### Figure 6

The effect of aromatic amino acids on static biofilm formation of wild-type S. Typhimurium. CFA medium was supplemented with increasing concentrations of aromatic amino acids. The level of biofilm formation after 12, 24 and 48 h of growth at 25°C is expressed as a percentage of the biofilm formed after unsupplemented growth in CFA at 48 h, which had an  $A_{590 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.15 ± 0.02 in this experiment. The mean absorbance values from four wells are shown and the error bars represent the SD between 4 technical replicates.

unable to synthesise or take up sufficient tryptophan or indole, the effect of these aromatic compounds on biofilm formation by  $\Delta trpE$  was investigated (Figure 7). Again, biofilm formation by the  $\Delta trpE$  strain was significantly reduced, when compared to SL1344 (P = 0.0001). The addition of tryptophan to the  $\Delta trpE$  mutant significantly increased biofilm formation, compared to growth in CFA alone (P = 0.01). In fact, the addition of 0.1 mM tryptophan completely restored the ability of the  $\Delta trpE$  strain to form a WT level of biofilm. Biofilm development by the  $\Delta trpE$  strain was significantly higher after supplementation with indole, compared to growth in CFA alone (P = 0.008). The highest concentration of indole (i.e. 0.1 mM) completely restored the ability of the  $\Delta trpE$  strain to form a biofilm on polystyrene. These findings are strikingly different to the situation for *E. coli* where exogenous indole reduces biofilm formation [68].

### Discussion

It is clear that the ability of *S*. Typhimurium to grow as a biofilm on foods and processing surfaces represents an important survival strategy [2,3,13,69,70]. We found that both nutrients and temperature had a profound effect on the attachment of *S*. Typhimurium SL1344. In



### Figure 7

**Tryptophan biosynthesis is required for biofilm formation**. The effect of the *trpE* mutation and addition of tryptophan (0.01 mM, 0.1 mM) and indole (0.01 mM, 0.1 mM) on static biofilm growth of  $\Delta trpE$  (JH3185) was determined after 24 h of growth in CFA broth at 25°C. The addition of tryptophan and indole significantly (\*P = 0.02-0.0003) increased biofilm formation when compared to  $\Delta trpE$  grown in CFA alone. The mean absorbance values from four wells are shown and the error bars represent the SD between 4 technical replicates. this study biofilms were grown at 25°C, resulting in extensive colonisation of abiotic surfaces. Our finding that 95% of cells remain viable within established sessile communities of *S*. Typhimurium confirms that biofilms represent a potential reservoir for infection.

We used transcriptomic and proteomic approaches to analyze the changes that occur in S. Typhimurium in response to biofilm growth in the type of low nutrient, hydrodynamic environment that can be found during the processing of chickens [2,3,69]. Transcriptomic analysis revealed the differential expression (i.e. more than a 2-fold change) of about 10% of S. Typhimurium genes during biofilm growth. The 229 genes that were significantly up-regulated during biofilm growth included several genes previously implicated in biofilm development of S. Typhimurium, including curli, cellulose and genes required for motility [23,24,71], confirming the link between gene expression and gene function in our experiment. Although the value of whole genome studies for the comparison of heterogeneous biofilms has been a subject of debate, transcriptomic approaches have provided great insight into E. coli biofilm biology [72]. Prior to undertaking this study, the technical and biological reproducibility of a microarray-based approach for comparison of biofilm and planktonic S. Typhimurium cells was shown to be robust [73].

Previous transcriptomic studies in other bacterial species showed that biofilm growth results in differential regulation of between 1% to 14.5% of the bacterial genome. While genetic variation between the organisms studied thus far may account for a proportion of these differences, we believe that the wide disparities between the proportions of biofilm-regulated genes reflect different experimental approaches. For example, cells were harvested at different times from different growth media and dissimilar model systems were used to cultivate the biofilm cells. Such technical differences greatly impact upon gene expression, and therefore the identification of biofilm-regulated genes, complicating the comparison of transcriptomic data between studies [74]. A critique of the experimental approaches used to study biofilms has recently been presented [75].

Proteomic analysis identified 24 proteins that were upregulated in the biofilm, and 45% of these corresponded to genes that were differentially expressed at the transcriptional level. Proteins required for late flagellar assembly were up-regulated in biofilms, when compared to planktonic cells. Proteomic profiling of *P. putida* identified the same pattern of up-regulation of flagellar genes within mature biofilms [76]. In *S.* Enteritidis, thirty-two proteins were identified that showed differential expression in biofilms when compared to planktonic cultures [32], including down-regulation of flagellar proteins and different patterns of expression of ArgT and Crr which are at variance with our study. The differing planktonic populations used for comparison may explain these conflicting reports [29]. It remains unclear whether flagella expression is limited to initial surface attachment or if it allows bacteria to move in and around the biofilm cell clusters and colonise new areas during the later stages of biofilm development [77].

Unlike the proteomic approaches, transcriptomic studies have given several consistent messages; four studies comparing transcriptomic profiles of biofilm and planktonic-grown *E. coli* reported that biofilm growth leads to the up-regulation of genes involved in cell surface structures, amino acid metabolism, stress responses and anaerobic respiration [37-40]. Our data suggest that mature biofilms of *S.* Typhimurium and *E. coli* involve similar physiological modifications, supporting the hypothesis that some adaptations required for biofilm growth and survival are conserved between bacterial species.

Our analysis showed that many of the RpoS ( $\sigma^{38}$ )activated genes were up-regulated, suggesting that this regulon is important for the survival of *Salmonella* cells within the complex biofilm environment. Similar observations were made in *E. coli* biofilms [39,46], and RpoS is known to regulate the genes involved in curli and cellulose production [64]. We used an RpoSdeficient mutant to confirm that RpoS plays a crucial role in mature biofilms of *S.* Typhimurium.

Previous transcriptomic analysis of *E. coli* cells showed that many biofilm-regulated genes were of unknown function [28]. We found the same to be true in mature *S*. Typhimurium biofilms, with more than half of the differentially expressed genes having only putative or unknown function. Interestingly, seven of these genes, including *ydcI*, *yebE* and *yceP*, were also up-regulated in *E. coli* biofilms and the deletion of *yceP* has been shown to impair biofilm formation [37,38,78].

To identify genes that were directly involved in biofilm growth, we mutated the five uncharacterised genes that were most highly up- and down-regulated. Our results suggest that STM0341, a putative inner membrane protein, is required for biofilm growth in *S*. Typhimurium SL1344. Little is known about the function of STM0341 except that it shows significant homology to putative transmembrane regulators in *S*. Typhi and 25% amino acid identity to ToxR of *Vibrio cholerae* (Colibase accessed Oct 2009). Interestingly, the  $\Delta$ STM0341 mutant was 9-times less adherent and 4-times less invasive in epithelial cells than its parental strain, raising the possibility that expression of STM0341 could be directly or indirectly involved in efficient penetration of the gastrointestinal epithelial cell lining.

Table 3 summarises the data from biofilm growth and further phenotypic analyses of the other uncharacterised mutant strains (i.e. STM2779, *ygaT*, *ybaY*, *yhfG*). Several of the mutations did not confer a detectable change in biofilm growth, or flagella, curli and cellulose production, although the WT genes were highly differentially regulated in the biofilm. Our observation that several individual genes were not required for biofilm formation by S. Typhimurium is consistent with biofilm formation involving multiple pathways [50] or overlapping functions, as has been observed for the oxidative stress response of *S*. Typhimurium [79,80].

The transcriptomic analysis revealed that genes involved in amino acid metabolism were up-regulated during biofilm growth, particularly tryptophan biosynthesis genes. The requirement for tryptophan was confirmed at the phenotypic level by showing that a *trpE* mutant formed significantly lower levels of biofilm. Domka and colleagues [40] reported that tryptophan biosynthesis only occurred during early E. coli biofilm formation (<7 h) in LB medium and that repression of the trp operon was required during the later stages, suggesting that low intracellular levels of indole are required for biofilm development. This was confirmed by repression of the indole uptake gene (mtr) and up-regulation of indole efflux genes (acrEF) in E. coli [40]. Such observations were consistent with earlier work showing that the absence of the regulatory YceP protein increased biofilm formation in E. coli by repressing genes that control indole transport into the cell and that a trpE mutation showed increased biofilm formation [78]. We have reported several similarities between our data and biofilm-specific regulation in E. coli, but our findings suggest major differences in tryptophan metabolism between Salmonella and E. coli biofilms. We have shown that tryptophan biosynthesis plays a role at the late stages of biofilm development in S. Typhimurium, and that *mtr* and *yceP* are both up-regulated in the mature biofilm. The precise function of tryptophan and indole during biofilm formation of E. coli remains to be completely elucidated. It is clear that the tryptophanase (tna) operon that converts tryptophan to indole is required for biofilm formation in E. coli and other indole-producing bacteria [66,81].

Our data showed that exogenous tryptophan or indole restore the ability of the *S*. Typhimurium *trpE* mutant to form a biofilm. Moreover, both tryptophan and indole increased the biofilm-forming capacity of WT SL1344; in

fact, low levels of indole increased attachment at all of the time points tested. We speculate that the highest concentration of indole (1 mM) used in this study is not biologically relevant, and imposes stress on the cell. While there are no other published reports on the effect of indole concentration upon biofilm formation in *S*. Typhimurium, lower levels of exogenous indole (312 to 625  $\mu$ M) induced biofilm formation in *E. coli*, while higher levels of exogenous indole (1250  $\mu$ M) caused indole toxicity and decreased bacteria growth [81]. Taken together, these results suggest that in the absence of tryptophan, indole activates genes or pathways that contribute to biofilm formation.

Several reports have shown that indole acts as a signalling molecule in E. coli to: 1) prepare cells for a nutrient-poor environment and increase catabolism of amino acids, 2) up-regulate genes that encode drug exporters (e.g. acrDE, mdtAE, cusB) to increase bacterial tolerance to toxic compounds, 3) increase bacterial adherence to surfaces, and 4) delay cell division [81-84]. All of these functions would be beneficial to cells within a well-established biofilm. Indole has recently been shown to act as an interspecies signal that controls biofilm formation by acting on oxygenases of bacteria that do not synthesise this molecule at temperatures below 30°C [85,86]. It is possible that we have observed a similar cell signalling phenomena in Salmonella. Several Gram-negative bacterial biofilms have been shown to secrete the amino acid valine that may play a role in signalling or function as a protective osmolyte [87]. Further functional analysis of biofilms grown in the presence of exogenous tryptophan (and indole) should provide insights into the role of these molecules in S. Typhimurium.

Microbial biofilms are inherently more resistant to host defences and antimicrobials than planktonic cells, but it is not known what proportion of this phenotype is due to bacterial factors such as the expression of virulence proteins, or external environmental influences such as the diffusion of nutrients or oxygen, or slow growth rate. In this study, we report that mature biofilm growth in S. Typhimurium leads to significant down-regulation (up to 100-fold) of certain virulence genes located in SPI2. We confirmed the link between SPI2 expression and biofilm growth by showing that the SPI2-deficient  $\Delta ssrA$  strain formed significantly less biofilm than the parental strain, and that complementation with ssrAB effectively restored attachment. We discovered that overexpression of SPI2 led to a significant reduction in bacterial attachment by the WT strain. These data show that both increased and decreased expression of SPI2 interferes with biofilm formation. It is not clear how a TTSS impacts upon biofilm formation, but we note that it has been reported that an extracellular molecule (LPS) can interfere with the TTSS-mediated attachment of *Shigella flexneri* to mammalian cells [88]. By analogy, we speculate that aberrant expression of the SPI2 TTSS apparatus compromises the ability of *Salmonella* to form biofilm, perhaps by affecting the presentation of cell surface factors such as curli.

### Conclusions

We have shown that biofilm growth of S. Typhimurium involves processes that include amino acid metabolism, motility, and virulence. Three proteins, TrpE, STM0341 and SsrA, play a role in biofilm formation by S. Typhimurium. We have discovered that tryptophan metabolism is required for effective biofilm formation in our experimental system. The unexpected link between SPI2 expression and biofilm promises to be a fertile area for Salmonella research in the future. Further characterization of the mutations that led to a reduction in biofilm growth is ongoing and we are examining temporal expression within the biofilm using a GFP reporter system. We are now comparing S. Typhimurium biofilms grown in dissimilar model systems to identify the core genes that are required for survival of this pathogen on different surfaces.

# Methods

### Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 4. Liquid growth media were Colonising Factor Antigen (CFA) medium comprised of (w/v) casamino acids (Difco) 1.0%, yeast extract (Difco) 0.15%, MgSO<sub>4</sub> 0.005% and MnCl<sub>2</sub> 0.0005% [89], Brain-Heart Infusion (BHI; Oxoid), Luria Broth (LB) or M9 minimal medium supplemented with glucose, sucrose or glycerol at concentrations of 0.2, 2.0 and 20% (v/v), according to Sambrook [90]. Bacto agar (Difco; 1.5% w/v) was used to make plates from these media, as required. All cultures were incubated at 25°C unless otherwise stated. Transductions were carried out using bacteriophage P22 followed by selection of non-lysogens on Green agar [91,92]. For complementation assays SL1344 was transformed with the low copy, F1-replicon-based, plasmid pssrAB, kindly provided by Michael Hensel [93]. Plasmids p1437-1 and p1437-6, the P15A-replicon-based, arabinose-inducible ssrAB+ and ssrAB- (reverse orientation) derivatives were used to over-express SsrA. Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations: kanamycin (Km), 50 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>; carbenicillin (Cb), 100 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>; chloramphenicol (Cm), 25 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>. Media supplements were sterilised by filtration through 0.22 µm filters (Sartorius) and added to culture media. All non-aromatic amino acids (i.e alanine, cysteine, glutamate, glutamine, histidine, and serine) were added at 0.1, 1 and 10 mM. All aromatic

Table 4:	Bacterial	strains	and	plasmids
----------	-----------	---------	-----	----------

Strain/plasmid	Relevant characteristics	Reference/source			
S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strains					
SL1344	WT (rpsL hisG) mouse virulent	[109,110]			
JH3182	SL1344/ΔSTM2779::Km	This study			
JH3183	SLI344 ∆ygaT::Km	This study			
JH3184	SLI344 ΔybaY::Km	This study			
JH3185	SLI344 AtrpE::Km	This study			
JH3186	SLI344 ΔyhfG::Km	This study			
JH3187	SLI344	This study			
JH3188	SLI344 <i>∆mtr::</i> Km	This study			
JH3189	SLI344 ΔpspA-E::Km	This study			
JH3142	SLI344 ArpoS::Amp	Laboratory			
-		collection			
JH3180	SLI344 ssrA::mTn5(Km <sup>r</sup> )*	This study			
JH3181	SLI344 AssrA(pSsrAB)	This study			
JH3179	SLI344 ∆orf3Ï9::Cm	This study			
LT2A	WT lab strain	[100]			
JH4000	LT2A ∆hns	(III)			
Plasmids					
pKD4	Template plasmid, Km <sup>R</sup>	[103]			
pKD46	Lambda Red helper plasmid, Amp <sup>R</sup>	[103]			
pWSK29	pssrAB	[58]			
р1437-1	bla pBAD-ssrAB <sup>+</sup>	K. Tedin			
p1437-6	bla pBAD-ssrAB⁻	K. Tedin			

\*The ssrA::mTn5 mutation [111] was transduced to SL1344 from strain P3F4 (12023 ssrA::mTn5).

<sup>\$</sup>It should be noted that the polar mutation in STM0341 could affect expression of the downstream STM0342 gene; both of these genes are highly down-regulated during biofilm growth. Therefore, the putative periplasmic protein encoded by STM0342 may play a role in the phenotypes associated with strain JH3187.

amino acids were tested at the concentrations shown in Figures 6 and 7.

### Biofilm formation on glass and polystyrene

The batch biofilm system used for in situ analysis of bacterial attachment to glass was designed at the Environmental Microbiology Research Group at Exeter [94]. This system provides a way of monitoring biofilm formation on a hydrophilic glass surface under flowing conditions. Overnight cultures of S. Typhimurium SL1344 were grown in CFA and standardized to achieve an initial concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> and injected into a stirring influent flask containing 5 L of prewarmed (25°C) sterile CFA medium. The inoculated influent was then pumped through silicon tubing (5 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) at 60 ml  $h^{-1}$  using a peristaltic pump (Minipulse 3, Gilson). In this manner, the bacteria were allowed to flow through the closed system and either attach to a borosilicate glass flow cell (3 × 3 mm, Camlab), held in a heated microscope stage, or flow out into a waste reservoir. A laminar flow rate was chosen to produce minimal fluctuations in velocity, requiring the bacteria to undergo

active transport in order to interact with the surface [95]. The entire system was kept at a constant temperature of 25°C and biofilm formation within the glass flow cell was imaged *in situ* without interrupting the flow for up to 72 h. Any possible backflow of media or bacteria within the system was eliminated using media breaks. Attached cells were then examined using light microscopy (*see below*).

Biofilm formation on polystyrene is based on the ability of bacteria to attach to the wells of microtitre plates, using a modification of a previously described technique [63]. This system provides a way to monitor biofilm formation on a hydrophobic polystyrene surface under static conditions. Overnight cultures of SL1344 were standardized (see above) and inoculated in quadruplicate into polystyrene 96-well plates (Nunc) containing sterile media. The plates were incubated statically for up to 48 h and then rinsed with dH<sub>2</sub>0 to remove any nonadherent bacteria, dried for 1 h at 60°C and stained with crystal violet (CV, BDH). The wells were destained with 20% acetone in ethanol (99.8%) solution and the amount of CV, indicative of the binding ability of each strain, was determined at A<sub>590</sub> using a Spectramax Plus (Molecular Devices) spectrophotometer. All experiments were repeated on three separate occasions. The results are reported as a mean value of absorbance and analyzed for significance (P < 0.05 or < 0.01) by the Student's twotailed t-test.

### Microscopy, image analysis and cell staining

Microscopy and image analysis of biofilms grown in glass flow cells (see above) were performed using a DMLB video light microscope (Leica). Images of bacterial attachment on the glass surface were visualized using a COHU 4612-5000 CCD camera (COHU) connected to a Macintosh G3 computer and Scion VG-5 PCI framestore board (Scion Corporation). Area measurements were calculated using Scion Image (Scion Corporation). Biofilm accumulation was measured as percentage surface cover on the top and bottom surfaces of the glass flow cell. The coordinates of 6 specific areas on the glass flow cell were marked in order to return to the same site at each time point. Images were captured for up to 72 h of flow with each time point representing an average of 30 frames. A threshold was applied to each image and the number of black pixels in each frame were measured and the percentage of biofilm surface cover was calculated as the proportion of white pixels to the total frame and analyzed for significance (P < 0.05 or <0.01) by the Student's two-tailed t-test. To determine cell viability of the biofilm based on membrane integrity, glass flow cells were rinsed with PBS to remove any unattached cells and stained with Live/Dead *Bac*Light (Molecular Probes) for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the staining solution was drained, the flow cell filled with fresh PBS and cells were viewed using a  $40 \times$  objective of a CH-2 light microscope and illuminated by a BH2-RFCA fluorescent light source using a BP405 (nm) filter block (Olympus).

# Isolation of biofilm and planktonic cells for total RNA and protein extractions

Biofilm and planktonic cells used for total RNA and protein extractions were grown in a modified batch system (Additional file 1). This system is identical to the glass flow cell system described above apart from replacing the glass flow cell with silicone rubber tubing that permits the harvesting of large amounts of biofilm biomass [32,76,96]. Our rationale for choosing a 'batch' biofilm system as opposed to a 'seeded' system was to mimic a food processing environment where bacteria attach and are then subjected to a hydrodynamic environment that lacks fresh nutrients. Overnight cultures of SL1344 grown in CFA broth were standardized to achieve an initial concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> and injected into a stirring influent flask containing 5 L of pre-warmed (25°C) sterile CFA medium. The inoculated influent was then pumped through silicon tubing (5 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) at 60 ml  $h^{-1}$  using a peristaltic pump (Minipulse 3, Gilson). The bacteria were allowed to flow through the closed system and either attach to a vertical piece of silicon tubing (1 meter length, 16 mm internal diameter, Samco Silicon Products Ltd.) or flow out into a waste reservoir. The tubing was positioned vertically to collect biofilm cells adherent to the tubing and minimise collecting bacteria that had simply sedimented. Possible backflow of media or bacteria into the influent from the silicon tubing was eliminated using media breaks. Samples taken to determine the pH of the medium or bacterial cell counts were removed via a media port located near the effluent and influent vessel, respectively. Planktonic cells were removed after 6, 24 and 72 h of growth from the influent vessel to avoid any contamination with biofilm cells. The entire system was kept at a constant temperature of 25°C and biofilm cells were isolated after 72 h of growth. All planktonic and biofilm samples were isolated from the same experimental system and used for both RNA and protein isolation.

### Protein extraction and 2-D analysis

The silicon tubing used for isolating biofilm cells was rapidly rinsed three times in cold (4°C) PBS to remove any non-adherent cells. A sterile loop was used to detach the adherent cells from the silicon tubing into 20 ml of cold (4°C) PBS. Total protein was extracted using a previously described technique [97]. Briefly, cells were lysed in a Triton-X-100 solution containing phenolmethylsulfanofluoride (PMSF) and sonicated in 15 s bursts for 3-5 cycles (Sanyo/MSE Soniprep 150, 4 mm probe). Protein extracts were then quantified at A<sub>280</sub> (Cecil Instruments Ltd., Cambridge). The first dimension was achieved by isoelectric focusing (IPGphor™) of Immobiline drystrips (pH 4-7, Amersham) containing 0.25 mg of protein from each sample over 25 h (total of 81050 Vhrs). The second dimension was run using precast 10-12% ExcelGel® polyacrylamide gels and SDS buffer strips (Amersham) on a Multiphor II (Pharmacia) horizontal unit with immobilised pH gradients (pH 4-7). Gels were fixed in a 10% methanol: 7% acetic acid solution for 30 m, prior to staining overnight in SYPRO® Ruby protein gel stain (Molecular Probes). A detailed description of protein sample preparation and 2-D-PAGE can be downloaded from http://www.proteome. soton.ac.uk/resources.htm. Protein spots from biofilm and planktonic gels were defined as being differentially expressed using ProteomWeaver v2.1.1. software (Definiens) and removed from the gels using a 5 ml Diamond Tip (Gilson) after an Investigator ProPick spot picker (Genomic Solutions) had located the correct position on the gel. Proteins were trypsin digested in an Investigator ProGest digestion robot (Genomic Solutions) and identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Bruker Reflex III) and peptide mass fingerprinting using an offline version of Mascot (Matrix Sciences) to search the peptide masses [98].

### Western blot analysis

Equal amounts of protein from *S*. Typhimurium biofilm and planktonic cell extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE (5-12% gradient) using a Mini Protean 3 electrophoresis system (Biorad) according to the methods of Sambrook et al. [90]. For immunoblotting, samples were transferred to nitrocellulose Biodyne A membranes (Pall Corporation) using a Mini Trans-Blot Electrophoretic cell (Biorad) according to the manufactures instructions. The proteins were fixed with methanol and the membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk and 1% BSA. Immobilized protein was detected using monoclonal FliC antibody (primary antibody). Anti-mouse (titre 1:2000) or anti-rabbit (1:5,000) IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) were used as secondary antibodies and purchased from Sigma. 5-bromo-4chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate and nitro blue tetrazolium (BCIP/NBT) colour development substrate was used for antibody detection (Promega).

### RNA and DNA extraction and quantification

The silicon tubing used for isolating biofilm cells was rapidly rinsed three times in cold (4°C) PBS to remove any non-adherent cells and immediately transferred to ice cold PBS containing a 1/5 volume of 5% (v/v) phenol (pH 4.3), 95% (v/v) ethanol solution and left on ice for a minimum of 30 min to stabilise the mRNA [99]. Biofilm cells were removed from the tubing using a sterile loop to detach the adherent cells into 20 ml of cold (4°C) phenol ethanol solution. RNA was isolated from biofilm cells, and planktonic cells from the influent vessel, using a SV Total RNA Isolation kit (Promega). A detailed description of the RNA isolation procedure can be downloaded from http://www.ifr.bbsrc.ac.uk/safety/ Microarrays/Protocols.html#RNAextraction. Chromosomal DNA was isolated from the genomic reference strain, S. Typhimurium LT2A [100], using a Qiagen Genomic DNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions and digested with EcoR1 (Promega). DNA and RNA samples were quantified at A<sub>260</sub> and A<sub>280</sub> using a SpectraMax Plus (Molecular Devices). The quality of RNA samples were assessed by size chromotography on a RNA 6000 Nano Chip (Agilent) using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer Software according to the manufacturer's instructions.

# Fluorescent labelling and microarray hybridization

Total RNA from biofilm and planktonic samples (16 µg) was converted to cDNA and fluorescently labelled by random priming to incorporate Cy3-dCTP (Amersham) using reverse transcriptase (StrataScript, Stratagene). Labelled cDNAs were hybridized against Cy5-labelled genomic DNA for indirect comparison microarray experiments to glass slide microarrays featuring 95% of the sequenced and annotated LT2A genome in addition to internal controls [100-102]. Information on the microarrays and complete protocols for labelling, hybridisations and slide blocking can be downloaded from http://www.ifr.bbsrc.ac.uk/safety/Microarrays/ default.html#Protocols.

### Microarray data analysis

Slides were scanned using a GenePix 4000A scanner (Axon Instruments, Inc.). Fluorescent spots and local background intensities were quantified using Genepix Pro 3.0 Software (Axon Instruments, Inc.). The data were filtered so that spots with a reference signal lower than the background plus two standard deviations of the background or obvious blemishes were not included in the analysis. Signal intensities were corrected by subtracting the background and the red/green (Cy5/Cy3) ratios were calculated. To compensate for unequal dye incorporation, data centring was performed by bringing the natural logarithm of the median of spots printed by the same pin to zero. Data from each microarray that passed the quality control procedures were then analyzed using Gene Spring 7.3 (Agilent). Differentially expressed genes were identified by performing a parametric (Student's t-test) test and by correcting the Pvalues with the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR). Genes present in *S*. Typhimurium LT2 but absent from SL1344 were filtered out and are not presented in this manuscript. The expression data for biofilm and planktonic samples presented in this study represent four technical replicates from three independent biological experiments. The expression data for the biofilm samples have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE17246.

### Genetic manipulations

Deletion of selected genes on the SL1344 chromosome was achieved by one-step inactivation using PCR products, as previously described [103]. Red recombinase template plasmid pKD4 was amplified using primers (Sigma-Genosys) designed with homology extensions to regions 100 bp either side of the predicted ORF of selected genes (based on the LT2 genome sequence [100]). PCR was carried out using BIO-X-ACT DNA polymerase (Bioline) with the manufacturer's recommended 1 kb amplification parameters. Linear DNA products were introduced into SL1344/pKD46 by electroporation (Gene Pulser, Biorad). Gene disruption was verified by PCR and restriction enzyme analysis (the full list of primers used for construction and verification can be found in Additional file 5B). Following verification, the mutants were P22-transduced into a clean SL1344 background and non-lysogenic bacteria were selected on Green Agar plates.

### Phenotypic analysis of mutants

Growth curves of parent strains and mutants were performed using a Microbiology Reader Bioscreen C (ThermoLabsystems). Strains were tested for growth in CFA and LB broth over a period of 24 h. Each overnight culture was standardised to achieve an initial concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> and inoculated into four separate wells of a 100 well sterile honeycomb plate (Thermo-Labsystems) containing fresh medium and antibiotics as appropriate. The plates were incubated at 25°C with covers and measurements based on optical density  $(OD_{600})$  were recorded every 15 min. EPS production was monitored by growth at 25°C on CFA without salt, supplemented with 0.01% Congo Red (CR) as based on a previously described method [104]. Swimming motility was assessed by stabbing colonies into plates of CFA and LB containing 0.3% wt/vol agar and incubating at 25°C and 37°C for up to 48 h [105]. Calcofluor binding was assessed by growth on CFA agar supplemented with fluorescent brightener 28 (Sigma) as described by Zogaj *et al.* [24]. RpoS activity was examined indirectly by assessing hydrogen peroxidase II (HPII) levels as described by Zambrano *et al.* [106].

### Bacterial adherence and invasion of HeLa cells

HeLa epithelial cells (European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, 93021013) were grown in HEPESbuffered DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum at 37°C in presence of 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. The infection procedure was derived from the method of Steele-Mortimer et al., [107] as modified by Hautefort et. al., [108]. To assess the invasion ability of the Salmonella strains, bacteria that remained outside of the HeLa cells were subsequently killed by addition of HEPES-buffered DMEM containing 10% FBS and 30 ug ml<sup>-1</sup> Gentamicin for 30 min at 37°C under 10% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Infected HeLa cells were washed twice in PBS (pH 7.4) and lysed for 10 min at room temperature in PBS containing 0.1% SDS. Intracellular bacterial viable counts were estimated by plating 10-fold dilutions on LB agar plates. To assess the adherence of the Salmonella WT and mutant strains, infection of HeLa cell monolayers was performed as described above. After 30 min invasion, HeLa cells were washed in PBS and immediately lysed in PBS containing 0.1% SDS. The total bacterial population was determined by plating dilutions of the lysate on LB agar plates. The adhering population was estimated by subtracting the intracellular viable counts obtained from the total counts. All assays were done with six biological replicates.

# List of Abbreviations

WT: wild-type; CFA: Colonising Factor Antigen; SPI2: *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island; CV: Crystal Violet; CR: Congo Red; rdar: red, dry and rough; *trp*: tryptophan; TTSS: Type III secretion system.

# **Authors' contributions**

JCDH and HMLS conceived and supervised the research. SH contributed to the design of the study, performed all experiments and data analysis. SH and JCDH wrote the manuscript. All other authors played a role in designing the laboratory experiments or analysing the data. RJMB contributed to the design of the study, the SsrA overexpression experiment and the Figures. FM contributed to the protein analysis and isolation. BC was involved in the running of the protein gels. JP contributed to the biofilm formation design and to the development of the manuscript. SL contributed to the design of the microarray and assisted in transcriptomic data analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

# Additional material

### Additional file 1

Schematic of flowing batch biofilm system. Schematic of flowing batch biofilm system used to isolate biofilm and planktonic cells for proteomic and transcriptomic analysis. The direction of flow is from left to right and the influent was agitated used a magnetic stirrer. Planktonic cells were removed from the influent vessel and biofilm cells from the vertical silicon tubing (shown in red).

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S1.DOC]

### Additional file 2

# Genes up-regulated in S. Typhimurium biofilm compared to

*planktonic cells.* A list of genes which are  $\geq$  2-fold up-regulated (FDR 0.01) in the biofilm at 72 h compared to 72 h planktonic cells. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-

2164-10-599-S2.XLS]

# Additional file 3

### Genes down-regulated in S. Typhimurium biofilm compared to

*planktonic cells.* A list of genes which are  $\geq 2$ -fold down-regulated (FDR 0.01) in the biofilm at 72 h compared to 72 h planktonic cells. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S3.XLS]

# Additional file 4

#### Proteins spots excised from the biofilm and planktonic gel and identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Sample identifiers highlighted in yellow represent protein data that corresponded to mRNA expression profiles from transcriptomic analysis. The S. Typhimurium (STM) identifier, common name (where applicable), protein predicted function, and functional category are listed for each protein. The fold expression of proteins in the biofilm compared to the planktonic gels is indicated in column G. Fourteen proteins identified from biofilm and planktonic gels were unique, meaning that a ratio of average intensity between the gels could not be determined. Unique proteins are therefore considered as either expressed only during biofilm growth or planktonic growth, respectively. Column H indicates whether the protein expression patterns were observed in one or both biological replicates.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S4.DOC]

# Additional file 5

(A) Biofilm-regulated genes chosen for mutational analysis. A list of the eight genes chosen for mutational analysis along with their S. Typhimurium gene identifier, gene name, annotation and fold change in expression. (B). Primers used for gene deletions. Oligonucleotide primers used to construct and confirm chromosomal gene deletions. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S5.DOC]

# Additional file 6

Genes up-regulated in the biofilm when compared to midexponential and stationary phase planktonic cells. A list of genes which are  $\geq 2$ -fold up-regulated (FDR 0.01) in the biofilm when (72 h) compared to both mid-exponential (6 h) and stationary phase (24 h) planktonic cells. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S6.XLS]

# Additional file 7

**Growth curves of mutants and WT SL1344 in CFA medium**. Each strain was incubated at  $25^{\circ}$ C and the turbidity ( $A_{600}$ ) was measured every 15 m for 24 h and compared to a growth impaired control strain (i.e. a  $\Delta$ hns null mutant [111]). The growth curve of each strain represents an average of 4 technical replicates. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-599-S7.DOC]

# Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the BBSRC Core Strategic Grant to Jay Hinton and by the University of Exeter. We thank Isabelle Hautefort for help with the adherence/invasion studies, Arthur Thompson for providing a strain carrying the  $\Delta orf319$  mutation, David O'Connor for assistance with the proteomic analyses, Michael Hensel and Karsten Tedin for providing SsrA-expressing plasmids, Tom Humphrey for helpful discussions and advice and Mike Naldrett at the IFR/JIC Joint Proteomic Facility for mass spectrometry. We are grateful to Anne Brown and Thérèse Hall for their administrative assistance during this project.

### References

- Helms M, Vastrup P, Gerner-Smidt P and Molbak K: Short and long term mortality associated with foodborne bacterial gastrointestinal infections: registry based study. BMJ 2003, 326:357–359.
- Corry JE, Allen VM, Hudson WR, Breslin MR and Davies RH: Sources of Salmonella on broiler carcasses during transportation and processing: modes of contamination and methods of control. J Appl Microbiol 2002, 92:424–432.
- Olsen J E, Brown DJ, Madsen M and Bisgaard M: Crosscontamination with Salmonella on a broiler slaughterhouse line demonstrated by use of epidemiological markers. J Appl Microbiol 2003, 94:826-835.
- Rasschaert G, Houf K and De Zutter L: Impact of the slaughter line contamination on the presence of Salmonella on broiler carcasses. J Appl Microbiol 2007, 103:333–341.
- Helke DM and Wong ACL: Survival and growth characteristics of Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella Typhimurium on stainless steel and buna-n-rubber. J Food Prot 1994, 57:963–968 <u>http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iafp/ifp/1994/00000057/</u>00000011/art00003.
- Armon R, Starosvetzky J, Arbel T and Green M: Survival of Legionella pneumophila and Salmonella typhimurium in biofilm systems. Water Sci Technol 1997, 35:293-300.
- 8. Joseph B, Otta AK, Karunasagar I and Karunasagar I: Biofilm formation by Salmonella spp. on food contact surfaces and

their sensitivity to sanitizers. Int | Food Microbiol 2001, 64:367-372

- 9. Mangalappalli-Illathu AK and Korber DR: Adaptive resistance and differential protein expression of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis biofilms exposed to benzalkonium chloride. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50:3588-3596.
- Beuchat LR: Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. Microbes 10. Infect 2002, 4:413-423.
- 11. Long SM, Adak GK, O'Brien SJ and Gillespie IA: General outbreaks of infectious intestinal disease linked with salad vegetables and fruit, England and Wales, 1992-2000. Commun Dis Public Health 2002, 5:101-105.
- 12. Sivapalasingam S, Friedman CR, Cohen L and Tauxe RV: Fresh produce: A growing cause of outbreaks of foodborne illness in the United States, 1973 through 1997. | Food Prot 2004, 67:2342-2353
- Warner JC, Rothwell SD and Keevil CW: Use of episcopic differential interference contrast microscopy to identify 13. bacterial biofilms on salad leaves and track colonization by Salmonella Thompson. Environ Microbiol 2008, 10:918-925.
- 14. Anderl JN, Zahller J, Roe F and Stewart PS: Role of nutrient limitation and stationary-phase existence in Klebsiella pneumoniae biofilm resistance to ampicillin and ciprofloxacin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003, **47:**1251–1256.
- 15. Drenkard E and Ausubel FM: Pseudomonas biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance are linked to phenotypic variation. Nature 2002, 416:740-743.
- Poulsen LK, Ballard G and Stahl DA: Use of ribosomal RNA 16. fluorescence in situ hybridization for measuring the activity of single cells in young and established biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 1993, 59:1354–1360.
- Stewart PS: Diffusion in biofilms. | Bacteriol 2003, 185:1485-1491. 17
- Sutherland IW: Biofilm exopolysaccharides: a strong and 18. sticky framework. Microbiology 2001, 147:3-9.
- 19. Arnold JW and Bailey GW: Surface finishes on stainless steel reduce bacterial attachment and early biofilm formation: scanning electron and atomic force microscopy study. Poult Sci 2000, **79:**839–1845.
- Boddicker JD, Ledeboer NA, Jagnow J, Jones BD and Clegg S: Differential binding to and biofilm formation on HEp-2 cells by Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium is dependent upon allelic variation in the fimH gene of the fim gene cluster. Mol Microbiol 2002, 45:1255–1265.
- Jonas K, Tomenius H, Kader A, Normark S, Romling U, Belova LM and Melefors O: Roles of curli, cellulose and BapA in Salmonella biofilm morphology studies by atomic force microscopy. BMC Microbiol 2007, 7:70.
- 22. Prouty AM and Gunn JS: Comparative analysis of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium biofilm formation on gallstones and on glass. Infect Immun 2003, 71:7154-7158.
- 23. Romling U, Sierralta WD, Eriksson K and Normark S: Multicellular and aggregative behaviour of Salmonella Typhimurium strains is controlled by mutations in the agfD promoter. Mol Microbiol 1998, 28:249–264.
- 24. Zogaj X, Nimtz M, Rohde M, Bokranz W and Römling U: The Escherichia coli produce cellulose as the second component of the extracellular matrix. Mol Microbiol 2001, 9:1452-1463.
- Stocki SL, Annett CB, Sibley CD, McLaws M, Checkley SL, Singh N, Surette MG and White AP: Persistence of Salmonella on egg 25. conveyor belts is dependent on the belt type but not on the rdar morphotype. *Mol Cell Develop Biol* 2007, **86:**2375–2383.
- Toguchi A, Siano M, Burkart M and Harshey RM: Genetics of 26. swarming motility in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium: critical role for lipopolysaccharide. J Bacteriol 2000, 182:6308-21.
- Wang Q, Frye JG, McClelland M and Harshey RM: Gene 27. expression patterns during swarming in Salmonella typhimurium: genes specific to surface growth and putative new motility and pathogenicity genes. Mol Microbiol 2004, 52:169-87.
- Beloin C and Ghigo JM: Finding gene-expression patterns in bacterial biofilms. *Trends Microbiol* 2005, 13:16–19. 28.
- 29. Lazazzera BA: Lessons from DNA microarray analysis: the gene expression profile of biofilms. Curr Opin Microbiol 2005, 8:222-227.
- Sauer K: The genomics and proteomics of biofilm formation. Genome Biol 2003, **4:**219. 30.

- 31. Puttamreddy S, Carruthers MD, Madsen ML and Minion FC: Transcriptome analysis of organisms with food safety relevance. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2008, 5:517.
- Mangalappalli-Illathu AK, Lawrence JR, Swerhone GDW and Korber DR: Architectural adaptation and protein expression patterns of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis biofilms under laminar flow conditions. Int | Food Microbiol 2008, 123:109-120.
- 33. Gerstel U and Romling U: Oxygen tension and nutrient starvation are major signals that regulate agfD promoter activity and expression of the multicellular morphotype in Salmonella typhimurium. Environ Microbiol 2001, 3:638-648.
- Giaouris E, Chorianopoulos N and Nychas GJ: Effect of tempera-ture, pH, and water activity on biofilm formation by Salmonella enterica enteritidis PT4 on stainless steel surfaces as indicated by the bead vortexing method and conductance measurements. J Food Prot 2005, 68:2149–2154.
- Scher K, Romling Ü and Yaron S: Effect of heat, acidification, and 35. chlorination on Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium cells in a biofilm formed at the air-liquid interface. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005, **71**:1163–1168. Teplitski M, Al-Agely A and Ahmer BM: **Contribution of the SirA**
- 36. regulon to biofilm formation in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Microbiology 2006, 152:3411–3424.
- Beloin C, Valle J, Latour-Lambert P, Faure P, Kzreminski M, Balestrino D, Haagensen JA, Molin S, Prensier G, Arbeille B and Ghigo JM: Global impact of mature biofilm lifestyle on Escherichia coli K-12 gene expression. Mol Microbiol 2004, 51:659-674
- Ren D, Bedzyk LA, Thomas SM, Ye RW and Wood TK: Gene expression in Escherichia coli biofilms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2004, 64:515-524.
- Schembri MA, Kjaergaard K and Klemm P: Global gene expres-sion in Escherichia coli biofilms. Mol Microbiol 2003, 48:253–267.
- Domka J, Lee J, Bansal T and Wood TK: Temporal gene-40 expression in Escherichia coli K-12 biofilms. Environ Microbiol 2007, 9:332-46.
- deBeer D, Stoodley P, Roe F and Lewandowski Z: Effects of biofilm structures on oxygen distribution and mass transfer. Biotechnol Bioeng 1994, 43:1131–1138. Jamieson DJ and Higgins CF: Two genetically distinct pathways 41.
- 42.
- for transcriptional regulation of anaerobic gene-expression in Salmonella typhimurium. J Bacteriol 1986, 168:389–397. Pruss BM, Campbell JW, Van Dyk TK, Zhu C, Kogan Y and Matsumura P: FlhD/FlhC is a regulator of anaerobic respira-tion and the Entner-Doudoroff Pathway through induction 43. of the methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein. Aer J Bacteriol 2003, **185:**534–543
- 44. Xu KD, Stewart PS, Xia F, Huang CT and McFeters GA: Spatial physiological heterogeneity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa bio-film is determined by oxygen availability. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998, 64:4035-4039.
- Park H, Berzin I, De Luis J and Vunjak-Novakovic G: Evaluation of silicone tubing toxicity using tobacco BY2 culture. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol 2005, 41:555–560 http://www.jstor.org/pss/4293900.
- Adams JL and McLean RJC: Impact of rpoS deletion on Escherichia coli biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999, 65:4285-4287
- Gerstel U and Romling U: The csgD promoter, a control unit 47. for biofilm formation in Salmonella typhimurium. Res Microbiol 2003, 154:659-667.
- Jackson DW, Suzuki K, Oakford L, Simecka JW, Hart ME and 48. Romeo T: Biofilm formation and dispersal under the influence of the global regulator CsrA of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2002, 184:290–301.
- 49. Ibanez-Ruiz M, Robbe-Saule Hermant D, Labrude S and Norel F: Identification of RpoS (sigma(S))-regulated genes in Salmo-nella enterica serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 2000, 182:5749-5756
- O'Toole G and Kolter R: Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, 50. convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. Mol Microbiol 1998, 28:449–461.
- Cheung KJ, Badarinarayana V, Selinger DW, Janse D and Church GM: 51. A microarray-based antibiotic screen identifies a regulatory role for supercoiling in the osmotic stress response of Escherichia coli. Genome Res 2003, 13:206-215.
- 52. McFall E and Newman EB: Amino acids as carbon sources. Escherichia coli and Salmonella: cellular and molecular biology ASM Press: Neidhardt FC 1996, 1:358-379.

- 53. Yanofsky C: Advancing our knowledge in biochemistry, genetics, and microbiology through studies on tryptophan metabolism. Ann Rev Biochem 2001, 70:1–37.
- Hensel M, Shea JE, Waterman SR, Mundy R, Nikolaus T, Banks G, Vazquez-Torres A, Gleeson C, Fang FC and Holden DW: Genes encoding putative effector proteins of the type III secretion system of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 are required for bacterial virulence and proliferation in macrophages. Mol Microbiol 1998, 30:163-174
- 55. Hensel M, Nikolaus T and Egelseer C: Molecular and functional analysis indicates a mosaic structure of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2. Mol Microbiol 1999, 31:489-498.
- Norris FA, Wilson MP, Wallis TS, Galyov EE and Majerus PW: SopB, a protein required for virulence of Salmonella dublin, 56. is an inositol phosphate phosphatase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:14057–14059.
- 57. Moore MM, Fernandez DL and Thune RL: Cloning and char-acterization of Edwardsiella ictaluri proteins expressed and recognized by the channel catfish Ictalurus punctatus immune response during infection. Dis Aquat Organ 2002, 52:93-107
- 58. Deiwick J, Nikolaus T, Erdogan S and Hensel M: Environmental regulation of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 gene expression. Mol Microbiol 1999, 31:1759–1773.
- Bijlsma JJ and Groisman EA: The PhoP/PhoQ system controls 59. the intramacrophage type three secretion system of Salmonella enterica. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57:85-96.
- 60. Lober S, Jackel D, Kaiser N and Hensel M: Regulation of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 genes by independent environmental signals. Int | Med Microbiol 2006, 296:435-437.
- Shea JE, Hensel M, Gleeson C and Holden DW: Identification of a 61. virulence locus encoding a second type III secretion system in Salmonella typhimurium. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:2593-2597
- 62. Rytkönen A, Poh J, Garmendia J, Boyle C, Thompson A, Liu M, Freemont P, Hinton JC and Holden DW: SseL, a Salmonella deubiquitinase required for macrophage killing and virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104:3502–3507.
  63. Pratt LA and Kolter R: Genetic analysis of Escherichia colinger and set and se
- biofilm formation: roles of flagella, motility, chemotaxis and type I pili. Mol Microbiol 1998, 30:285-293.
- Robbe-Saule V, Jaumouillé V, Prévost MC, Guadagnini S, Talhouarne C, Mathout H, Kolb A and Norel F: **Crl activates** 64. transcription initiation of RpoS-regulated genes involved in the multicellular behavior of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 2006, 188:3983–3984.
- Anriany Y, Sahu SN, Wessels KR, McCann LM and Joseph SW: Alteration of the rugose phenotype in waaG and ddhC mutants of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium DT104 is associated with inverse production of curli and cellulose. Appl Envirom Microbiol 2006, 72:5002–5012.
- 66. Di Martino P, Merieau A, Phillips R, Orange N and Hulen C: Isolation of an Escherichia coli strain mutant unable to form biofilm on polystyrene and to adhere to human pneumocyte cells: involvement of tryptophanase. Can J Microbiol 2002, 48:132-137.
- Takahashi N, Sato T and Yamanda T: Metabolic pathways for 67. cytotoxic end product formation from glutamate- and aspartate- containing peptides by Porphyromonas gingivalis. J Bacteriol 2000, 182:4704–4710.
- Bansal T, Englert D, Lee J, Hegde M, Wood TK and Jayaraman A: Differential effects of epinephrine, norepinephrine, and 68 indole on Escherichia coli O157:H7 chemotaxis, colonization, and gene expression. Infect Immun 2007, 75:4597-4607
- 69. Clouser CS, Knabel SJ, Mast MG and Doores S: Effect of type of defeathering system on Salmonella cross-contamination during commercial processing. Poult Sci 1995, **74**:732–741. Kusumaningrum HD, Riboldi G, Hazeleger WC and Beumer RR:
- 70. Survival of foodborne pathogens on stainless steel surfaces and cross-contamination to foods. Int J Food Microbiol 2003, 85:227-236
- 71. Prouty AM, Schwesinger WH and Gunn JS: Biofilm formation and interaction with the surfaces of gallstones by Salmonella spp. Infect Immun 2002, 70:2640-2649.
- 72. Wood T: Insights on Escherichia coli biofilm formation and inhibition from whole-transcriptome profiling. Environ Microbiol 2009, 11:1-15.
- 73. Hamilton MS, Bongaerts RJM, Lucchini S, Hinton JCD and Lappin-Scott HM: Microarray: a useful tool for Salmonella biofilm research?. Biofilm communities: order from chaos? Bioline Press:

McBain A, Allison D, Brading M, Rickard A, Verran J, Walker J 2003, 119-128.

- 74. An D and Parsek MR: The promise and peril of transcriptional profiling in biofilm communities. Curr Opin Microbiol 2007, 10:292–296.
- 75. Monds RD and O'Toole GA: The developmental model of microbial biofilms: ten years of a paradigm up for review. Trends Microbiol 2009, 17:73–87.
- Sauer K and Camper AK: Characterization of phenotypic changes in *Pseudomonas putida* in response to surface-associated growth. *J Bacteriol* 2001, **183**:6579–6589. Tolker-Nielsen T, Brinch UC, Ragas PC, Andersen JB, Jacobsen CS 76.
- 77. and Molin S: Development and dynamics of Pseudomonas sp. biofilms. J Bacteriol 2000, 182:6482–3489. Domka J, Lee J and Wood TK: YIIH (BssR) and YceP (BssS)
- 78 regulate Escherichia coli K-12 biofilm formation by influen-cing cell signaling. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006, **72**:2449–2459. Hébrard M, Viala JP, Méresse S, Barras F and Aussel L: **Redundant**
- 79. hydrogen peroxide scavengers contribute to Salmonella virulence and oxidative stress resistance. J Bacteriol 2009, **191:**4605–4614.
- Wright JA, Tötemeyer SS, Hautefort I, Appia-Ayme C, Alston M, Danino V, Paterson GK, Mastroeni P, Ménager N, Rolfe M, 80. Thompson A, Ugrinovic S, Sait L, Humprey T, Northen H, Peters SE, Maskell DJ, Hinton JC and Bryant CE: Multiple redundant stress resistance mechanisms are induced in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in response to alteration of the intracellular environment via TLR4 signalling. Microbiology 2009, 155:2919-2929.
- Di Martino PD, Fursy R, Bret L, Sundararaju B and Phillips RS: Indole can act as an extracellular signal to regulate biofilm formation of Escherichia coli and other indole-producing bacteria. Can J Microbiol 2003, **49:**443–449.
- Chant EL and Summers DK: Indole signalling contributes to the 82. stable maintenance of Escherichia coli multicopy plasmids. Mol Microbiol 2007, 63:35-43.
- 83 Hirakawa H, Inazumi Y, Masaki T, Hirata T and Yamaguchi A: Indole induces the expression of multidrug exporter genes in
- Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 2005, 55:1113–1126. Wang D, Ding X and Rather PN: Indole can act as an extracellular signal in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 2001, 84. 183:4210-4216.
- 85.
- Lee J, Jayaraman A and Wood TK: Indole is an inter-species biofilm signal mediated by SdiA. BMC Microbiol 2008, 7:42. Lee J, Zhang X, Hedge M, Bentley WE, Jayaraman A and Wood TK: Indole cell signalling occurs primarily at low temperatures in Escherichia coli. ISME J 2008, 2:1007–1023. 86.
- Valle J, Da Re S, Schmid S, Skurnik D, D'Ari R and Ghigo JM: The 87 amino acid valine is secreted in continuous-flow bacterial biofilms. J Bacteriol 2008, 190:264–274. West NP, Sansonetti P, Mounier J, Exley RM, Parsot C, Guadagnini S,
- 88. Prevost MC, Prochnicka-Chalufour A, Delepierre M, Tanguy M and Tang CM: Optimization of virulence functions through glucosylation of Shigella LPS. Science 2005, 307:1313-1317. Suzuki K, Wang X, Weilbacher T, Pernestig AK, Melefors O,
- 89. Georgellis D, Babitzke P and Romeo T: Regulatory circuitry of the CsrA/CsrB and BarA/UvrY systems of Escherichia coli. | Bacteriol 2002, 184:5130-5140.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF and Maniatis T: Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual Cold Spring Harbor, Cold Spring Harbor Press; 1989.
- 91 Gemski P |r and Stocker BAD: Transduction by bacteriophage P22 in nonsmooth mutants of Salmonella typhimurium. Bacteriol 1967, 95:1588-1597.
- 92. Maloy SR: Experimental techniques in bacterial genetics Boston, Jones and Bartlett; 1990.
- Hensel M, Shea JE, Gleeson C, Jones MD, Dalton E and Holden DW: 93. Simultaneous identification of bacterial virulence genes by negative selection. *Science* 1995, **269**:400–403.
- Stoodley P, deBeer D and Lappin-Scott HM: Influence of electric fields and pH on biofilm structure as related to the bioelectric effect. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997, 94. 41:1876–1879
- 95. Brading MG, Boyle J and Lappin-Scott HM: Biofilm formation in laminar flow using Pseudomonas fluorescens EX101. J Ind Microbiol 1995, 15:297-304.
- Sauer K, Camper AK, Ehrlich GD, Costerton JW and Davies DG: 96. Pseudomonas aeruginosa displays multiple phenotypes during development as a biofilm. J Bacteriol 2002, 184:1140-1154.
- 97. Qi SY, Moir A and O'Connor D: Proteome of Salmonella Typhimurium SL1344: identification of novel abundant cell

envelope proteins and assignment to a two-dimensional reference map. J Bacteriol 1996, 178:5032-5038.

- Holmes K, Mulholland F, Pearson BM, Pin C, McNicholl-Kennedy J, Ketley JM and Wells JM: *Campylobacter jejuni gene expression* in response to iron limitation and the role of Fur. *Microbiology* 2005, 151:243–257.
- Tedin K and Blasi U: The RNA chain elongation rate of the lambda late mRNA is unaffected by high levels of ppGpp in the absence of amino acid starvation. J Biol Chem 1996, 271:17675-17686.
- 100. McClelland M, Sanderson KE, Spieth J, Clifton SW, Latreille P, Courtney L, Porwollik S, Ali J, Dante M, Du F, Hou S, Layman D, Leonard S, Nguyen C, Scott K, Holmes A, Grewal N, Mulvaney E, Ryan E, Sun H, Florea L, Miller W, Stoneking T, Nhan M, Waterston M and Wilson RK: Complete genome sequence of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium LT2. Nature 2001, 413:852–856.
- DeRisi JL, Iyer VR and Brown PO: Exploring the metabolic and genetic control of gene expression on a genomic scale. Science 1997, 278:680-686.
- 102. Eriksson S, Lucchini S, Thompson A, Rhen M and Hinton JC: Unravelling the biology of macrophage infection by gene expression profiling of intracellular Salmonella enterica. Mol Microbiol 2003, 47:103-118.
- I03. Datsenko KA and Wanner BL: One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:6640–6645.
   I04. Hammar M, Arnqvist A, Bian Z, Olsen A and Normark S:
- 104. Hammar M, Arnqvist A, Bian Z, Olsen A and Normark S: Expression of two csg operons is required for production of fibronectin- and congo red-binding curli polymers in Escherichia coli K-12. Mol Microbiol 1995, 18:661–670.
- 105. Rashid MH and Kornberg A: Inorganic polyphosphate is needed for swimming, swarming, and twitching motilities of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:4885–4890.
- Zambrano MM, Siegele DA Almiron M, Tormo A and Kolter R: Microbial competition: Escherichia coli mutants that take over stationary phase cultures. Science 1993, 259:1757–1760.
   Steele-Mortimer O, Meresse S, Gorvel JP, Toh BH and Finlay BB:
- 107. Steele-Mortimer O, Meresse S, Gorvel JP, Toh BH and Finlay BB: Biogenesis of Salmonella typhimurium-containing vacuoles in epithelial cells involves interactions with the early endocytic pathway. Cell Microbiol 1999, 1:33–49.
- 108. Hautefort I, Thompson A, Eriksson-Ygberb S, Parker ML, Lucchini S, Danino V, Bongaerts RJ, Ahmad N, Rhen M and Hinton JC: During infection of epithelial cells Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium undergoes a time-dependent transcriptional adaptation that results in simultaneous expression of three type 3 secretion systems. Cell Microbiol 2008, 10:958–984.
- 109. Wray C and Sojka J: Experimental Salmonella typhimurium infection in calves. Res Vet Sci 1978, 25:139–143.
- 110. Hoiseth SK and Stocker BAD: Aromatic-dependent Salmonella typhimurium are on-virulent and effective as live vaccines. Nature 1981, 291:238-239.
- 111. Lucchini S, Rowley G, Goldberg MD, Hurd D, Harrison M and Hinton JC: H-NS mediates the silencing of laterally acquired genes in bacteria. PLOS Pathog 2006, 2:e81.

