

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Different patterns of gene structure divergence following gene duplication in Arabidopsis

Yupeng Wang^{1,2}, Xu Tan¹ and Andrew H Paterson^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Divergence in gene structure following gene duplication is not well understood. Gene duplication can occur via whole-genome duplication (WGD) and single-gene duplications including tandem, proximal and transposed duplications. Different modes of gene duplication may be associated with different types, levels, and patterns of structural divergence.

Results: In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, we denote levels of structural divergence between duplicated genes by differences in coding-region lengths and average exon lengths, and the number of insertions/deletions (indels) and maximum indel length in their protein sequence alignment. Among recent duplicates of different modes, transposed duplicates diverge most dramatically in gene structure. In transposed duplications, parental loci tend to have longer coding-regions and exons, and smaller numbers of indels and maximum indel lengths than transposed loci, reflecting biased structural changes in transposed duplications. Structural divergence increases with evolutionary time for WGDs, but not transposed duplications, possibly because of biased gene losses following transposed duplications. Structural divergence has heterogeneous relationships with nucleotide substitution rates, but is consistently positively correlated with gene expression divergence. The NBS-LRR gene family shows higher-than-average levels of structural divergence.

Conclusions: Our study suggests that structural divergence between duplicated genes is greatly affected by the mechanisms of gene duplication and may be not proportional to evolutionary time, and that certain gene families are under selection on rapid evolution of gene structure.

Keywords: Gene structure, Divergence, Transposed duplication, Whole-genome duplication, Selection, Arabidopsis

Background

Gene duplication is an important mechanism for evolution of functional novelty and increase of genome complexity [1]. Gene duplication may occur by different modes such as whole-genome duplication (WGD) [2] and single-gene duplications [3-5]. For example, *Arabidopsis thaliana* has experienced at least three WGD events—two recent events (α and β) since its divergence from other members of the Brassicales clade and a more ancient event (γ) shared with most if not all eudicots [6]. Single-gene duplications including local (tandem or proximal) and dispersed duplications also contribute to the origin of a substantial portion of Arabidopsis genes [5,7,8]. Transposed gene duplications,

which relocate duplicated genes to new chromosomal positions via either DNA or RNA-based mechanisms [7,9], may contribute to the widespread existence of dispersed duplicates in the Arabidopsis genome [5,7].

Since a likely consequence of gene duplication is reversion to single copy (singleton) status [1], mechanisms for the retention of duplicated genes have been extensively studied. The ‘neo-functionalization’ model suggests that each of two duplicated genes can be retained if at least one evolves modified or novel functions [1]. The ‘sub-functionalization’ model suggests that both duplicated genes can be preserved if they partition the functions of their ancestor, through accumulation of degenerative mutations [10,11]. More recent models for gene retention include genetic buffering [12], functional redundancy [13-15], dosage balance constraints [5,16,17], or need for enhanced expression levels [18,19].

* Correspondence: paterson@plantbio.uga.edu

¹Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Retention of duplicated genes does not occur randomly. Following duplication, genes belonging to some functional categories have been preferentially restored to singleton status across different eukaryotic lineages [20]. In plants, modes of gene duplication retain genes in a biased manner [5]. Genes related to transcription factors, protein kinases, and ribosomal proteins are preferentially retained following WGDs [4,21], while those genes related to abiotic and biotic stress are more likely to be retained following local duplications [22,23]. Gene transpositions are more frequent in some families such as F-box, MADS-box, NBS-LRR, and defensins than others [5,8].

Evolutionary consequences following different modes of gene duplication have been widely investigated. Duplicated genes retained from WGDs show lower levels of expression divergence [24-27], functional innovation [28,29], network rewiring [29,30] and epigenetic changes [31] than single-gene duplicates. Moreover, among single-gene duplications, transposed duplicates tend to evolve faster than tandem or proximal duplicates [25-27,31].

Functional divergence between duplicated genes was presumed to be driven by nucleotide substitutions including enhancer/promoter mutations, and non-synonymous and synonymous substitutions [24-27]. However, insertions/deletions (indels) between duplicated genes, which may cause shifts of reading frame [32], have greater effects on the divergence in protein secondary structures [33-35]. In addition, duplicated genes also diverge in exon-intron structures following gene duplication, which was suggested to play an important role during the evolution of duplicated genes [36]. These facts, taken together, suggest that divergence in gene structures such as exon configuration and indels may also drive the functional divergence between duplicated genes.

In this paper, we study structural divergence between duplicated genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. We describe levels of structural divergence between duplicated genes using four different measures. Structural divergence is compared among different modes of gene duplication including WGD, and tandem, proximal and transposed duplications, and then related to duplication epochs, nucleotide substitutions and expression divergence. Evolutionary mechanisms for gene-structure divergence are also investigated.

Results

Comparison of structural divergence among different modes of gene duplication

Modes of gene duplication in *Arabidopsis* were classified into WGD (α , β and γ events) and tandem, proximal and transposed (<16 Mya, i.e. after *Arabidopsis-Brassica* divergence, and 16–107 Mya, i.e. between *Arabidopsis-Brassica* and *Arabidopsis-Populus* divergence) duplications, as

described in Methods. Divergence between duplicated genes often increases with duplication age [24,26,27]. To compare the evolutionary effects of different modes of gene duplication, it may be helpful to take duplication age into account. Here, synonymous (K_s) substitution rates are used as a rough proxy of duplication age. The K_s distributions of different modes of gene duplication are shown in Figure 1. The duplicated genes belonging to α WGD, tandem duplication, proximal duplication and transposed duplication after *Arabidopsis-Brassica* divergence (<16 Mya) are relatively younger than those belonging to β and γ WGDs and transposed duplication between *Arabidopsis-Brassica* and *Arabidopsis-Populus* divergence (16–107 Mya). Thus, to compare structural divergence among different modes of gene duplication, we restricted WGD duplicates to those retained from the α event, and transposed duplications to those that occurred after *Arabidopsis-Brassica* divergence (<16 Mya).

Structural divergence between duplicated genes was measured by differences in coding-region lengths and average exon lengths, and the number of indels and maximum indel length in their protein sequence alignment. Comparison of structural divergence among different modes of gene duplication is shown in Figure 2. When measured by differences in coding-region lengths and average exon lengths and the maximum indel length, structural divergence between duplicated genes shows the following trend: WGD < tandem < proximal < transposed (comparisons between consecutive gene duplication modes are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$, Wilcoxon test). When measured by the number of indels, structural divergence between duplicated genes follows a slightly different trend:




Figure 1 K_s distributions of different modes of gene duplication.





Figure 2 Comparison of structural divergence among different modes of gene duplication. To minimize the effects of duplication age, WGD duplicates were restricted to those retained from the α event, and transposed duplications were restricted to those that occurred after Arabidopsis-Brassica divergence (<16 Mya).

tandem < proximal < WGD < transposed (comparisons between consecutive gene duplication modes are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$, Wilcoxon test). These comparisons, taken together, suggest that transposed duplications diverge more dramatically in gene structure than any other mode of gene duplication.

Transposed duplications are often associated with biased changes in gene structure

In transposed duplications, duplicated genes are transposed from ancestral (parental) loci to novel (transposed) loci [7]. Transposed duplications may occur via DNA or RNA-based mechanisms, and the latter mechanism, often referred to as retrotransposition, creates intronless retrocopies [9]. Comparison of gene structure

between parental and transposed loci may help to better understand the genetic mechanisms and evolutionary effects of transposed duplications. We note that in this analysis we computed numbers of indels and maximum indel lengths for parental and transposed duplicates separately. We found that parental loci generally have longer coding-regions and exons, and fewer indels with smaller maximum indel lengths than transposed loci (Figure 3), suggesting that transposed duplications tend to be associated with biased changes in gene structure. In other words, transposed duplication is a singular mode of gene duplication in which gene structure not only undergoes intensive changes but also is biased toward smaller gene size and complexity. A trend toward shorter exons, more indels and bigger maximum indel



lengths suggests that transposed duplications are not perfectly copied and losses of DNA segments frequently happen. This trend is contrary to the classical theory that duplicated genes are fully redundant immediately following gene duplication [1] but consistent with the observation that various types of transposable elements frequently only duplicate gene fragments [37,38].

Structural divergence and duplication epochs

To understand how structural divergence between duplicated genes changes over evolutionary time, we compared structural divergence among different epochs of gene duplications for WGDs (i.e. among α , β and γ events) and transposed duplications (i.e. between those occurring <16 Mya and 16–107 Mya). Figure 4 shows that the structural divergence between WGD duplicates, based on all measures, consistently increases across α , β and γ events; however, for transposed duplications, only number of indels increases from <16 Mya to 16–107 Mya. Moreover, transposed duplications show a decrease of maximum indel lengths from <16 Mya to 16–107 Mya. Compared with WGDs, transposed duplications have a higher rate of gene losses, evidenced by an “L” shaped distribution of duplication age [11]. It is possible

that the different changing patterns of structural divergence over evolutionary time between WGDs and transposed duplications are determined by the biased, high rate of gene losses associated with transposed duplications, e.g. those duplicates that experienced extreme structural changes are less likely to survive over long periods of evolutionary time than those that experienced more moderate structural changes. It is also worth mentioning that transposed duplicates that have been preserved for long times (16–107 Mya) still shows higher structural divergence than WGD duplicates retained from the ancient γ event that occurred ~117 Mya.

Structural divergence and nucleotide substitutions

For duplicated genes, structural divergence and nucleotide substitution are two major types of sequence divergence [36]. We compared non-synonymous substitution rates (K_a) among different epochs of gene duplication within WGDs and transposed duplications, and found the following trend: α WGD < β WGD < transposed (<16 Mya) < γ WGD < transposed (16–107 Mya) (comparisons between consecutive gene groups are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$, Wilcoxon test). However, structural divergence of recent transposed duplications (<16 Mya) tend to be




Figure 4 Comparison of structural divergence between different epochs of gene duplications within WGDs and transposed duplications.

higher (except being measured by numbers of indels) than that of γ WGD (Figure 4), suggesting that gene structure can evolve much faster than nucleotide substitutions.

To further understand the relationships between structural divergence and nucleotide substitutions, we computed the Pearson's correlations between the four

measures for structural divergence and nucleotide substitution rates including K_a and K_s , based on all duplicated genes disregarding their modes (Table 1). Differences in coding-region lengths are significantly, positively correlated with K_a and K_a/K_s , indicating that the evolution of gene lengths is related to selection. Differences in average exon lengths are also positively, but

Table 1 Correlations between structural divergence and nucleotide substitution rates for all duplicate gene pairs

Measure of structural divergence	Correlation (P-value) with		
	K_a	K_s	K_a/K_s
Difference in coding-region lengths	0.425 (0)	-0.175 (1.841×10^{-75})	0.525 (0)
Difference in average exon lengths	0.250 (0)	0.018 (0.067)	0.133 (0)
Number of indels	0.095 (0)	0.110 (0)	-0.005 (0.619)
Maximum indel length	0.040 (3.316×10^{-5})	-0.016 (0.101)	0.035 (2.169×10^{-4})

more moderately, correlated with K_a and K_a/K_s , indicating that the evolution of exon lengths is also related to selection. However, the number of indels is more likely to be related to K_s than K_a or K_a/K_s , indicating that indels occur more or less randomly between duplicated genes. The correlations between maximum indel lengths and nucleotide substitution rates are generally trivial, perhaps because duplicated genes losing long coding segments are preferentially lost following duplication. Structural divergence between duplicated genes were previously suggested to occur more or less randomly, i.e. correlated with evolutionary time [36]. However, we show that structural divergence between duplicated genes are related to both neutral evolution and selection, indicating that structural divergence between duplicated genes is a complicated process subject to both intrinsic and extrinsic factors.

Structural divergence and gene expression divergence

Expression divergence between duplicated genes is presumed to be determined by their genetic divergence such as regulatory sequence and coding sequence divergence. Indeed, expression divergence between duplicated genes was previously shown to be slightly correlated with K_a and/or K_s [24-26]. To date, it is unclear whether structural divergence between duplicated genes also affects their expression divergence. We computed the Pearson's correlations between the four measures for structural divergence and expression divergence based on the pooled modes of gene duplication (Table 2). All four measures of structural divergence are positively correlated with expression divergence, indicating that structural divergence between duplicated genes is related to expression divergence. This analysis suggests that to study the genetic mechanisms for expression evolution between homologs, it is useful to look into changes in their gene structures.

The NBS-LRR gene family shows higher-than-average structural divergence

The NBS-LRR genes have experienced frequent gene transposition in *Arabidopsis* [8]. As we have shown that transposed duplications tend to result in dramatic and biased changes in gene structure, we propose the hypothesis that the structural divergence between

duplicated genes belonging to the NBS-LRR family is higher than the genome average. We computed the average structural divergence between duplicated genes belonging to the NBS-LRR family and compared it to that of the whole set of gene duplications using a *t*-test (Table 3). The NBS-LRR gene family indeed shows higher-than-average structural divergence based on all four measures, suggesting that certain gene families may be under the selection for rapid evolution of gene structure.

Discussion

K_s increases approximately linearly with time only for relatively low levels of sequence divergence [39], meaning that there is great uncertainty in using K_s to represent evolutionary time. Thus, to ensure more accurate analyses, we did not use the correlation between structural divergence and K_s to investigate how structural divergence changes over time. Patterns of gene colinearity conservation within and between genomes can be used to estimate the epochs for WGDs and gene transpositions as previously described [6,40,41]. After assigning different epochs to gene duplication modes, we used their K_s distributions only for confirming the order of their relative ages.

Classical population genetic theories suggest that duplicated genes have identical sequences immediately following duplication, and then gradually diverge over evolutionary time [1]. The observation that structural divergence between WGD duplicates increases with time is consistent with this classical theory. Due to the fact that most tandem/proximal duplicates are relatively younger than the most recent, *Arabidopsis*-specific α WGD (Figure 1), comparison between different epochs of tandem/proximal duplications are not feasible in this work. However, the observation that transposed duplications show dramatic and biased structural changes is inconsistent with the classical theory – but consistent with the observation that various types of transposable elements frequently only duplicate gene fragments [37,38].

The observation that there is a decrease of maximum indel lengths between the transposed duplications that occurred <16 Mya and 16–107 Mya suggests that structural divergence between duplicated genes may not be proportional to evolutionary time. More variations in maximum indel lengths in recently transposed genes could indicate that many transposed duplicates are essentially pseudogenes and not performing important functions [37], mixed in with the few that confer a striking, adaptive change that may render them finally preserved. However, it should be noted that the striking structural changes that are beneficial still require the intactness of key biological functions, and the transposed

Table 2 Correlations between structural divergence and gene expression divergence for all duplicate gene pairs

Measure for structural divergence	Correlation	P-value
Difference in coding-region lengths	0.130	0
Difference in average exon lengths	0.076	3.46×10^{-11}
Number of indels	0.060	1.561×10^{-7}
Maximum indel length	0.124	0

Table 3 Comparison of structural divergence of duplicated genes between the NBS-LRR gene family and all duplicate gene pairs

Measure for structural divergence	NBS-LRR gene family mean	Population mean	t-test	P-value
Difference in coding-region lengths	119.7	76.0	2.862	4.984×10^{-3}
Difference in average exon lengths	255.8	164.8	2.902	4.434×10^{-3}
Number of indels	12.3	7.0	9.410	5.367×10^{-16}
Maximum indel length	93.9	51.6	3.336	1.139×10^{-3}

genes with extreme structural changes seldom survive over long evolutionary time.

This study reveals that structural divergence between duplicated genes, measured in different ways, shows different patterns depending on modes of gene duplication, and can be affected by both neutral evolution and selection. Changes in gene structure between duplicated genes involve not only alteration of exon-intron structure [36,42] and gain/loss of introns [43], but also gain/loss of DNA segments within coding-regions [37,38] which occurs more extensively in transposed duplications. Certainly there can be more measures to describe structural divergence between duplicated genes, and new biological insights can be generated based on novel measures for structural divergence. For duplicated genes, structural divergence seems more complicated than nucleotide substitutions. Future studies toward better understanding of the evolutionary mechanisms for gene structure changes are necessary.

Conclusions

In this work, we investigated structural divergence between *Arabidopsis* duplicated genes. We found that transposed duplicates diverge more dramatically in gene structure than genes duplicated by other modes, and that the structural changes in transposed duplications are biased toward shorter length and lower complexity. Structural divergence increases with evolutionary time for WGDs, but not transposed duplications, possibly because genes experiencing severe changes are preferentially lost. Structural divergence between duplicated genes is related to nucleotide substitution rates in different manners, but consistently positively correlated with expression divergence. The NBS-LRR gene family shows higher-than-average levels of structural divergence. This study suggests that structural divergence between duplicated genes, greatly affected by the mechanisms of gene duplication, may be not proportional to evolutionary time, and that certain gene families are under selection on rapid evolution of gene structure.

Methods

Genome annotations

Genome annotations for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Brassica rapa*, *Populus trichocarpa* and *Vitis vinifera* were

obtained from Phytozome v8.0 (<http://www.phytozome.net>). For genes with multiple transcripts, only the longest transcript was used in related analyses.

Identification of gene duplication modes in *Arabidopsis*

Transposable element-related genes in *Arabidopsis* were excluded from analysis. *Arabidopsis* WGD duplicates were initially obtained from a previous study [6]. Then, α WGD duplicates were updated according to another study [44], to exclude tandemly-duplicated WGD duplicates which were shown to have very similar evolutionary patterns with tandem duplicates [45]. The WGD duplicate pairs included 3181 α , 1451 β and 521 γ pairs. Other modes of gene duplication were identified from the BLASTP result [46] of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome (E -value $< 10^{-10}$ & top five non-self hits for each gene). A total of 2130 tandem and 784 proximal duplications were obtained based on the following criteria: tandem duplications were BLASTP hits to consecutive genes in the genome; proximal duplications were BLASTP hits to nearby genes in the genome interrupted by fewer than ten non-paralogous genes.

To identify *Arabidopsis* transposed duplications, WGD duplicate pairs and tandem and proximal duplications were removed from the BLASTP result. In *Arabidopsis*, ancestral loci were the colinear genes between *Arabidopsis* and its outgroups (related genomes showing colinearity with *Arabidopsis*), and the non-colinear genes were deemed to be novel loci. *Arabidopsis* transposed duplications were the BLASTP hits consisting of an ancestral chromosomal locus and a novel locus. Note that based on different sets of outgroups, transposed duplications that occurred within different epochs can be inferred [40,41]. Using *Brassica rapa*, *Populus trichocarpa* and *Vitis vinifera* as outgroups, we identified 1701 transposed duplications which occurred after *Arabidopsis*-*Brassica* divergence, i.e. < 16 Million years ago (Mya). Using *Populus trichocarpa* and *Vitis vinifera* as outgroups, we identified 2731 transposed duplications which occurred after *Arabidopsis*-*Populus* divergence, i.e. < 107 Mya. By subtraction of the above two sets of transposed duplications, the remained 1862 transposed duplications were inferred to have occurred between *Arabidopsis*-*Brassica* and *Arabidopsis*-*Populus*

divergence, i.e. 16–107 Mya. Arabidopsis duplicated genes of different modes are listed in Additional file 1.

Indels between duplicated genes

The protein sequences of two duplicated genes were aligned using Clustalw [47] with default parameters. The Clustalw alignment was then transformed to a “fasta” format alignment, in which, gaps, i.e. consecutive “-”, were deemed to be indels.

Coding sequence divergence

Coding sequence divergence was measured by non-synonymous (Ka) and synonymous (Ks) substitution rates. The protein sequences of duplicate genes were aligned using Clustalw [47] with default parameters. Then, the protein sequence alignment was converted to a coding sequence alignment using the “Bio::Align::Utilities” module in the BioPerl package (<http://www.bioperl.org/>). Finally, Ka and Ks were calculated using the Yang & Nielsen method [48] via the “Bio::Tools::Run::Phylo::PAML::Yn00” module in the BioPerl package.

Gene expression data

Gene expression data generated from the Affymetrix Arabidopsis ATH1 Genome Array (GPL198) were obtained from previous studies [26,49]. The expression divergence between duplicated genes was measured by $1-r$, where r is the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between their expression profiles [26].

Additional file

Additional file 1: Arabidopsis duplicated genes of different modes.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

YW and AHP conceived of the study and drafted the manuscript. YW designed and conducted the experiments. YW, XT and AHP interpreted the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

AHP appreciates funding from the National Science Foundation (NSF: DBI 0849896, MCB 0821096, MCB 1021718). YW was supported by an National Science Foundation grant (IOS #1127017) to Dr. Qi Sun at Cornell University. This study was supported in part by resources and technical expertise from the Georgia Advanced Computing Resource Center, a partnership between the Office of the Vice President for Research and the Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Author details

¹Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA. ²Computational Biology Service Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

Received: 2 May 2013 Accepted: 20 September 2013
Published: 24 September 2013

References

- Ohno S: *Evolution by gene duplication*. New York: Springer Verlag; 1970.
- Paterson AH, Freeling M, Tang H, Wang X: **Insights from the comparison of plant genome sequences**. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2010, **61**:349–372.
- Blanc G, Wolfe KH: **Widespread paleopolyploidy in model plant species inferred from age distributions of duplicate genes**. *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**(7):1667–1678.
- Maere S, De Bodt S, Raes J, Casneuf T, Van Montagu M, Kuiper M, Van de Peer Y: **Modeling gene and genome duplications in eukaryotes**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005, **102**(15):5454–5459.
- Freeling M: **Bias in plant gene content following different sorts of duplication: tandem, whole-genome, segmental, or by transposition**. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2009, **60**:433–453.
- Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong J, Paterson AH: **Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events**. *Nature* 2003, **422**(6930):433–438.
- Wang Y, Wang X, Paterson AH: **Genome and gene duplications and gene expression divergence: a view from plants**. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2012, **1256**:1–14.
- Freeling M, Lyons E, Pedersen B, Alam M, Ming R, Lisch D: **Many or most genes in Arabidopsis transposed after the origin of the order Brassicales**. *Genome Res* 2008, **18**(12):1924–1937.
- Cusack BP, Wolfe KH: **Not born equal: increased rate asymmetry in relocated and retrotransposed rodent gene duplicates**. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007, **24**(3):679–686.
- Lynch M, Force A: **The probability of duplicate gene preservation by subfunctionalization**. *Genetics* 2000, **154**(1):459–473.
- Lynch M, Conery JS: **The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes**. *Science* 2000, **290**(5494):1151–1155.
- Chapman BA, Bowers JE, Feltus FA, Paterson AH: **Buffering of crucial functions by paleologous duplicated genes may contribute cyclicality to angiosperm genome duplication**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006, **103**(8):2730–2735.
- Dean EJ, Davis JC, Davis RW, Petrov DA: **Pervasive and persistent redundancy among duplicated genes in yeast**. *PLoS Genet* 2008, **4**(7):e1000113.
- Gu Z, Steinmetz LM, Gu X, Scharfe C, Davis RW, Li WH: **Role of duplicate genes in genetic robustness against null mutations**. *Nature* 2003, **421**(6918):63–66.
- Kafri R, Dahan O, Levy J, Pilpel Y: **Preferential protection of protein interaction network hubs in yeast: evolved functionality of genetic redundancy**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, **105**(4):1243–1248.
- Freeling M, Thomas BC: **Gene-balanced duplications, like tetraploidy, provide predictable drive to increase morphological complexity**. *Genome Res* 2006, **16**(7):805–814.
- Birchler JA, Veitia RA: **The gene balance hypothesis: from classical genetics to modern genomics**. *Plant Cell* 2007, **19**(2):395–402.
- Aury JM, Jaillon O, Duret L, Noel B, Jubin C, Porcel BM, Segurens B, Daubin V, Anthouard V, Aiach N, et al: **Global trends of whole-genome duplications revealed by the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia**. *Nature* 2006, **444**(7116):171–178.
- Bekaert M, Edger PP, Pires JC, Conant GC: **Two-phase resolution of polyploidy in the Arabidopsis metabolic network gives rise to relative and absolute dosage constraints**. *Plant Cell* 2011, **23**(5):1719–1728.
- Paterson AH, Chapman BA, Kissinger JC, Bowers JE, Feltus FA, Estill JC: **Many gene and domain families have convergent fates following independent whole-genome duplication events in Arabidopsis, Oryza, Saccharomyces and Tetraodon**. *Trends Genet* 2006, **22**(11):597–602.
- Blanc G, Wolfe KH: **Functional divergence of duplicated genes formed by polyploidy during Arabidopsis evolution**. *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**(7):1679–1691.
- Rizzon C, Ponger L, Gaut BS: **Striking similarities in the genomic distribution of tandemly arrayed genes in Arabidopsis and rice**. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2006, **2**(9):e115.
- Hanada K, Zou C, Lehti-Shiu MD, Shinozaki K, Shiu SH: **Importance of lineage-specific expansion of plant tandem duplicates in the adaptive response to environmental stimuli**. *Plant Physiol* 2008, **148**(2):993–1003.
- Casneuf T, De Bodt S, Raes J, Maere S, Van de Peer Y: **Nonrandom divergence of gene expression following gene and genome duplications in the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana**. *Genome Biol* 2006, **7**(2):R13.
- Ganko EW, Meyers BC, Vision TJ: **Divergence in expression between duplicated genes in Arabidopsis**. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007, **24**(10):2298–2309.
- Wang Y, Wang X, Tang H, Tan X, Ficklin SP, Feltus FA, Paterson AH: **Modes of gene duplication contribute differently to genetic novelty and redundancy, but show parallels across divergent angiosperms**. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(12):e28150.

27. Li Z, Zhang H, Ge S, Gu X, Gao G, Luo J: **Expression pattern divergence of duplicated genes in rice.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009, **6**(10):S8.
28. Hakes L, Pinney JW, Lovell SC, Oliver SG, Robertson DL: **All duplicates are not equal: the difference between small-scale and genome duplication.** *Genome Biol* 2007, **8**(10):R209.
29. Guan Y, Dunham MJ, Troyanskaya OG: **Functional analysis of gene duplications in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Genetics* 2007, **175**(2):933–943.
30. Arabidopsis Interactome Mapping Consortium: **Evidence for network evolution in an Arabidopsis interactome map.** *Science* 2011, **333**(6042):601–607.
31. Wang Y, Wang X, Lee TH, Mansoor S, Paterson AH: **Gene body methylation shows distinct patterns associated with different gene origins and duplication modes and has a heterogeneous relationship with gene expression in *Oryza sativa* (rice).** *New Phytol* 2013, **198**(1):274–283.
32. Raes J, Van de Peer Y: **Functional divergence of proteins through frameshift mutations.** *Trends Genet* 2005, **21**(8):428–431.
33. Guo B, Zou M, Wagner A: **Pervasive indels and their evolutionary dynamics after the fish-specific genome duplication.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2012, **29**(10):3005–3022.
34. Zhang Z, Huang J, Wang Z, Wang L, Gao P: **Impact of indels on the flanking regions in structural domains.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, **28**(1):291–301.
35. Zhang Z, Wang Y, Wang L, Gao P: **The combined effects of amino acid substitutions and indels on the evolution of structure within protein families.** *PLoS One* 2010, **5**(12):e14316.
36. Xu G, Guo C, Shan H, Kong H: **Divergence of duplicate genes in exon-intron structure.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012, **109**(4):1187–1192.
37. Juretic N, Hoen DR, Huynh ML, Harrison PM, Bureau TE: **The evolutionary fate of MULE-mediated duplications of host gene fragments in rice.** *Genome Res* 2005, **15**(9):1292–1297.
38. Zhang YE, Vibranovski MD, Krinsky BH, Long M: **A cautionary note for retrocopy identification: DNA-based duplication of intron-containing genes significantly contributes to the origination of single exon genes.** *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27**(13):1749–1753.
39. Li WH: *Molecular Evolution*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates; 1997.
40. Woodhouse MR, Tang H, Freeling M: **Different gene families in Arabidopsis thaliana transposed in different epochs and at different frequencies throughout the rosids.** *Plant Cell* 2011, **23**(12):4241–4253.
41. Wang Y, Li J, Paterson AH: **MCSanX-transposed: detecting transposed gene duplications based on multiple colinearity scans.** *Bioinformatics* 2013, **29**(11):1458–1460.
42. Zhang Z, Zhou L, Wang P, Liu Y, Chen X, Hu L, Kong X: **Divergence of exonic splicing elements after gene duplication and the impact on gene structures.** *Genome Biol* 2009, **10**(11):R120.
43. Knowles DG, McLysaght A: **High rate of recent intron gain and loss in simultaneously duplicated Arabidopsis genes.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2006, **23**(8):1548–1557.
44. Thomas BC, Pedersen B, Freeling M: **Following tetraploidy in an Arabidopsis ancestor, genes were removed preferentially from one homeolog leaving clusters enriched in dose-sensitive genes.** *Genome Res* 2006, **16**(7):934–946.
45. Wang Y: **Locally duplicated ohnologs evolve faster than nonlocally duplicated ohnologs in Arabidopsis and rice.** *Genome Biol Evol* 2013, **5**(2):362–369.
46. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: **Basic local alignment search tool.** *J Mol Biol* 1990, **215**(3):403–410.
47. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ: **CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994, **22**(22):4673–4680.
48. Yang Z, Nielsen R: **Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates under realistic evolutionary models.** *Mol Biol Evol* 2000, **17**(1):32–43.
49. Spangler JB, Subramaniam S, Freeling M, Feltus FA: **Evidence of function for conserved noncoding sequences in Arabidopsis thaliana.** *New Phytol* 2012, **193**(1):241–252.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-652

Cite this article as: Wang *et al.*: Different patterns of gene structure divergence following gene duplication in Arabidopsis. *BMC Genomics* 2013 **14**:652.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

