

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria from perennial ryegrass and genome mining of novel antimicrobial gene clusters

Zhibo Li¹, Chunxu Song^{1,2}, Yanglei Yi^{1,3} and Oscar P. Kuipers^{1*} 

Abstract

Background: Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are good alternatives for chemical fertilizers and pesticides, which cause severe environmental problems worldwide. Even though many studies focus on PGPR, most of them are limited in plant-microbe interaction studies and neglect the pathogens affecting ruminants that consume plants. In this study, we expand the view to the food chain of grass-ruminant-human. We aimed to find biocontrol strains that can antagonize grass pathogens and mammalian pathogens originated from grass, thus protecting this food chain. Furthermore, we deeply mined into bacterial genomes for novel biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) that can contribute to biocontrol.

Results: We screened 90 bacterial strains from the rhizosphere of healthy Dutch perennial ryegrass and characterized seven strains (*B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* MG27, *B. velezensis* MG33 and MG43, *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84, *B. altitudinis* MG75, and *B. laterosporus* MG64) that showed a stimulatory effect on grass growth and pathogen antagonism on both phytopathogens and mammalian pathogens. Genome-mining of the seven strains discovered abundant BGCs, with some known, but also several potential novel ones. Further analysis revealed potential intact and novel BGCs, including two NRPSs, four NRPS-PKS hybrids, and five bacteriocins.

Conclusion: Abundant potential novel BGCs were discovered in functional protective isolates, especially in *B. pumilus*, *B. altitudinis* and *Brevibacillus* strains, indicating their great potential for the production of novel secondary metabolites. Our report serves as a basis to further identify and characterize these compounds and study their antagonistic effects against plant and mammalian pathogens.

Keywords: *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, Biosynthetic gene clusters, Nonribosomal peptides, Polyketides, Bacteriocins

Background

Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) is one of the most important pasture plants in the world due to its high levels of palatability and nutritional value for ruminants [1]. The biomass and quality of perennial ryegrass are very crucial for the food chain of grass-ruminant-human since it not only produces food to ruminants but also determines the quality of meat and dairy products for

human beings [2, 3]. The susceptibility of plants and potential causes of ruminant diseases by plant-originated pathogens are threats to the safety of this food chain. Although chemical fertilizers and pesticides can ensure the biomass production and the quality of the perennial ryegrass (if not vestigial), their usage may cause severe environmental problems. Thus, there is a need to find an environmentally friendly way to ensure the production of healthy grass.

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been widely reported to be effective in stimulating the growth of plants as well as protecting the plants from

* Correspondence: o.p.kuipers@rug.nl

¹Department of Molecular Genetics, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



pathogens, which could be an alternative for chemical fertilizers and pesticides. *Bacillus* is one of the most famous PGPR because of its endospore-forming capability, which confers them better survival in the environment [4], and abundant plant growth-promoting traits, including nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, induced systemic resistance (ISR) induction, and most importantly antimicrobial production [5, 6].

Antimicrobials produced by *Bacillus* and closely related species are very diverse [7]. Based on their biosynthesis pathway, these antimicrobials are classified into three main groups: nonribosomal peptides (NRPs), polyketides (PKs), and bacteriocins. NRPs are synthesized in a nonribosomal pathway through nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs), which are huge enzymes constituted by different modules. Each module incorporates one amino acid residue, including non-proteinic amino acids. NRPs such as surfactin, fengycin, bacillomycin D, polymyxin, fusaricidin, etc. are very well-known antimicrobials produced by different *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains [8–10]. PKs are another class of antimicrobials synthesized with mega enzymes, which are called polyketide synthetases (PKSs). Well-known PKs produced by *Bacillus* or *Brevibacillus* include difficidin, bacillaene, macrolactin, basiliskamides, etc. [11–13]. Contrary to NRPs and PKs, bacteriocins are a class of antimicrobials synthesized in a ribosomal pathway. *Bacillus*-originated bacteriocins such as subtilisin A, plantozolicin, and subtilomycin are well studied [14–16].

Antimicrobials produced by *Bacillus* and closely related species were reported playing very important roles in biocontrol. The abolishment of surfactin production in *Bacillus subtilis* 6051 reduced its colonization to *Arabidopsis* roots and suppression of *Pseudomonas*-originated disease [17]. Iturins and fengycins produced by *B. subtilis* contribute to antagonism against *Podosphaera fusca*, a pathogen cause phyllosphere diseases in melon leaves [18]. *Bacillus velezensis* FZB42 (formerly *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42), the Gram-positive model bacterium in biocontrol, employs difficidin, bacilysin, and bacillaene to suppress fire blight disease of orchard trees [19]. In addition, siderophores (bacillibactin), cyclic lipopeptides (surfactin, fengycin, fusaricidin, etc.) can elicit induced systemic resistance (ISR) of plants, thus arming the plant against diseases caused by pathogens [5, 8, 20, 21]. In recent decades, volatiles such as 2,3-butanediol produced by *Bacillus* were also found to be elicitors of ISR [22].

Even though abundant studies focus on *Bacillus* and closely related PGPR, most of them are limited to the plant pathogens and neglect the mammalian pathogens that may enter the body of animals through grazing. For example, *Claviceps purpurea* f. *secalis*, a fungal pathogen that causes ergotism in ruminants and humans [23], is originated from forage plants. *Pithomyces chartarum*, a

fungal pathogen produces sporidesmin that causes facial eczema in sheep [24], also originates from the grass. PGPR that can antagonize both phytopathogens and mammalian pathogens would ensure the safety of this food chain. Therefore, we aimed to isolate and screen *Bacillus* sp. and closely related PGPR strains from the rhizosphere of healthy perennial ryegrass and further mine into the genomes of the candidate PGPR strains to find novel biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) that are potentially involved in phytopathogen and plant-originated mammalian pathogen antagonism.


Results and discussion

Characterization of strains

A total of 90 Gram-positive bacterial strains were isolated from the rhizosphere of perennial ryegrass [25]. To characterize the strains, 16S rRNA genes were amplified and sequenced. A phylogenetic tree was constructed with the obtained sequences as well as 16S rRNA sequences of representative strains (Fig. 1). The strains were clustered into 4 different genera: *Bacillus* (83 strains), *Lysinibacillus* (4 strains), *Solibacillus* (2 strains), and *Brevibacillus* (1 strain). Among the dominant genus of *Bacillus*, 37 and 30 strains belong to the *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* groups, respectively, while the rest 16 strains form an independent group that consists of *B. megaterium* and *B. simplex*. The great abundance of *Bacillus* is consistent with the study by Garbeva et al. [27], in which up to 95% of Gram-positive bacteria in the permanent grassland are *Bacillus* and related species.

Antimicrobial activity

We evaluated all 90 strains for their antimicrobial activity against two pathogens: *Xanthomonas translucens* pv. *graminis* LMG587 and *Magnaporthe oryzae* Guy11. The rationale behind the selection is to cover the two major classes of pathogens (i.e. Gram-negative bacteria and fungi) in perennial ryegrass. *X. translucens* pv. *graminis* is a Gram-negative phytopathogen that causes bacterial wilt in perennial ryegrass and leads to great loss in temperate grassland regions [28]. *M. oryzae* is a fungal pathogen that causes severe blast disease in many *Poaceae* plants, including perennial ryegrass [29, 30]. In total 30 strains showed inhibition against *X. translucens* pv. *graminis* LMG587 and 23 strains against *M. oryzae* Guy11. Of all strains, 16 showed antagonistic activity against both pathogens and 15 of them belong to the *B. subtilis* group, while the last one belongs to the genus of *Brevibacillus* (Additional file 1: Table S1). A total of 7 most inhibitory strains (i.e. MG27, MG33, MG43, MG52, MG64, MG75, and MG84) were selected to extend their antimicrobial spectrum and for further evaluation of their plant growth promotion potential.



Soil-borne plant pathogens and animal pathogens widely exist and cause serious diseases in higher organisms [31, 32]. Some animal pathogens can first adapt to the plant host and finally transmit to animals [33]. Plant pathogens and plant-originated mammalian pathogens that are a potential threat to perennial ryegrass and mammals in the food chain of grass-ruminant-human, were selected as indicators for the antimicrobial activity test. As indicated in Table 1, MG27, MG33, MG43, and MG64 showed very broad inhibitory spectra. They can antagonize Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and oomycetes (Table 1). In contrast to that, MG52, MG75, and MG84 showed better activity on bacteria than on fungi and oomycetes (Table 1). It is worth to note that some of the selected strains displayed antimicrobial activity against the fungal mammalian pathogens. MG27, MG33, MG43, and MG64 can antagonize *C. purpurea f. secalis* and *P.*

chartarum, while MG75 and MG84 showed activity against *C. purpurea f. secalis*. This result suggests the possibility of using PGPR to control animal pathogens, thus safeguarding the food chain of grass-ruminant-human. However, we also realize the current knowledge gaps in this area. The mechanisms underlying the interaction of animal pathogens, plants, and PGPR are largely unknown. How to employ PGPR to control animal pathogens in the natural environment is a big question need to be answered. More in-depth studies will be needed before its application.

Plant growth-promoting effect of the selected strains

The plant growth-promotion effect of the candidate strains was tested with two different methods: 1) by inoculating onto the root tips of perennial ryegrass seedlings to test direct plant growth-promoting effect of the isolates; 2) via two-compartment petri dishes, where

Table 1 Antimicrobial activity of the seven selected bacterial strains from perennial ryegrass

Pathogen types	Species or strains	MG27	MG33	MG43	MG52	MG64	MG75	MG84
Gram-negative bacteria	<i>Erwinia carotovora</i> subsp. <i>brasiliensis</i> LMG21371	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
	<i>Pectobacterium carotovorum</i> LMG05863	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>antirrhini</i> LMG02131	+	+	+	++	+	+	++
	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i> DC3000	+	+	+	++	+	+	++
	<i>Ralstonia syzygii</i> subsp. <i>syzygii</i> LMG06969	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> NCCB92058	+	++	++	++	+	+	++
	<i>Xanthomonas translucens</i> pv. <i>graminis</i> LMG587*	+	++	++	++	++	++	++
Gram-positive bacteria	<i>Streptomyces scabies</i> ISP5078	+	+	+	++	+	-	++
Fungi	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Fusarium culmorum</i>	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	●	●	●	○	●	●	●
	<i>Magnaporthe oryzae</i> Guy 11*	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Pithomyces chartarum</i> CBS485.71#	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Claviceps purpurea</i> f. <i>secalis</i> CBS112.45#	●	●	●	○	●	●	●
Oomycetes	<i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i>	●	●	●	○	●	○	○
	<i>Pythium ultimum</i>	●	●	●	○	●	○	○

In the antibacterial assay, no inhibition (-), inhibitory zone < 5 mm (+), inhibitory zone ≥ 5 mm (++). In the antifungal/oomycetal assay, no inhibition (○), clear inhibition (●).

*Pathogens used to screen the isolated strains

#Mammalian pathogens

bacteria can only stimulate plant growth through volatile organic compounds (VOCs). When inoculated to root tips, MG27 and MG33 showed more than a 2.5-fold increase on shoot biomass and an approximately two-fold increase on root biomass compared to control. MG43 showed more than a two-fold increase in shoot biomass and no increase in root biomass. Other strains tested did not show any significant plant growth-promotion effect (Additional file 1: Figure S1). When the bacteria were inoculated with two-compartment petri dishes, all tested strains showed significant increases in shoot and root biomass of the perennial ryegrass with variations among strains. MG64 showed an approximately two-fold increase of both shoot and root biomass, while other strains showed more than a 2.5-fold increase of both shoot and root biomass (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Genome sequencing of the selected strains and phylogenetic analysis

The genomes of the seven selected strains were sequenced and their DNA sequences were described previously [25]. Phylogenetic analysis using whole-genome sequences was conducted with Gegenees [34] and a phylogenetic tree was built with SplitTree [35]. As presented in Fig. 2, MG27, MG33, and MG43 fall into the *B. subtilis* subgroup, while MG52, MG75, and MG84 belong to the *B. pumilus* subgroup, which is different from 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis that these two

subgroups did not clearly separate (Fig. 1). There are no strains belonging to the group of *B. cereus*, which is in accordance with the 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). MG64 was clustered to the genus of *Brevibacillus*, which is far away from the *Bacillus* genus phylogenetically (Fig. 2). The species names of the seven strains were designated as their most closely related strains, namely *B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* MG27, *B. velezensis* MG33 and MG43, *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84, *B. altitudinis* MG75, and *B. laterosporus* MG64.

Genome mining for BGCs

Bacillus and closely related species form a great reservoir of antimicrobials [7]. In order to evaluate the biosynthetic potential of the selected strains, their genomic sequences were analyzed by antiSMASH 5.0 [36] for mining of nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), polyketide synthetase (PKS), NRPS-PKS hybrid, and terpene BGCs, and by BAGEL4 [37] for mining of bacteriocin BGCs. Among the genus of *Bacillus*, the *B. subtilis* subgroup members (*B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* MG27, *B. velezensis* MG33, and *B. velezensis* MG43) harbor abundant NRPSs and PKSs (Fig. 3a) and the majority of the BGCs are assigned to known products (Fig. 3b, Additional file 1: Table S2). The remaining-unknown BGCs from this subgroup are terpene and PKS (Fig. 3c, Additional file 1: Figure S2), including type III PKS, a homodimeric iterative polyketide synthase recently found




Fig. 2 Phylogenetic analysis of the selected strains and their reference strains based on the genomic sequences. The comparison was conducted with Gegenees using a default setting [34]. The dendrogram was constructed in SplitTree [35]. Different groups of bacteria were indicated with different colors of shading. The seven strains isolated in this study were indicated with red font




Fig. 3 Numbers of BGCs harbored by the strains and the percentage of the total size of BGCs in the genomes. (a) total number of BGCs in the strains. (b) number of reported BGCs in the genomes of strains. (c) number of unknown BGCs found in the strains. BGCs that have different numbers of genes or show less than 70% protein identity to the reported ones were regarded as novel. (d) the percentage of BGCs sizes in the genomes

present in microorganisms [38]. The total size of the BGCs in *B. subtilis subsp. subtilis* MG27 is approximately 176 kb and accounts for 4.2% of the genome size (Fig. 3d). This percentage is in line with the estimation of other *B. subtilis* strains, which is 4–5% on average [39]. *B. velezensis* MG33 and MG43 devote around 8.9 and 8.4% of their genomes to synthesis antimicrobial metabolites, respectively (Fig. 3d). This result is similar to the estimation of *Bacillus velezensis* FZB42, which is 8.5% [40]. The *B. pumilus* subgroup members (*B. pumilus* MG52, *B. pumilus* MG84, and *B. altitudinis* MG75) possess 8 to 11 BGCs (Fig. 3a). The abundance of terpene is an outstanding characteristic of this subgroup (Fig. 3a). Most of the BGCs from this group remain unknown, especially bacteriocins and terpenes (Fig. 3c, Additional file 1: Figure S2, Figure S3). The *B. pumilus* subgroup members devote 2.9 to 4.2% of their genomes to BGCs.

B. laterosporus has drawn increasing attention in recent years because of its outstanding ability of antimicrobial production. Borogols [41], brevibacillins [42], tauramamide [43], brevicidine [44], laterocidine [44], etc. are antimicrobials reported in the past two decades. Genome mining reveals that *B. laterosporus* MG64 harbors the most abundant gene clusters among the seven strains, which reach a total number of 26 (Fig. 3a). Five NRPSs were assigned to brevicidine, auriprocine, tyrocidine, petrobactin, bogorol, respectively (Fig. 3b, Additional file 1: Table S2). Up to 21 BGCs in *B. laterosporus* MG64 remained unknown and the majority of them are NRPS, NRPS-PKS hybrid, and bacteriocin (Fig. 3c, Additional file 1: Figure S2, Figure S3). The total size of the BGCs is approximately 500 kb, which accounts for 9.7% of the genome (Fig. 3d). This percentage is higher than *Bacillus velezensis* (8.5%) and *Streptomyces avermitilis* (6.4%), which are well-known antimicrobial producing strains [40, 45]. This result suggests the great value of *B. laterosporus* MG64 in biocontrol and pharmaceutical application, for some of its natural products might have the potential to be antibiotics.


Potential novel modular BGCs

NRPS, PKS, and NRPS-PKS hybrid are modular enzymes that synthesize secondary metabolites, some of which are well-known weapons for plant disease control [8]. Modular BGCs found in the selected strains with all essential modules (starting module, elongation module, termination module) were listed in Fig. 4. Despite the abundantly identified BGCs in *B. velezensis* MG33, one modular gene cluster showing no similarity to known BGCs was found (Fig. 4a). This BGC consists of 9 genes and has a total size of 40 kb. The cooccurrence of NRPS domains and PKS domains indicates it is a hybrid of both. The NRPS modules incorporate six amino acid residues while PKS

modules likely incorporate and modify one polyketide moiety. It is difficult to predict the potential activity of its final product because the antimicrobials (surfactin, fengycin, bacillomycin D, bacilysin, difficidin, etc.) produced by *B. velezensis* MG33 are well-known for killing different kinds of pathogens [8, 19].

An interesting BGC discovered in *B. pumilus* MG52, *B. pumilus* MG84, and *B. altitudinis* MG75 is partially identical to lichenysin (Fig. 4b), which is a surfactin family lipopeptide biosurfactant produced by *Bacillus licheniformis*. Lichenysin is not only involved in direct pathogen antagonism but also affects the colonization of bacteria to plant, thus regarded as important in biocontrol [8]. This lichenysin-like BGC contains six genes, and four of them showed more than 50% sequence identity to the lichenysin BGC. Furthermore, the amino acid residues incorporated by these four genes are identical to lichenysin as well. However, there are two additional genes in between *lchAC* and *lchAD* (Fig. 4b). They encode four modules, which are responsible for the incorporation of four residues (Fig. 4b). Whether the additional genes are functional or not remains unclear. On the one hand, a thioesterase (TE) domain was encoded by *lchAC*, indicating that the biosynthesis of the lichenysin is likely not affected. On the other hand, other *B. pumilus* strains also showed this interesting phenomenon (data not shown), which suggests this is likely to be an evolutionary horizontal gene transfer. Experimental proofs are needed to answer this interesting question. Another unique NRPS-PKS hybrid BGC in *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84 contains thirteen genes and encodes ten modules (Fig. 4c). This gene cluster showed 21% similarity to paenilamicin, an antibacterial and antifungal NRPS-PKS hybrid produced by *Paenibacillus larvae* [46]. However, neither the order of genes nor the predicted amino acid composition shows similarity to paenilamicin, indicating the putative novelty of the final product. *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84 displayed potent activity against bacterial pathogens (Table 1). However, well-known antibacterial compounds were not found by the genome mining (Additional file 1: Table S2). This suggests the potential functionality of these novel BGCs.

Three potential intact and novel BGCs were discovered in *B. laterosporus* MG64 (Fig. 4). The first one is a 32-kb NRPS-PKS hybrid BGC (Fig. 4d). This BGC contains seven genes and encodes six NRPS modules and two PKS modules. This gene cluster does not show any similarity to the reported BGCs, indicating its great novelty. The second one is a 25-kb NRPS that contains nine genes (Fig. 4e). Six modules are encoded by the three core biosynthetic genes, indicates the incorporation of six amino acids. This BGC showed an 11% similarity to zwittermycin A, an NRPS-PKS hybrid produced by *B. cereus* [47]. However, the type of BGCs and the gene



numbers are different from zwittermycin A, suggesting the putative novelty of the final product. The third one is also identified to be an NRPS (Fig. 4f). This BGC is around 27 kb in size and is constituted with two large core biosynthetic genes, which encode seven modules. The structure of this peptide remained unclear owing to the diverse function of a TE domain [48]. Bogorol and brevicidine that are identified by the genome mining were reported to have antibacterial activity [41, 44]. They are likely responsible for the antibacterial activity of *B. laterosporus* MG64. However, the antimicrobials responsible for its antifungal and antioomycetal activity (Table 1) are not yet clear. Therefore, the three BGCs identified here are potentially functional.

Potential novel bacteriocin BGCs

Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesized antimicrobial peptides that mainly kill bacteria closely related to producers. They are classified into three main classes: class I

small ribosomally produced and posttranslationally modified peptides (RiPPs), class II unmodified peptides, and class III large antimicrobial peptides (> 10 kDa) [7, 49]. Among them, RiPPs (including lanthipeptides, circular bacteriocins, sactipeptides, linear azole-containing peptides, thiopeptides, glycosins, and lasso peptides) are the most well-studied, wide-distributed, and active peptides [7, 50]. Potential novel RiPPs BGCs with predicted precursors discovered in the selected strains are listed in Fig. 5.

One circular bacteriocin BGC was harbored by both *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84 (Fig. 5a). The gene cluster contains six genes. The precursor peptide contains 96 amino acids and the C terminus (from I33 to F96) showed 39% identity to amylocyclicin, which is a 6381-Da circular bacteriocin produced by *B. velezensis* FZB42 and showed to be active against closely related Gram-positive bacteria [51]. However, the rest of the genes show very low similarity to amylocyclicin BGC (data not shown), which indicates putative novel modification




Fig. 5 Potential novel bacteriocins with predicted precursor peptides. The BGCs were predicted by BAGEL4 [37]. **(a)** a circular bacteriocin found in both *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84. **(b-c)** two circular bacteriocins discovered in *B. altitudinis* MG75. **(d)** a circular bacteriocin and **(e)** a lanthipeptide harbored by *B. laterosporus* MG64. The potential core peptides are indicated in red. The potential cleavage sites are indicated with arrows. The numbers indicate the position of amino acid residues

patterns. The potent activity of *B. pumilus* MG52 and MG84 against the Gram-positive bacterium *S. scabiei* (Table 1) and the lack of known antimicrobials in their genomes (Additional file 1: Table S1) suggest the potential activity of this circular bacteriocin.

B. altitudinis MG75 harbors two circular bacteriocin BGCs (Fig. 5). The first one constitutes six genes (Fig. 5b). Its precursor peptide contains 108 amino acids and showed 67% protein identity to enterocin AS-48, which is a model circular bacteriocin produced by *Enterococcus* [52]. The second circular bacteriocin from *B. altitudinis* MG75 potentially contains 10 genes (Fig. 5b). The precursor peptide comprises 118 amino acids and the C terminus (from L58 to W118) showed 52% identity to enterocin NKR-5-3B, which is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial produced by *Enterococcus faecium* NKR-5-3 [53]. *B. altitudinis* MG75 did not antagonize the Gram-positive bacterium *S. scabiei* (Table 1). Therefore, the functionality of these circular bacteriocins remains unclear.

B. laterosporus MG64 harbors one circular bacteriocin and one lanthipeptide (Fig. 5). The BGC of circular bacteriocin contains seven genes (Fig. 5d). The core biosynthetic gene encodes a 58-amino acid peptide, which showed 62% protein identity to laterosporulin and 52% to laterosporulin10, both of which are produced by *B. laterosporus* and display antimicrobial activity against several bacterial pathogens [54, 55]. The lanthipeptide BGC from *B. laterosporus* MG64 (Fig. 5e) contains five genes. The second, fourth, and fifth genes were annotated as *lanB* (dehydratase), *lanC* (cyclase), and *lanD* (decarboxylase), respectively, which are commonly found in lanthipeptide BGCs. The core biosynthetic gene encodes a 43-amino acid peptide, which showed 39% similarity to both gallidermin and epidermin, two cationic lanthipeptides produced by *Staphylococcus* and displayed activity against a wide range of Gram-positive bacteria [56]. The antibacterial activity of *B. laterosporus* MG64 is likely conferred by bogorol and brevicidine [41, 44]. Therefore, we cannot elaborate on the putative functions of these bacteriocins.

Conclusions

In this study, we identified 7 potential PGPR strains, out of 90 strains screened, that can antagonize both phytopathogens and plant-originated mammalian pathogens, thus showing the possibility to employ PGPR to protect the food chain of grass-ruminant-human. Further mining into the genomes of the potential PGPR strains reveals a great number of BGCs, including known and potential novel ones. We show the great potential of *B. pumilus* subgroup strains in bacteriocin and terpene production and the great values of *B. laterosporus* MG64 in the production of natural products, which may also have pharmaceutical potential. Furthermore, eleven potential intact and novel BGCs were analyzed in detail, including two NRPSs, four NRPS-PKS hybrids, and five bacteriocins (four circular bacteriocins and one lanthipeptide). Further efforts will be directed to identify these interesting secondary metabolites as well as their contribution to biocontrol.

Methods

Plant material, bacterial isolation, and strains

Perennial ryegrass seeds (cultivar Barsprinter) used in this study were provided by the company Barenbrug in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Bacterial isolation from rhizosphere soil samples has been described previously and the genomic sequences of the most promising strains (MG27, MG33, MG43, MG52, MG64, MG75, and MG84) were placed in GenBank under accession no. of QJJA00000000, QJJB00000000, QJJC00000000, QJIZ00000000, QJJD00000000, QJIMF00000000, and QJJE00000000, respectively [25]. The seven promising strains were also deposited in the NCCB collection (the Netherlands) under the accession numbers from NCCB100736 to NCCB100742.

Identification of bacterial strains

The cells of each bacterial isolate were collected by centrifuging at 10,000 rpm for 1 min and suspending in Mili-Q water. The suspension was heated at 100 °C for 10 min and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 1 min after cooling down to room temperature. The supernatant was used as template DNA in a PCR to amplify 16S rRNA for characterization. PCR amplifications were conducted with bacterial-specific 16S rRNA primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGTATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') as well as the high fidelity Phusion polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). PCR products were purified with a NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel) and sequenced at Macrogen Inc. The resulted partial sequences of 16S rRNA were aligned with relevant type strains with Muscle [57] in MEGA7 [26]. A neighbor-joining consensus tree [58] was constructed based on the alignment.

The main parameters used were as follows: Bootstrap method and 1000 bootstrap replications for phylogeny test [59], Tamura-Nei model for nucleotide substitution [60], Gamma distribution for rate variation among sites, and complete deletion for treatment of gaps. The resulted phylogenetic tree was visualized and modified in iTOL [61].

In vitro antagonistic assay

Bacterial pathogens were streaked on LB plates and incubated at 28 °C overnight. The colonies were suspended in LB broth and mixed with melted LB medium (cool down to 45 °C) at a final concentration of 1×10^{-6} CFU/ml before pouring plates. After solidification, 5 μ l bacterial solution ($OD_{600} = 1.0$) made with isolates was inoculated onto the plate. The plates were incubated at 28 °C for 48 h before measuring the diameters of inhibition halos. Fungal and oomycetal pathogens were inoculated on TSA plates (TSB solidified with 1.5% agar) and incubated at 28 °C for 5 days. An agar plug (5 mm diameter) with fungal hyphae or oomycete spore was cut and inoculated onto the center of a new TSA plate. A 5 μ l sample of each bacterial solution at an OD_{600} of 1.0 was spotted 2 cm away from the plug symmetrically. Plates were double sealed with parafilm and incubated at 28 °C for another 5 days. The antagonistic activities were then documented.

Plant growth-promoting assay

For surface sterilization, the ryegrass seeds were pretreated with 0.3 M HCl for 6 h, followed by submerging in 2% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min and then washed with sterile water for 10 times to remove HCl and sodium hypochlorite completely. The seeds were germinated on wet sterile filter paper in a large petri-dish which was sealed with parafilm and then incubated at 25 °C without light. After germination for 5 days, the ryegrass seedlings were then transferred to fresh ½ MS (Duchefa Biochemie) plates solidified with 0.8% plant agar (Duchefa Biochemie). After 2 days growing in ½ MS plates, 5 μ l bacterial solution in 10 mM $MgSO_4$ with an OD_{600} of 1.0 was inoculated, while the same amount of 10 mM $MgSO_4$ solution was used as control. For root tip inoculation assays, the bacterial solution was inoculated to the root tip of each seedling. To study the volatile effect, the bacterial solution was inoculated onto the center of a small LB agar plate that forms a physically separated compartment inside the ½ MS medium plate. After co-culture for 7 days. The ryegrass seedlings were harvested and the biomass of shoot and root were measured separately. A one-way ANOVA analysis using a Tukey post-hoc test was conducted with SPSS ($P < 0.05$) to evaluate the significance.

Genome sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The genome sequences of the selected strains were determined as described previously [25]. Genome-scale comparison of the seven bacterial strains and other relevant strains were conducted with Gegenees [34] based on a fragmented nucleotides alignment with a setting of 200/100. Based on the alignment, a dendrogram was constructed in SplitTree 4.14.4 [35] with a neighbor-joining method and visualized in iTOL [61].

Genome mining for BGCs

The genome mining for biosynthetic gene clusters of antimicrobial compounds including NRPs, PKs, NRPs-PKs hybrids, bacteriocins, and terpenes was conducted with antiSMASH 5.0 [36] and BAGEL4 [37]. Each draft genome was assembled into a pseudomolecule using a closely related strain as a reference before applying to the pipelines. The genes predicted from both pipelines were further confirmed with protein BLAST. BGCs that have different numbers of genes or show less than 70% protein identity to the reported ones were regarded as novel.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6563-7>.

Additional file 1 : Table S1. Screening of bacterial isolates for antagonistic strains. **Table S2.** Known antimicrobial BGCs found in the genomes of isolates. **Figure S1.** Plant growth-promotion effects of the selected strains on perennial ryegrass. **Figure S2.** Potential novel NRPS, PKs, NRPS-PKs hybrid, terpene BGCs mined from AntiSMASH 5.0. **Figure S3.** Potential novel bacteriocin BGCs mined from BAGEL4.

Abbreviations

A: Adenylation; ACP: Acyl-carrier protein; AT: Acyltransferase; Atd: Trans-acyltransferase docking; BGCs: Biosynthetic gene clusters; C: Condensation; CAL: Co-enzyme A ligase domain; DH: Dehydratase; E: Epimerization; ISR: Induced systemic resistance; KR: Keto-reductase; KS: Keto-synthase; NRPs: Nonribosomal peptides; NRPSs: Nonribosomal peptide synthetases; PCP: Peptidyl carrier protein; PGPR: Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria; PKs: Polyketides; PKSs: Polyketide synthetases; RiPPs: Ribosomally produced and posttranslationally modified peptides; TE: Thioesterase; VOCs: Volatile organic compounds

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Jos Raaijmakers of NIOO-KNAW, Prof. Gilles van Wezel of Leiden University, and Prof. Nicholas J Talbot of the University of Exeter for the kind gifts of plant pathogens. We appreciate Barenbrug company for providing perennial ryegrass seeds.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZL, CS, YY, and OK. Execution of experimental work and interpretation of the data: ZL and CS. Manuscript writing: ZL. Corrected the manuscript: ZL, CS, YY, and OK. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Z. Li and Y. Yi are financially supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC). C. Song was supported by a grant of NWO-STW for the Back to the Roots project.

Availability of data and materials

The genomic sequences of the seven selected strains are publicly available in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank. Their accession numbers are QJJA000000000, QJJB000000000, QJJC000000000, QJJD000000000, QJJE000000000, QJJE000000000, and QJJE000000000. The seven selected strains were deposited in the NCCB collection (the Netherlands) under the accession numbers from NCCB100736 to NCCB100742.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no conflict of interests.

Author details

¹Department of Molecular Genetics, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.

²College of Resources and Environmental Sciences; National Academy of Agriculture Green Development; Key Laboratory of Plant-Soil Interactions, Ministry of Education, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China.

³College of Food Science and Engineering, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China.

Received: 17 December 2019 Accepted: 7 February 2020

Published online: 12 February 2020

References

- Hannaway D, Fransen S, Cropper JB, Teel M, Chaney M, Griggs T, Halse RR, Hart JM, Cheeke PR, Hansen DE, Klinger R. Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). A Pacific Northwest Extension Publication. 1999; PNW 503, 1–19.
- Lee MR, Jones EL, Moorby JM, Humphreys MO, Theodorou MK, Scollan ND. Production responses from lambs grazed on *Lolium perenne* selected for an elevated water-soluble carbohydrate concentration. *Anim Res*. 2001;50(6): 441–9.
- Miller L, Moorby JM, Davies DR, Humphreys MO, Scollan ND, MacRae JC, Theodorou MK. Increased concentration of water-soluble carbohydrate in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.): milk production from late-lactation dairy cows. *Grass Forage Sci*. 2001;56(4):383–94.
- Setlow P. Spores of *Bacillus subtilis*: their resistance to and killing by radiation, heat and chemicals. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2006;101(3):514–25.
- Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol*. 2009;63:541–56.
- Govindasamy V, Senthilkumar M, Magheshwaran V, Kumar U, Bose P, Sharma V, Annapurna K. *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* spp.: potential PGPR for sustainable agriculture. In: Plant growth and health promoting bacteria: Berlin: Springer; 2010. p. 333–364.
- Zhao X, Kuipers OP. Identification and classification of known and putative antimicrobial compounds produced by a wide variety of Bacillales species. *BMC Genomics*. 2016;17(1):882.
- Ongena M, Jacques P. *Bacillus* lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. *Trends Microbiol*. 2008;16(3):115–25.
- Choi SK, Park SY, Kim R, Lee CH, Kim JF, Park SH. Identification and functional analysis of the fusaricidin biosynthetic gene of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* E681. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2008;365(1):89–95.
- Mogi T, Kita K. Gramicidin S and polymyxins: the revival of cationic cyclic peptide antibiotics. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2009;66(23):3821–6.
- Barsby T, Kelly MT, Andersen RJ. Tupuseleiamides and basiliskamides, new acyl dipeptides and antifungal polyketides produced in culture by a *Bacillus laterosporus* isolate obtained from a tropical marine habitat. *J Nat Prod*. 2002;65(10):1447–51.
- Chen XH, Vater J, Piel J, Franke P, Scholz R, Schneider K, Koumoutsis A, Hitzeroth G, Grammel N, Strittmatter AW, et al. Structural and functional characterization of three polyketide synthase gene clusters in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB 42. *J Bacteriol*. 2006;188(11):4024–36.
- Schneider K, Chen X-H, Vater J, Franke P, Nicholson G, Borriss R, Süßmuth RD. Macrolactin is the polyketide biosynthesis product of the pks2 cluster of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *J Nat Prod*. 2007;70(9):1417–23.

14. Babasaki K, Takao T, Shimonishi Y, Kurahashi K. Subtilosin a, a new antibiotic peptide produced by *Bacillus subtilis* 168: isolation, structural analysis, and biogenesis. *J Biochem.* 1985;98(3):585–603.
15. Scholz R, Molohon KJ, Nachtigall J, Vater J, Markley AL, Sussmuth RD, Mitchell DA, Borriss R. Plantazolicin, a novel microcin B17/streptolysin S-like natural product from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *J Bacteriol.* 2011; 193(1):215–24.
16. Phelan RW, Barret M, Cotter PD, O'Connor PM, Chen R, Morrissey JP, Dobson AD, O'Gara F, Barbosa TM. Subtilomycin: a new lantibiotic from *Bacillus subtilis* strain MMA7 isolated from the marine sponge *Haliclona simulans*. *Mar Drugs.* 2013;11(6):1878–98.
17. Bais HP, Fall R, Vivanco JM. Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against infection of *Arabidopsis* roots by *Pseudomonas syringae* is facilitated by biofilm formation and surfactin production. *Plant Physiol.* 2004;134(1):307–19.
18. Romero D, de Vicente A, Rakotoaly RH, Dufour SE, Veening J-W, Arrebola E, Cazorla FM, Kuipers OP, Paquot M, Pérez-García A. The iturin and fengycin families of lipopeptides are key factors in antagonism of *Bacillus subtilis* toward *Podosphaera fusca*. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact.* 2007;20(4):430–40.
19. Chen XH, Scholz R, Borriss M, Junge H, Mogel G, Kunz S, Borriss R. Difficidin and bacilysin produced by plant-associated *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* are efficient in controlling fire blight disease. *J Biotechnol.* 2009;140(1–2):38–44.
20. van Loon LC. Plant responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Eur J Plant Pathol.* 2007;119(3):243–54.
21. Lee SH, Cho YE, Park S-H, Balaraju K, Park JW, Lee SW, Park K. An antibiotic fusaricidin: a cyclic depsipeptide from *Paenibacillus polymyxa* E681 induces systemic resistance against *Phytophthora* blight of red-pepper. *Phytoparasitica.* 2012;41(1):49–58.
22. Ryu CM, Farag MA, Hu CH, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW, Pare PW. Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 2004;134(3): 1017–26.
23. Simcha Lev-Yadun MH. Ergot (*Claviceps purpurea*)-an aposematic fungus. *Symbiosis.* 2007;43(2):105–8.
24. Wearn J. *Pithomyces chartarum* - a fungus on the up? *Field Mycol.* 2009; 10(1):36–7.
25. Li Z, Song C, de Jong A, Kuipers OP. Draft genome sequences of six *Bacillus* strains and one *Brevibacillus* strain isolated from the rhizosphere of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). *Microbio Resour Announc.* 2019;8(4): e01586–18.
26. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2016;33(7):1870–4.
27. Garbeva P, van Veen JA, van Elsas JD. Predominant *Bacillus* spp. in agricultural soil under different management regimes detected via PCR-DGGE. *Microb Ecol.* 2003;45(3):302–16.
28. Hayward AC. The host of *Xanthomonas*. In: *Xanthomonas*. Dordrecht: Springer; 1993. p. 1–119.
29. Landschoot P, Hoyland B. Gray leaf spot of perennial ryegrass turf in Pennsylvania. *Plant Dis.* 1992;76:1280–2.
30. Talbot NJ. On the trail of a cereal killer: exploring the biology of *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2003;57(1):177–202.
31. van Agtmaal M. Suppression of soil-borne plant pathogens. Wageningen University. 2015.
32. Jeffery S, Van der Putten WH. Soil borne human diseases. Luxembourg: publications office of the European Union. 2011. p. 37199.
33. Holden NJ, Jackson RW, Schikora A. Editorial on plants as alternative hosts for human and animal pathogens. *Front Microbiol.* 2015;6:397.
34. Agren J, Sundstrom A, Hafstrom T, Segerman B. Gegenees: fragmented alignment of multiple genomes for determining phylogenomic distances and genetic signatures unique for specified target groups. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(6):e39107.
35. Huson DH, Bryant D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2005;23(2):254–67.
36. Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Lee SY, Medema MH, Weber T. antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019;47(W1):W81–7.
37. van Heel AJ, de Jong A, Song C, Viel JH, Kok J, Kuipers OP. BAGEL4: a user-friendly web server to thoroughly mine RiPPs and bacteriocins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(W1):W278–81.
38. Katsuyama Y, Ohnishi Y. Type III polyketide synthases in microorganisms. *Methods Enzymol.* 2012;515:359–77.
39. Stein T. *Bacillus subtilis* antibiotics: structures, syntheses and specific functions. *Mol Microbiol.* 2005;56(4):845–57.
40. Chen XH, Koumoutsis A, Scholz R, Eisenreich A, Schneider K, Heinemeyer I, Morgenstern B, Voss B, Hess WR, Reva O, et al. Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2007;25(9):1007–14.
41. Barsby T, Warabi K, Sorensen D, Zimmerman WT, Kelly MT, Andersen RJ. The Bogorol family of antibiotics: template-based structure elucidation and a new approach to positioning enantiomeric pairs of amino acids. *J Org Chem.* 2006;71(16):6031–7.
42. Yang X, Huang E, Yuan C, Zhang L, Yousef AE. Isolation and structural elucidation of brevibacillin, an antimicrobial lipopeptide from *Brevibacillus laterosporus* that combats drug-resistant gram-positive bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2016;82(9):2763–72.
43. Desjardine K, Pereira A, Wright H, Matainain T, Kelly M, Andersen RJ. Tauramamide, a lipopeptide antibiotic produced in culture by *Brevibacillus laterosporus* isolated from a marine habitat: structure elucidation and synthesis. *J Nat Prod.* 2007;70(12):1850–3.
44. Li YX, Zhong Z, Zhang WP, Qian PY. Discovery of cationic nonribosomal peptides as gram-negative antibiotics through global genome mining. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):3273.
45. Ōmura S, Ikeda H, Ishikawa J, Hanamoto A, Takahashi C, Shinose M, Takahashi Y, Horikawa H, Nakazawa H, Osonoe T. Genome sequence of an industrial microorganism *Streptomyces avermitilis*: deducing the ability of producing secondary metabolites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. U S A.* 2001;98(21):12215–20.
46. Garcia-Gonzalez E, Muller S, Hertlein G, Heid N, Sussmuth RD, Gentsch E. Biological effects of paenilamicin, a secondary metabolite antibiotic produced by the honey bee pathogenic bacterium *Paenibacillus larvae*. *Microbiologyopen.* 2014;3(5):642–56.
47. Kevany BM, Rasko DA, Thomas MG. Characterization of the complete zwittermicin a biosynthesis gene cluster from *Bacillus cereus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2009;75(4):1144–55.
48. Du L, Lou L. PKS and NRPS release mechanisms. *Nat Prod Rep.* 2010;27(2):255–78.
49. Cotter PD, Ross RP, Hill C. Bacteriocins - a viable alternative to antibiotics? *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2013;11(2):95–105.
50. Amison PG, Bibb MJ, Bierbaum G, Bowers AA, Bugni TS, Bulaj G, Camarero JA, Campopiano DJ, Challis GL, Clardy J, et al. Ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptide natural products: overview and recommendations for a universal nomenclature. *Nat Prod Rep.* 2013;30(1):108–60.
51. Scholz R, Vater J, Budiharjo A, Wang Z, He Y, Dietel K, Schwewecke T, Herfort S, Lasch P, Borriss R. Amylocyclin, a novel circular bacteriocin produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *J Bacteriol.* 2014;196(10):1842–52.
52. Gálvez A, Valdívía E, Martínez M, Maqueda M. Bactericidal action of peptide antibiotic AS-48 against *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Can J Microbiol.* 1989;35(2):318–21.
53. Himeno K, Rosengren KJ, Inoue T, Perez RH, Colgrave ML, Lee HS, Chan LY, Henriques ST, Fujita K, Ishibashi N, et al. Identification, characterization, and three-dimensional structure of the novel circular bacteriocin, enterocin NKR-5-3B, from *Enterococcus faecium*. *Biochemistry.* 2015;54(31):4863–76.
54. Singh PK, Chittipurna, Ashish, Sharma V, Patil PB, Korpole S. Identification, purification and characterization of laterosporulin, a novel bacteriocin produced by *Brevibacillus* sp. strain GI-9. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(3):e31498.
55. Baidara P, Singh N, Ranjan M, Nallabelli N, Chaudhry V, Pathania GL, Sharma N, Kumar A, Patil PB, Korpole S. Laterosporulin10: a novel defensin like class IId bacteriocin from *Brevibacillus* sp. strain SKDU10 with inhibitory activity against microbial pathogens. *Microbiology.* 2016;162(8):1286–99.
56. Gotz F, Perconti S, Popella P, Werner R, Schlag M. Epidermin and gallidermin: *Staphylococcal* lantibiotics. *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2014;304(1):63–71.
57. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004;32(5):1792–7.
58. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol.* 1987;4(4):406–25.
59. Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution.* 1985;39(4):783–91.
60. Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol Biol Evol.* 1993;10(3):512–26.
61. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(W1):W242–5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.