RESEARCH



Transcriptome analysis reveals a IncRNAmiRNA-mRNA regulatory network in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice

Check for updates

Minghua Li¹, Wei Li², Meixia Zhao³, Zhiqiang Li⁴, Guo-Liang Wang^{2*}, Wende Liu^{4*} and Chun Liang^{1*}

Abstract

Background Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) play critical roles in various biological processes in plants. Extensive studies utilizing high-throughput RNA sequencing have revealed that many IncRNAs are involved in plant disease resistance. *Oryza sativa* RNase P protein 30 (*OsRpp30*) has been identified as a positive regulator of rice immunity against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Nevertheless, the specific functions of IncRNAs in relation to OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice remain elusive.

Results We conducted a comprehensive analysis of IncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs expression patterns in wild type (WT), *OsRpp30* overexpression (OsRpp30-OE), and *OsRpp30* knockout (OsRpp30-KO) rice plants. In total, we identified 91 differentially expressed IncRNAs (DEIncRNAs), 1671 differentially expressed mRNAs (DEmRNAs), and 41 differentially expressed miRNAs (DEmiRNAs) across the different rice lines. To gain further insights, we investigated the interaction between DEIncRNAs and DEmRNAs, leading to the discovery of 10 *trans*- and 27 *cis*-targeting pairs specific to the OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples. In addition, we constructed a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network comprising differentially expressed IncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs to elucidate their intricate interplay in rice disease resistance. The ceRNA network analysis uncovered a set of gene targets regulated by IncRNAs and miRNAs, which were found to be involved in pathogen recognition, hormone pathways, transcription factor activation, and other biological processes related to plant immunity.

Conclusions Our study provides a comprehensive expression profiling of IncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs in a collection of defense mutants in rice. To decipher the putative functional significance of IncRNAs, we constructed *trans-* and *cis-*targeting networks involving differentially expressed IncRNAs and mRNAs, as well as a ceRNA network incorporating differentially expressed IncRNAs, and mRNAs. Together, the findings from this study provide compelling evidence supporting the pivotal roles of IncRNAs in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

*Correspondence: Guo-Liang Wang wang.620@osu.edu Wende Liu wendeliu@126.com Chun Liang Liangc@miamioh.edu

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords OsRpp30, mRNA, IncRNA, miRNA, ceRNA, Disease resistance, Rice

Background

Rice (Oryza sativa) is an important staple crop that serves as a primary food source for over half of the global population. However, the persistent threat of pathogen attacks, ranging from viruses, bacteria, to fungi, poses a significant challenge, leading to reduced yields and jeopardized food safety [1]. Extensive research conducted over the past three decades has shed light on the mechanisms underlying rice immunity in response to pathogen infections, resulting in the identification of numerous genes encoding resistance receptors, signaling molecules, and defense-related proteins [2-4]. Additionally, small RNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs), have been characterized as playing essential roles in rice immunity [5-7]. In contrast, the function of lncRNAs and their relationship with miRNAs and their target transcripts in rice defense responses remain largely unexplored.

lncRNAs are a class of RNAs that are longer than 200 nucleotides (nts) and lack protein-coding potential. While they do not undergo translation to produce proteins, they exhibit significant similarities with messenger RNAs (mRNAs). Similar to mRNAs, the majority of lncRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II and undergo post-transcriptional modification, such as 5' capping, alternative splicing, and 3' poly A addition [8]. Unlike mRNAs, lncRNAs tend to be less evolutionarily conserved, with lower but more pronounced tissue- and condition-specific expression patterns [9]. lncRNAs were thought to be by-products of transcriptional noise. However, more and more evidence has demonstrated that they play critical roles in diverse biological processes, exerting gene regulation at multiple levels, including histone modification, transcriptional regulation, and post-transcriptional regulation [10]. lncRNAs are often categorized into different subtypes based on their genomic locations in relation to protein-coding genes. Among these, long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) were the first discovered lncRNAs because of their widespread transcription in the regions that do not encode proteins [11].

Several well-characterized lncRNAs have been identified in plants, such as cold-assisted intronic noncoding RNA (*COLDAIR*), cold-induced long antisense intragenic RNA (*COOLAIR*), and long-day-specific malefertility-associated RNA (*LDMAR*) [12–14]. *COOLAIR* and *COLDAIR* mediate the flowering process in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*A. thaliana*), while *LDMAR* regulates photoperiod-sensitive male sterility in rice. Additionally, a leaf-expressed lncRNA named *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) induced lncRNA 1 (*ALEX1*) has been found to enhance rice resistance to bacterial blight by activating the jasmonate (JA) signaling pathway [15]. In contrast to plants, numerous lncRNAs have been implicated in innate immunity in humans or mice, such as long intergenic noncoding RNA erythroid prosurvival (*lincRNA-EPS*), *lnc13*, and *Mirt2* [16–19]. Despite the identification of numerous plant lncRNAs facilitated by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) techniques in recent decades, the functions of the majority of these lncRNAs remain elusive.

One of the primary roles of lncRNAs is their ability to regulate gene expression either in cis or trans. lncRNAs can regulate the expression of their neighboring proteincoding genes in *cis* through direct interactions with regulatory proteins or binding to specific motifs on the DNA sequence of the nearby target genes. A notable example is the lncRNA known as homeobox A (HOXA) transcript at the distal tip (HOTTIP). Positioned in proximity to the HOXA genes cluster, HOTTIP regulates the expression of HOXA genes in cis [20]. HOTTIP directly binds to the adaptor protein WD repeat-containing protein 5 (WDR5) and recruits the WDR5-myeloid/lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) histone methyltransferase complexes to the HOXA locus. This recruitment leads to the deposition of histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) on the promoter regions of HOXA genes, ultimately activating their transcription. Conversely, certain lncRNAs, such as TCF21 antisense RNA inducing demethylation (TARID), can form an RNA-DNA-DNA triplex (R-loop) with its nearby gene TCF21 on the promoter region [21]. Consequently, the growth arrest and DNA damage inducible-alpha protein (GADD45A) recognizes the R-loop and recruits the ten-eleven translocation 1 (TET1) protein to facilitate the demethylation of the DNA on the promoter region of TCF21, leading to increased transcription of TCF21.

In addition to their cis-regulatory functions, lncRNAs also regulate the expression of distant genes in *trans* through mechanisms such as chromatin interaction or post-transcriptional regulation. In A. thaliana, the IncRNA auxin-regulated promoter loop (APOLO) activates the expression of trans targets via an R-loopinduced regulation [22]. Upon auxin stimulation, the APOLO transcript is expressed and inserted into the promoter region of its trans targets through sequence complementarity, forming R-loops. These R-loops disrupt the binding of polycomb factors, such as heterochromatin protein 1 (LHP1), resulting in the deposition of the repressive mark histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) and subsequent activation of the target genes. In addition to regulating gene expression through chromatin interaction, lncRNA plays a vital role in the post-transcriptional regulation of their *trans* targets. For

instance, the lncRNA terminal differentiation-induced ncRNA (*TINCR*) can bind to the 25-nt 'TINCR box' motifs within target mRNAs, leading to the recruitment of Staufen homolog 1 (STAU1) and subsequent stabilization of the mRNAs [23].

MicroRNAs are typically~21-22 nts long, singlestrand RNAs that play important roles in diverse biological processes [24]. Through sequence complementarity, miRNAs can interact with target mRNAs, wherein the complementary sequences are referred to as miRNA response elements (MREs). Depending on the degree of complementarity between miRNAs and their target mRNAs, miRNAs can induce mRNA decay or translational repression of the target mRNAs [25]. lncRNAs containing MREs can function as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) or miRNA sponges, thereby reducing the availability of miRNAs for target mRNAs and regulating their expression. For instance, in tomato, *lncRNA39026* acts as a ceRNA by sequestering miR168a, leading to the up-regulation of the resistance gene solanum lycopersicum argonaute1 (SIAGO1) and enhanced resistance against *Phytophthora infestans* infection [26].

Accumulating evidence has indicated that lncRNAs are involved in the response of rice to various pathogens. For instance, a transcriptome analysis on rice black-streaked dwarf virus (RBSDV) infected rice plants identified 1273 lncRNAs, with 22 showing differential expression in response to RBSDV infection [27]. In another study focused on rice lncRNAs during *Magnaporthe oryzae* (*M. oryzae*) infection, 161 lncRNAs were found to be differentially expressed following pathogen inoculation [28]. Furthermore, a comprehensive examination revealed 567 rice lncRNAs with distinct expression patterns in response to *Xoo* [15].

OsRpp30 is a subunit of Ribonuclease P (RNase P), an enzyme complex primarily responsible for the cleavage and maturation of tRNAs [29-31]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that OsRpp30 enhances disease resistance against *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* in rice [32]. However, whether lncRNAs and miRNAs are involved in OsRpp30-mediated broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice remains unclear. Here we performed an integrated analysis of the transcriptomic profile of lncRNA, miRNA, and mRNA in wild type (WT), OsRpp30 overexpression (OsRpp30-OE), and OsRpp30 knockout (OsRpp30-KO) rice plants to elucidate the regulatory interactions among lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs that contribute to the improved plant immunity conferred by OsRpp30 overexpression. In this study, a total of 91 DElncRNAs, 1671 DEmRNAs, and 41 DEmiRNAs were identified across the three rice lines. Particularly, 10 trans- and 27 cis-targeting pairs of DElncRNA-DEmRNAs were identified. Moreover, miRNAs from four families were found to be involved in the lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA

regulatory network. Together, these findings unveil a complex lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory network that likely plays an important role in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

Results

Identification and characterization of novel lncRNAs in rice We employed a pipeline, as depicted in Fig. 1a, to identify novel lncRNAs in rice. On average, approximately 72 million sequencing read pairs were obtained from each rice sample, with a mapping rate of 94% to the reference genome (See Supplementary Table S1, Additional File 1). Overall, 948 highly confident novel lncRNA transcripts from 794 lncRNA gene loci were predicted in congruence by both LncDC [33] and Pfam [34] programs (Fig. 1b and Additional File 2).

The numbers of lncRNAs varied across different chromosomes, with the largest number (419) on chromosome 1 and the smallest number (171) on chromosome 9, likely due to the variation of chromosomal length (Fig. 1c). The numbers of intronic lncRNAs (code 'i') and sense lncRNAs (code 'o') were similar between the newly identified (novel) and reference lncRNAs. Among the intronic IncRNAs, 69 were newly identified and 64 were reference lncRNAs. Similarly, we found 316 novel and 238 reference sense lncRNAs. There were more long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNA, classification code 'u') from the reference lncRNAs (737) compared to the novel IncRNAs (432). Additionally, we noticed that there were many more reference antisense lncRNAs (code 'x', 1024) than the novel antisense lncRNAs in our dataset (Fig. 1d) (131). In general, both the novel lncRNAs we identified and the reference lncRNAs were found in intergenic regions or overlapping with genes on the opposite strand.

We also examined the length distribution of different types of lncRNAs in rice (Fig. 1e). The average length of the novel lncRNAs we identified was 1261 nts, which was significantly longer than the average length of reference lncRNAs (902 nts, p-value= 1.16×10^{-24} , two-tailed Student's t-test). This longer average length of novel lncRNAs is likely due to the fact that we removed the low-confidence single exon transcripts during the prediction process. Among the different categories of lncRNAs, intronic lncRNAs were the shortest on average, as they are located within introns and cannot exceed the length of the corresponding intron. In contrast, sense lncRNAs were generally longer than other types of lncRNAs, particularly among the predicted novel lncRNAs. Both lincRNAs and antisense lncRNAs had similar average lengths although they were shorter than sense lncRNAs.

Next, we examined whether these novel lncRNAs we identified were present in other rice lncRNA databases, such as NONCODE, CANTATAdb, and RiceLncPedia [35–37]. We found that 160, 341, and 353 lncRNAs





(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 1 Identification and characterization of rice IncRNAs. **a** The pipeline for the prediction of novel IncRNAs in rice. **b** The number of novel IncRNAs predicted by both Pfam and LncDC. **c** The number of predicted novel (*novel*) and annotated reference (*ref*) IncRNAs in different rice chromosomes. **d** The number of predicted novel and annotated reference IncRNAs from different categories. **e** The average length of different types of IncRNAs for all rice IncRNAs (*all*), predicted novel IncRNAs, and annotated reference IncRNAs. **f** The number of predicted novel IncRNAs with >=90% identity and >=100 nt alignment length against IncRNAs in various rice IncRNA databases. **g** The number of predicted novel IncRNAs with >=90% identity and >=90% length coverage rate against IncRNAs from different rice IncRNA databases

showed alignment hits with identities of 90% or higher and alignment lengths of 100 nts or longer in NON-CODE, CANTATAdb, and RiceLncPedia, respectively (Fig. 1f). These aligned lncRNAs shared conserved sequences with the lncRNAs found in the databases, suggesting that they likely belong to the same lncRNA family. We further focused on the predicted novel lncRNAs that not only had a 90% identity but also exhibited a high coverage rate when aligned with the lncRNAs from the databases. Specifically, we considered those lncRNAs where at least 90% of the sequences showed 90% or higher identity with the lncRNAs in the databases. In total, we found 48, 120, and 76 predicted novel lncRNAs that displayed high similarity to the lncRNAs in the NONCODE, CANTATAdb, and RiceLncPedia databases, respectively (Fig. 1g and Additional File 3).

Furthermore, we then observed that 47 of the predicted novel lncRNAs showed similarity to the lncRNAs present in both the NONCODE and CANTATAdb databases. Similarly, eight lncRNAs were confirmed by both RiceLncPedia and NONCODE, and 22 lncRNAs were confirmed by both RiceLncPedia and CAN-TATAdb. Remarkably, a total of eight predicted novel lncRNAs exhibited high similarity to lncRNAs in all three rice lncRNA databases. These eight lncRNAs are MSTRG.10686.3, MSTRG.12637.1, MSTRG.13742.1, MSTRG.16892.1, MSTRG.19604.1, MSTRG.24992.1, MSTRG.25244.1, and MSTRG.8373.1 (See Supplementary Table S2, Additional File 1).

Differential expression analysis reveals potential roles of IncRNAs in rice disease resistance mediated by OsRpp30

The RNA expression profiles of lncRNAs and mRNAs from different rice lines were analyzed using principal component analysis (PCA). The results revealed that the samples from the same rice line were clustered together, indicating that the replicates of the same genotype exhibited similar RNA expression profiles (See Supplementary Figure S1a, Additional File 1). We further compared the expression levels of lncRNAs among the samples from the three lines, WT, OsRpp30-KO (susceptible), and OsRpp30-OE (resistant). A total of 57 DElncRNAs, including 41 up-regulated and 16 down-regulated lncRNAs, were identified between OsRpp30-KO and WT plants (Fig. 2a and Additional File 4). To visualize the expression pattern of these DElncRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT samples, we normalized

the expression of DElncRNAs using Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) and generated a heat map (Fig. 2b). Notably, the lncRNA MSTRG.10312.1 exhibited high expression in OsRpp30-KO samples (average FPKM of 17) but was less expressed in WT samples (average FPKM of 6). This sense lncRNA is located on the reverse strand of chromosome 2: 7,323,916-7,325,579 and partially overlaps with the protein-coding gene *Os02g0230300* on the same strand.

In addition, we identified 29 up-regulated and 10 down-regulated lncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT samples (Fig. 2c and Additional File 4). One interesting newly identified lncRNA, MSTRG.4676.1, was found to be a sense lncRNA located on the forward strand of chromosome 10: 11,079,933–11,087,253. MSTRG.4676.1 displayed minimal expression in WT samples but was highly expressed in OsRpp30-OE samples (average FPKM of 3.6) (Fig. 2d). Interestingly, MSTRG.4676.1 overlapped with the reference lncRNA transcript *Os10t0360600-01*, sharing similar exons, suggesting that MSTRG.4676.1 may represent a novel transcript isoform of *Os10t0360600-01*. Notably, although MSTRG.4676.1 displayed differential expression, no expression of *Os10t0360600-01* was detected in any of the samples.

Next, we compared the expression of lncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples and identified 61 DElncRNAs, including 34 up-regulated and 27 down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples (Fig. 2e and f and Additional File 4). Of particular interest was Os03t0268000-03, a reference lncRNA derived from the protein phosphatase 1(OsPP1) gene, which also has two other protein-coding isoforms, Os03t0268000-01 and Os03t0268000-02. Os03t0268000-03 exhibited high expression in OsRpp30-OE samples (average FPKM of 4.2), while its expression was lower in OsRpp30-KO samples (average FPKM of 0.87). In addition, we discovered Os12t0136500-01, a reference lincRNA that displayed up-regulation in OsRpp30-KO samples with an average FPKM of 117.3. Despite being down-regulated in the OsRpp30-OE samples, it maintained a relatively high average FPKM of 20.75, indicating that it may play an important biological role in rice.

In addition to the pairwise comparisons between OsRpp30-KO and WT, between OsRpp30-OE and WT, and between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO, we also investigated lncRNAs with specific differential expression patterns in each line. Given that OsRpp30-OE



Fig. 2 (See legend on next page.)

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 2 Differential expression of IncRNAs between individual rice lines. **a** The volcano plot of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT. Red dots represent up-regulated IncRNAs and blue dots represent down-regulated IncRNAs with statistical significance (|log2(FC)| > 1, p-adjusted < 0.05). **b** The heat map of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT. The color from blue to red represents the expression level from low to high. **c** The volcano plot of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **d** The heat map of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **e** The volcano plot of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-CE and OsRpp30

exhibited the highest resistance while OsRpp30-KO displayed the highest susceptibility, we focused on the lncRNAs that display differential expression when comparing OsRpp30-KO samples to both OsRpp30-OE and WT samples (KO vs. OE&WT), as well as OsRpp30-OE samples to both OsRpp30-KO and WT samples (OE vs. KO&WT).

The expression levels of DElncRNAs from the comparison between KO and OE&WT are shown in Fig. 3a. We identified 14 up-regulated lncRNAs in OsRpp30-KO samples but down-regulated in OE&WT samples, with the majority of them belonging to lincRNAs (9). Conversely, nine lncRNAs were down-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples but up-regulated in OE&WT samples. Additionally, we examined the expression levels of DElncRNAs from the comparison between OE and KO&WT (Fig. 3b). The results showed that 11 lncRNAs were upregulated in OsRpp30-OE samples, including five lincRNAs and six sense lncRNAs. Only six lncRNAs were down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples compared to KO&WT samples, with four being lincRNAs. Lastly, we extracted DElncRNAs differentially expressed in at least two different rice lines, specifically, OsRpp30-KO vs. WT, OsRpp30-OE vs. WT, and OsRpp30-OE vs. OsRpp30-KO. In total, we identified 91 DElncRNAs that are likely crucial for OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice (Fig. 3c).

Notably, we discovered several DElncRNAs that bear resemblance to the disease-responsive lncRNAs documented in other studies. For instance, TCONS_00004581 is a rice lncRNA down-regulated in response to Xoo infection, indicating a negative association with rice immunity [15]. Interestingly, we observed that MSTRG.1326.1 overlapped with TCONS_00004581 on the same strand and shared identical start positions. Sequence alignment revealed that they possess a 100% identity with 78% coverage, suggesting that they are likely isoforms derived from the same lncRNA gene locus. Moreover, similar to TCONS_00004581, MSTRG.1326.1 was down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples, further supporting its negative relationship with rice immunity. Another Xoo-responsive lncRNA, TCONS_00490059, is known to be down-regulated after *Xoo* infection [15]. MSTRG.15145.2 overlapped with TCONS_00490059 with 99.56% identity and 95% coverage. Corresponding to the negative association of TCONS_00490059 with rice immunity, MSTRG.15145.2 showed down-regulation in OsRpp30-OE samples.

Differential expression of mRNAs reveals insights into OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice

We next performed mRNA expression analysis to investigate the differential expression patterns associated with OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance. Between OsRpp30-KO and WT samples, we identified 670 upregulated and 377 down-regulated mRNAs, as depicted in Fig. 4a and Additional File 5. Similarly, in comparison between OsRpp30-OE and WT samples, we found 571 DEmRNAs, with 400 mRNAs up-regulated and 171 mRNAs down-regulated (Fig. 4b and Additional File 5). Additionally, we identified 1018 DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples, consisting of 523 up-regulated and 495 down-regulated mRNAs (Fig. 4c and Additional File 5). In total, we identified 1671 DEmRNAs, which are likely to play crucial roles in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

Our analysis revealed a substantial number of DEm-RNAs associated with plant immunity. Notably, several genes including Pita, HDT701, Os11g0644700, Os06g0707350, Os01g0350300, Os05g0143550, Os08g0543500, OsCrRLK1L14 and Oschib1 were upregulated in OsRpp30-OE but down-regulated in OsRpp30-KO. Among these genes, *Pita*, Os06g0707350, and Os08g0543500 encode proteins belonging to the nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) class, which are crucial for recognizing pathogen effectors and activating general immune responses [4]. Pita, in particular, is a rice blast resistance gene against M. oryzae [38, 39]. Additionally, we have identified two more NBS-LRR protein-coding genes, Os11gRGA5 [40] and BPH26 [41], which exhibited elevated expression levels in OsRpp30-OE samples compared to WT samples. Moreover, Os11g0644700, Os01g0350300, and OsCrRLK1L14 encode plant disease resistance response proteins, while Os05g0143550 encodes a protein similar to the blast and wounding-induced mitogen-activated protein kinase. Oschib1 produces chitinase, a pathogenesis-related protein involved in rice defense against fungal pathogens. Interestingly, we observed the up-regulation of HDT701 in OsRpp30-OE samples compared to OsRpp30-KO. HDT701 is a histone H4 deacetylase, known as a negative regulator in rice innate immunity, and directly interacts with OsRpp30 [32, 42].

In our analysis, we observed reduced expressions of key resistance-related genes in OsRpp30-KO samples, such as OsCPS2, WAK112, Os09g0481600, and HAP2E, compared to WT samples. OsCPS2 is an *ent*- copalyl



Fig. 3 Differential expression of lncRNAs in all three rice lines. **a** The heat map of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and both OsRpp30-OE and WT (OE&WT) samples. **b** The heat map of DEIncRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and both OsRpp30-KO and WT (KO&WT) samples. **c** The heat map of all DEIncRNAs among all three lines without including the DEIncRNAs between WT and both OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO (OE&KO) samples



Fig. 4 Differential expression of mRNAs between individual rice lines. **a** The volcano plot of DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT. Red dots represent up-regulated mRNAs and blue dots represent down-regulated mRNAs with statistical significance (|log2(FC)| > 1, p-adjusted < 0.05). **b** The volcano plot of DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **c** The volcano plot of DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-OE and WT. **c** The volcano plot of DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-OE an

diphosphate (CPP) synthase essential for the biosynthesis of natural antibiotic products, phytoalexins. Studies have shown that overexpression of *OsCPS2* enhances rice defense against both fungal and bacterial pathogens [43]. *WAK112*, a wall-associated kinase, is known to be involved in rice blast resistance [44]. *HAP2E*, a rice heme activator protein (HAP) gene, is associated with rice resistance to pathogens and abiotic stress [45].

In contrast, we observed significantly elevated expression levels of *OsERF6*, *OsERF922*, *Os01g0112800*, *GH3-2*, *OsMPK20-1*, *Os11gRGA3*, *OsCrRLK1L16*, and *Os07g0130100* in OsRpp30-KO samples compared to WT samples. Of particular interest, *OsERF922*, an apetela2/ethylene response factor (AP2/ERF) type transcription factor, functions as a negative regulator of rice defense against blast pathogens [46].

Furthermore, OsPR1-101, Os10g0398100, GLP8-3, GLP8-4, Os03g0126700, Os05g0440100, Oschib2 and CHT2 were up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples but down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples. Notably, while Oschib1, Oschib2, and CHT2 are all chitinases, we observed contrasting expression profiles between

Oschib1 and *Oschib2/CHT2*, suggesting potential functional divergence or regulation between these closely related genes involved in rice defense mechanisms.

In light of our previous findings demonstrating the direct interaction between OsRpp30 and the rice histone deacetylase HDT701, we aimed to identify any differentially expressed mRNAs involved in histone modification in rice [32]. Notably, we found that some histone-modifying writers or erasers were differentially expressed, including HDT701, Os05g0440100, Os02g0180400, JMJ716, OsSET11, OsSET18, OsSET30, and SDG714. Among these genes, Os02g0180400 is a GCN5-related N-acetyltransferase (GNAT) domain-containing protein with similarity to histone H4 acetyltransferase. HDT701 and Os05g0440100 are histone deacetylases. Interestingly, our data indicated that HDT701 was upregulated in OsRpp30-OE samples, while Os05g0440100 and Os02g0180400 were up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples. OsSET11, OsSET18, OsSET30, and SDG714 are su(var)3-9, enhancer of zeste, and trithorax (SET) domain-containing methyltransferases. In particular, OsSET11 showed significantly higher expression in

OsRpp30-OE samples than in both WT and OsRpp30-KO samples, whereas *OsSET18* exhibited elevated expression in OsRpp30-OE samples compared to WT samples. Conversely, *OsSET30* displayed reduced expression levels in OsRpp30-KO samples compared to WT samples. Additionally, we identified *JMJ716*, which shares similarities with a jumonji C (JmjC) domain-containing protein exhibiting histone demethylase activity [47]. Both *JMJ716* and *SDG714* were up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples. Together, several histone modification-related protein-coding genes were differentially expressed in our samples, suggesting their potential roles in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

To gain insights into the putative functions of the DEmRNAs, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses. Many GO terms were enriched for the protein-coding genes up-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples (OsRpp30-OE vs. OsRpp30-KO) (Fig. 4d). In terms of the biological process category, GO terms related to stimulus-response, phosphorus metabolic, and phosphate-containing compound metabolic process were enriched, suggesting a role of OsRpp30 in rice disease response. GO terms underlying molecular functions included transferase activity, nucleotide binding, ribonucleotide binding, organic/heterocyclic compound binding, ATP binding, ion binding, and catalytic activity were enriched, all of which are closely associated with plant immunity. On the other hand, a few GO terms and one KEGG pathway were enriched for the downregulated mRNAs in OsRpp30-OE samples (OsRpp30-OE vs. OsRpp30-KO) (Fig. 4e). Notably, GO biological processes, such as the hydrogen peroxide metabolic process, cellular detoxification, cellular response to toxic substances, and reactive oxygen species metabolic process, as well as GO molecular functions, including oxidoreductase activity, peroxidase activity, tetrapyrrole binding, and oxidoreductase activity were enriched in these down-regulated mRNAs.

We also performed GO and KEGG pathway analyses for DEmRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT (See Supplementary Figures S2a and S2b, Additional File 1) and between OsRpp30-KO and WT samples (See Supplementary Figures S2c and S2d, Additional File 1). We found that some stress response-associated GO biological processes were enriched for the down-regulated mRNAs in OsRpp30-KO samples compared to WT samples (OsRpp30-KO vs. WT), including response to stress, response to stimulus, response to abiotic stimulus, terpenoid metabolic process, terpenoid biosynthetic process, diterpenoid biosynthetic process, and others.

Distinct miRNA expression profiles across OsRpp30associated samples

To identify miRNAs involved in the regulation of differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs in response to fungal and bacterial pathogens, we sequenced small RNAs from the same rice samples (See Supplementary Table S1, Additional File 1). The miRNA expression levels of the samples from the same rice lines were clustered together, indicating similar miRNA expression profiles among replicates of the same genotype (See Supplementary Figure S1b, Additional File 1). We identified a total of 10 up-regulated and 11 down-regulated miRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT samples (Fig. 5a and b, and Additional File 6). Notably, the osa-miR1428 family miRNAs were up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples. Interestingly, the lncRNA Os03t0611100-01, which serves as a precursor of miR1428e and miR1428d, was also up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples. This finding further supports the notion that Os03t0611100-01 is the precursor of the miR1428 family miRNAs. Previous research has demonstrated that osa-miR169 acts as a negative regulator in rice immunity against M. oryzae by inhibiting nuclear factor Y-A (NF-YA) genes [6]. Our data showed that osa-miR169i-5p.2 was up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples, suggesting that it plays a critical role in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice. Interestingly, miR1320 has been implicated in miR164mediated immunity enhancement in rice [48]. However, in our study, we found the up-regulation of osa-miR1320-5p in OsRpp30-KO samples, while miR164 did not show differential expression. Additionally, miR398b has been identified as a positive regulator in rice defense against rice blast, leading to increased H₂O₂ levels after M. oryzae attack [7]. In our study, miR398b exhibited reduced expression in OsRpp30-KO samples compared to WT samples.

Only one up-regulated and 11 down-regulated miR-NAs were identified between OsRpp30-OE and WT samples (Fig. 5c and d, and Additional File 6). Moreover, we identified 11 up-regulated and 15 down-regulated miRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples (Fig. 5e and f, and Additional File 6). One of note is miR1846, which acts as a negative regulator of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (ACO), an enzyme involved in ethylene (ET) biosynthesis [49]. ET has a dual function in plant immunity, as it can either promote disease or enhance resistance [50]. In our study, we observed the up-regulation of osa-miR1846a-5p and osa-miR1846b-5p in OsRpp30-OE samples, while their mRNA target ACO4 was down-regulated. This finding suggests a potential mechanism for OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice by suppressing ET synthesis. Previous studies have shown that miR528 negatively regulates rice resistance against viruses [51, 52]. In our

osa-miR1882e-3p osa-miR1882h

osa-miR1882g osa-miR1882f osa-miR1882e-5p osa-miR1882d osa-miR1882c

osa-miR1882a osa-miR1882b



Fig. 5 (See legend on next page.)

-7.5

-5.0

log2(Fold Change)

2

0.0

2.5

OE01

OE02

KO01

ко02

-2.5

50

0.

-10.0

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 5 Differential expression of miRNAs between individual rice lines. **a** The volcano plot of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT. Red dots represent up-regulated miRNAs and blue dots represent down-regulated miRNAs with statistical significance (|log2(FC)| > 1, p-adjusted < 0.05). **b** The heat map of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and WT. The color from blue to red represents the expression level from low to high. **c** The volcano plot of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **d** The heat map of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **d** The heat map of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-OE and WT. **e** The volcano plot of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-KO. **f** The heat map of DEmiRNAs between OsRpp30-KO.

study, osa-miR528-5p showed significantly higher expression in both OsRpp30-OE and WT samples compared to OsRpp30-KO samples. The miR171 family, including osamiR171, is highly conserved and plays an essential role in stress responses, such as salt and drought tolerance, as well as pathogen resistance in rice [53-55]. In particular, the expression level of osa-miR171 in rice increases after sheath blight disease pathogen infection [56]. Our data revealed the down-regulation of osa-miR171e-5p and osa-miR171d-5p in OsRpp30-OE samples. Additionally, osa-miR166e-5p, osa-miR166k-3p, and osa-miR166l-3p were down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples. The osa-miR166 family miRNAs are known to participate in stress response in rice. For instance, under salt conditions and mineral deficiencies, osa-miR166k-3p and osa-miR166l-3p are down-regulated in both panicles and shoots [57]. Moreover, osa-miR166 family miRNAs have been implicated in rice resistance against fungal and viral pathogens, including rice blast fungus, rice dwarf virus, and rice stripe virus [58, 59].

Next, we examined the expression levels of miRNAs between OsRpp30-KO and OE&WT samples. Strikingly, we did not find any up-regulated miRNAs in OsRpp30-KO samples but identified six down-regulated miRNAs. Similarly, between OsRpp30-OE and KO&WT samples, we identified ten down-regulated miRNAs, while no miRNAs were up-regulated. Finally, we identified a total of 41 DEmiRNAs that may play important roles in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

IncRNAs regulate disease resistance-related genes in trans

To shed light on the potential regulation of lncRNAs on protein-coding genes, we investigated both trans and cis targets of DElncRNAs. lncRNAs can modulate the expression of distant genes through trans mechanisms, involving chromatin remodeling or post-transcriptional regulation [10]. For trans targets, we calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients between DElncRNAs and DEm-RNAs, using a similar approach as described in Zhang et al. [27]. Given that lncRNAs can regulate their trans targets through various mechanisms, including direct IncRNA-mRNA binding through sequence complementarity, we utilized LncTar [60] to predict putative sequencing binding events between DElncRNAs and their trans targets. In total, we identified ten DElncRNA-DEmRNA co-expression pairs between OsRpp30-KO and WT samples, three pairs between OsRpp30-OE and WT samples, and ten pairs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples. Given that the OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO rice lines exhibited the most distinct phenotypes in terms of resistance and susceptibility, our primary focus was on the *trans*-targeting pairs between these two rice lines.

To further explore the relationship between DElncRNAs and their trans targets, we constructed a coexpression network. For instance, we found that the lincRNA MSTRG.5751.1 and its three mRNA targets, Os01t0113450-00, Os07t0153150-02, and Os03t0835600-01 were down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Table S3, Additional File 1). Lnc-Tar analysis confirmed the direct RNA-RNA interaction between MSTRG.5751.1 and Os01t0113450-00 (See Supplementary Figure S3a, Additional File 1), as well as MSTRG.5751.1 and Os03t0835600-01 (See Supplementary Figure S3b, Additional File 1), suggesting potential regulation through sequence complementarity. It is worth noting that Os03t0835600-01 is a transcript of the gene OsACBP6, which encodes the Acyl-CoA-binding protein 6. OsACBP6 is involved in various biological processes, including peroxisomal beta-oxidation and lipid metabolism. Interestingly, a previous study has indicated that OsACBP6 mutants exhibit up-regulated levels of JA, a product of beta-oxidation in peroxisomes, and JA is involved in regulating plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses [61]. In our analysis, we found that MSTRG.5751.1, MSTRG.4506.1, and Os05t0475550-00 act as trans-regulators of OsACBP6 (See Supplementary Figure S3c, Additional File 1), suggesting the potential involvement of OsACBP6 as an essential lncRNA trans target in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

The sense lncRNA MSTRG.7147.1 exhibited up-regulation in OsRpp30-OE samples, along with its three trans mRNA targets, Os02t0185633-00, Os08t0543900-00, and Os02t0569400-01. Notably, all three trans targets were predicted to have direct RNA-RNA interactions with MSTRG.7147.1 (See Supplementary Figures S3d, S3e and S3f, Additional File 1). It is worth noting that MSTRG.7147.1 and its trans targets were exclusively expressed in OsRpp30-OE samples, with no detectable expression in WT or OsRpp30-KO samples. Specifically, Os08t0543900-00 is a transcript of the gene OsbZIP68, a member of the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family. bZIP68 is highly conserved among plants and plays a role in various stress response-associated biological processes, including cold and oxidative stress tolerance [62, 63]. On the other hand, Os02t0569400-01



Fig. 6 Analysis of DEIncRNA *trans* and *cis* targets. **a** The co-expression network of DEIncRNAs and their *trans* DEmRNA targets between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO. **b** The network of DEIncRNAs and their *cis* DEmRNA targets between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO. **c** The GO terms enriched for all DEIncRNA targets

corresponds to a transcript of the gene *CYP76M8*, which encodes a cytochrome P450 (CYP) family protein. *CYP76M8* is involved in the biosynthesis of oryzalexin, an antimicrobial compound produced by rice in response to pathogen attacks [64]. To further investigate the interaction between DElncRNAs and their *trans* targets, we performed sequence alignments and identified a conserved 'GCG' tandem repeat motif shared between MSTRG.7147.1 and the *trans* targets *Os02t0185633-00* and *Os08t0543900-00*, suggesting a potential interaction with the same motif binding protein (See Supplementary Figures S4a and S4b, Additional File 1).

IncRNAs regulate disease resistance-related genes in cis

In addition to their role in regulating *trans* targets, IncRNAs also exert influence on nearby genes, referred to as *cis* targets, by recruiting regulatory proteins to their promoters or by forming R-loops through direct DNA-RNA binding [10]. To identify *cis* targets of DEIncRNAs, we adopted a similar approach previously described [15, 27]. By extracting protein-coding genes located within a 100 kb region up and downstream of DEIncRNAs, we identified a total of 919 *cis* targets for all DEIncRNAs, with 42 exhibiting differential expression in at least two different rice lines. Specifically, we identified 27 DEIncRNA-DEmRNA *cis*-targeting pairs between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Table S4, Additional File 1).

Among the cis targets of the DElncRNAs, we identified several genes associated with plant immunity, including HIPP49, Os10t0370800-01, Os06t0129900-01, and Os10t0361000-01. Of particular interest, the reference lncRNA Os01t0678900-01 was found to cis targeting the heavy metal-associated isoprenylated protein 49 (HIPP49). HIPPs are known to participate in plant responses to heavy metal detoxification and abiotic stress, but certain HIPPs can act as susceptibility factors, negatively impacting disease resistance against pathogens [65]. In OsRpp30-OE samples, both Os01t0678900-01 and its cis target HIPP49 were downregulated, suggesting a potential mechanism of OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice involving the negative regulation of HIPP49 by Os01t0678900-01. Furthermore, our analysis revealed that Os01t0678900-01 is located upstream (~3 kb) of the *HIPP49* gene, indicating a plausible gene regulatory role of Os01t0678900-01 on the HIPP49 promoter region (See Supplementary Figure S5a, Additional File 1).

In addition, we observed that both MSTRG.4760.1 and MSTRG.4754.1 targeted *Os10t0370800-01*, a transcript derived from the gene *Os10g0370800*. This gene encodes a protein that shares similarities with an exo-1,3-beta-glucanase precursor, known for its ability to degrade the cell walls of various pathogens, including

bacteria, viruses, and particularly fungi, thereby playing a crucial role in plant resistance against pathogens [66]. Interestingly, we observed a similarity of 26-nt fragment sequence between MSTRG.4754.1 and the second intron of *Os10g0370800* (See Supplementary Figure S4d, Additional File 1). Moreover, the reference lncRNA *Os06t0128200-03* demonstrated specific targeting of the mRNA *Os06t0129900-01*, which encodes a protein resembling a CYP family protein. CYPs are known to play vital roles in hormone signaling pathways and regulate plant response to both biotic and abiotic stresses [67].

Another interesting finding was the targeting of Os10t0361000-01, a lipoxygenase gene, by MSTRG.4676.1. Lipoxygenases are enzymes that catalyze polyunsaturated fatty acids into hydroperoxides, ultimately leading to the production of stress responseassociated molecules such as JA [68]. A study in maize has demonstrated that the disruption of 9-lipoxygenase results in enhanced resistance against fungal pathogens [69]. Interestingly, a sequence alignment between MSTRG.4676.1 and Os10t0361000-01 revealed a shared short sequence fragment, 'GCCGCCGGCCACGA', suggesting the presence of a potential binding site for the regulatory proteins (See Supplementary Figure S4e, Additional File 1).

In addition to *Os01t0678900-01*, we identified several DElncRNAs that are located in the promoter regions of their respective *cis* targets, including MSTRG.10312.1 and MSTRG.5751.1. Interestingly, MSTRG.10312.1 not only occupies the promoter region of its *cis* target *Os02t0230300-01* but also functions as a sense lncRNA that partially overlaps with it (See Supplementary Figure S5b, Additional File 1). Conversely, MSTRG.5751.1, classified as a lincRNA, is positioned within the promoter region of *Os10t0567900-01* (See Supplementary Figure S5c, Additional File 1).

To investigate the functions of DElncRNA targets, we performed a GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for both *trans* and *cis* targets. Interestingly, we did not find any significantly enriched GO or KEGG terms among the targets of the down-regulated lncRNAs in the OsRpp30-OE samples. In contrast, we observed significant enrichment of several GO terms among the targets of up-regulated lncRNAs in the OsRpp30-OE samples (Fig. 6c). Notably, one of the enriched GO terms was lipid droplet, representing specialized organelles for lipid storage, primarily in the form of triacylglycerols. Proteins associated with lipid droplets, such as caleosins and steroleosins are involved in plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses [70]. Additionally, four GO biological processes were enriched, including response to arseniccontaining substance, response to ethanol, triterpenoid biosynthetic, and triterpenoid metabolic processes. Triterpenoids are natural plant products that contribute

to plant defense against pathogens and herbivores [71]. Finally, in terms of GO molecular function, we found enrichment in a single term: translation elongation factor activity.

IncRNAs regulate Disease resistance-related genes through a IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network

In plants, miRNAs can target both lncRNAs and mRNAs, reducing their expression through RNA transcript

cleavage. Additionally, lncRNAs can act as sponges, competing with mRNAs for MREs, thereby sequestering miRNAs and indirectly modulating the expression of mRNAs. To gain deeper insights into how lncRNAs orchestrate the regulation of mRNAs through this ceRNA mechanism, we constructed a ceRNA network that integrates the DEmiRNAs and their respective differentially expressed targets from the OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples (Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table S5, Additional File 1). The representative predicted



Fig. 7 IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO. RNA transcripts that were up- and down-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples are in red and blue colors, respectively. IncRNA, miRNA, and mRNA are represented by triangle, diamond, and ellipse, respectively

DEmiRNA-DElncRNA and DEmiRNA-DEmRNA targeting pairs were shown in Supplementary Tables S6 and S7 of Additional File 1, respectively.

Among the up-regulated lncRNAs in OsRpp30-OE samples, MSTRG.24461.2 emerged as a target for several down-regulated miRNAs, including members of the osamiR166 family (osa-miR166k-3p and osa-miR166l-3p) and osa-miR1882 family (osa-miR1882a, osa-miR1882b, osa-miR1882c, osa-miR1882d, osa-miR1882e-5p, osamiR1882f, osa-miR1882g, and osa-miR1882h). Previous studies have demonstrated that osa-miR166 is involved in rice defense against fungi and viruses [58, 59]. In addition to MSTRG.24461.2, osa-miR166 and osa-miR1882 also targeted several OsRpp30-OE up-regulated mRNAs within the ceRNA network, such as Pita, Os03t0170200-01, and OsCSLA3. Both Pita and MSTRG.24461.2 were up-regulated in the OsRpp30-OE samples, competing for the limited number of osa-miR166 transcripts. On the contrary, Os03t0170200-01 and OsCSLA3 competed with MSTRG.24461.2 for osa-miR1882. Os03t0170200-01 is a transcript of the gene Os03g0170200, which shares similarities with the MADS-box transcription factor 30. MADS-box transcription factors are involved in different development processes and stress responses in plants [72]. For instance, the knockdown of OsMADS26 in rice plants enhances resistance against rice blast and bacterial blight pathogens [73]. OsCSLA3 is a member of cellulose synthases, which are crucial proteins involved in cell wall formation and plant disease resistance. Disruption of cellulose synthases A3 in A. thaliana has been shown to enhance pathogen resistance by activating JA and ET signaling pathways [74]. Furthermore, inhibiting cellulose synthases necessary for secondary cell wall integrity increases pathogen resistance in A. thaliana through the abscisic acid (ABA) pathway [75].

MSTRG.16012.6, an up-regulated lncRNA in the OsRpp30-OE samples, was found to be targeted by the down-regulated miRNA, osa-miR171d-5p. In the ceRNA network, several up-regulated mRNAs competed with MSTRG.16012.6 for osa-miR171d-5p. For instance, Os02t0783700-01 is a transcript of the bifunctional gene lysine ketoglutarate reductase/saccharopine dehydrogenase (OsLKR/SDH). LKR/SDH serves as a key enzyme in the saccharopine pathway for lysine catabolism, which is induced in response to abiotic or biotic stresses [76, 77]. Another example is OsTPS1, which encodes a trehalose 6-phosphate synthase responsible for the biosynthesis of trehalose. Trehalose plays a crucial role in abiotic stress response in plants, and studies have shown that overexpression of OsTPS1 increases trehalose concentration and enhances rice tolerance to cold, salt, and drought stress [78]. In addition, trehalose contributes to resistance against bacterial wilt disease in tomatoes [79].

MSTRG.17424.2 was identified as a target of the upregulated osa-miR1846 family miRNAs, osa-miR1846a-5p and osa-miR1846b-5p. Our study revealed that several down-regulated mRNAs competed with MSTRG.17424.2 for osa-miR1846, many of which were associated with plant immunity. One of the targets, OsMATE2, encodes a multi-antimicrobial extrusion protein known to negatively regulate plant immunity in response to bacterial pathogens in A. thaliana [80]. The down-regulation of OsMATE2 in the OsRpp30-OE samples suggests its potential role in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance. Another target, Os04t0107650-00 is a transcript of the gene Os04g0107650, which shares similarities with arginine decarboxylase (ADC). ADC plays a vital role in plant defense against bacterial pathogens, as evidenced by the up-regulation of salicylic acid (SA)- and JA-dependent pathogenesis-related genes in A. thaliana with down-regulated ADC2 [81]. OsNAC15 is a NAC (NAM, ATAF1/2, and CUC2) domain-containing protein-coding gene, belonging to a large transcription factor family that plays critical roles in plant stress response, including biotic infections and abiotic stresses [82]. Previous studies have demonstrated that overexpression of the NAC family gene OsNAC6 enhances rice resistance against blast disease [83]. Although the function of OsNAC15 in biotic stress response is currently not well understood, a recent study showed that OsNAC15 contributes to abiotic stress response in rice, such as zinc deficiency and cadmium stress [84]. EUI1 (elongated uppermost internode 1) is a Gibberellin (GA) deactivating enzyme and it is involved in rice defense against bacterial blight [85]. Notably, miR1846 negatively regulates ACO proteins, which regulate the biosynthesis of ET, thereby affecting the plant defense-associated ET signaling pathway [49]. The consistent down-regulation of ACO4 by osamiR1846 suggests a putative regulatory network involving ET in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice.

Discussion

RNase P is a conserved ribonucleoprotein complex found in all three life kingdoms, with its primary function being the cleavage of the 5' end of precursor tRNAs and the maturation of tRNAs [29–31]. It is composed of an RNA molecule and several protein subunits, ranging from one in bacteria to nine to ten in eukaryotes [86]. However, except for a few exceptional cases, such as human mitochondrial RNase P, only the RNA component is required for catalytic activity, while the protein subunits primarily serve auxiliary roles such as tRNA recognition and proper folding of the catalytic RNA [31, 87]. In addition to their role in precursor tRNA cleavage, RNase P protein subunits are involved in various biological processes, including chromatin assembly, transcriptional regulation, and DNA damage repair [31, 88, 89]. For instance, human

Rpp29 and Rpp21 proteins are implicated in DNA double-strand break repair, whereas other RNase P subunits, such as Rpp14, Rpp25, and Rpp38, do not respond to DNA breaks [89]. These findings suggest that the protein subunits of RNase P may have functions beyond tRNA maturation. Indeed, as a conserved RNase P protein subunit, Rpp30 has been found to play essential roles, and dysregulation of Rpp30 has been linked to diseases, such as female sterility in Drosophila and cancers in humans [90-92]. Our previous study also indicated that the rice OsRpp30 positively regulates plant immunity, and the histone deacetylase HDT701 may serve as an upstream regulator of OsRpp30 [32]. In addition to the involvement in tRNA processing, mounting evidence demonstrates that RNase P is also implicated in processing small RNAs and lncRNAs [93–95]. For instance, the lncRNA MALAT1 (Metastasis-Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1) can be recognized and processed by RNase P at its 3' end [96]. Additionally, the antisense lncRNA HRA (Hiding in Reading-Frame Antisense) was identified as a substrate of RNase P in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [97]. These non-canonical functions of RNase P inspire us to consider the possibility that there may be lncRNAs and miRNAs downstream of the OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance pathway that regulates the expression of defense-related genes in rice.

This current study sheds light on the role of lncRNAs and miRNAs in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance in rice through the regulation of defense-associated mRNAs. Despite not encoding proteins, lncRNAs function as essential regulatory molecules. They are known to modulate gene expression through histone modification and transcriptional/post-transcriptional interference [10]. In plants, lncRNAs participate in diverse biological processes, including flowering, photoperiodsensitive male sterility, and immunity [12-15, 26]. As crucial regulators of gene expression, lncRNAs can regulate neighboring genes in cis or distant genes in trans [20–23]. Moreover, lncRNAs serve as decoys for miRNAs through sequence complementarity, thereby mitigating their impact on mRNA targeting [26]. In this study, we leveraged the annotated lncRNAs in the Rice Annotation Project Database (RAP-DB) [98] and identified 948 novel IncRNAs with high confidence in rice samples using our new tool LncDC [33], significantly expanding the repertoire of known lncRNAs in rice plants. Certain miRNAs, such as *miR169* and *miR398b*, have been implicated in rice disease resistance [6, 7]. Furthermore, studies have reported differential expression of lncRNAs in response to pathogen infection in rice plants [27, 28]. However, there remains limited research on the interplay among lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs during disease resistance in rice. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to profile the expression of lncRNAs, miRNAs,

and mRNAs in a collection of defense mutants in rice plants, contributing to a better understanding of the interactions among different categories of RNAs involved in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance.

miRNAs have been implicated in various biological processes, including their role in modulating rice immunity by targeting mRNAs of defense genes [5]. Several of the DEmiRNAs we identified were defense-associated miRNAs, such as osa-miR169, osa-miR398b, and osamiR528. For instance, osa-miR169 negatively regulates rice defense against blast disease by suppressing the expression of NF-YA genes [6, 7]. The up-regulation of osa-miR169i-5p.2 in the OsRpp30-KO samples suggests that OsRpp30 may regulate rice immunity by inhibiting osa-miR169. On the contrary, osa-miR398b acts as a positive regulator in rice blast resistance by enhancing H_2O_2 production, and we observed its down-regulation in OsRpp30-KO samples [7]. Notably, miR398b exhibits opposing roles in plant defense against fungi and bacteria [5]. It promotes rice defenses against fungi but negatively affects A. thaliana immunity against bacteria. This dual function in regulating immunity regulatory mechanisms may arise from long-term competition and coevolution between plants and pathogens. Additionally, osa-miR528 serves as a negative regulator in rice resistance against viruses [51, 52]. However, we observed the up-regulation of osa-miR528-5p in the OsRpp30-OE resistant rice lines, indicating its positive regulatory function in rice immunity against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Therefore, osa-miR528 may also exhibit dual roles in plant immunity, depending on the specific pathogens involved.

Plants employ two distinct strategies for pathogen recognition: pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Activation of PTI and ETI initially triggers distinct amplitudes and dynamics of defense gene expression but eventually converges into many similar downstream responses, including the production of reactive oxygen species, activation of kinase signaling, up-regulation of hormone-associated genes, synthesis of antimicrobial compounds, and reprogramming of cell wall components [4, 28]. lncRNAs possess the ability to regulate target genes through cis or trans targeting, acting as decoys for miRNAs and indirectly influencing target gene expression. In our study, we observed that IncRNAs can modulate rice immunity at different stages by directly or indirectly regulating defense-associated genes. Notably, NBS-LRR proteins serve as key receptors for triggering ETI, either through direct interaction with pathogen effectors or indirect association. Differential expression of several NBS-LRR genes, including Pita, Os06g0707350, and Os08g0543500, was observed between OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO samples. Pita, a disease resistance gene against rice blast disease, was

found to be up-regulated in OsRpp30-OE samples [38, 39]. Our findings suggest that *Pita* is involved in the lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network and is regulated by both the miRNA *osa-miR166* and the lncRNA MSTRG.24461.2, further indicating the involvement of lncRNAs in OsRpp30-mediated rice immunity and activation of ETI.

After pathogen recognition, plant hormone pathways, including SA, JA, ABA, and ET, are activated in response to pathogen attack. However, utilizing metabolites for disease resistance incurs a fitness cost on plants, negatively impacting development and seed production [99]. The intricate hormone networks govern plant immunity by striking a balance between development and defense [5]. In our study, we identified lncRNA targets involved in regulating hormone signaling pathways. For instance, *OsACBP6*, an Acyl-CoA-binding protein associated with peroxisomal beta-oxidation, acts as a negative regulator of JA expression [61]. Our findings demonstrate the down-regulation of *OsACBP6* in OsRpp30-OE samples and reveal its targeting by lncRNAs MSTRG.5751.1, MSTRG.4506.1, and *Os05t0475550-00*.

lncRNAs play a role in regulating hormone signaling pathways through interactions among lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs. One example is Os04g0107650, a member of the ADC protein family, which has been previously demonstrated to exert negative regulation on the SA and JA pathways [81]. EUI1, an inhibitor of the GA pathway, contributes to rice immunity against bacterial blight [85]. Additionally, ACO4, an ACO protein, is involved in the biosynthesis of ET and contributes to the activation of the ET pathway [49]. Our findings reveal the down-regulation of Os04g0107650, EUI1, and ACO4 in OsRpp30-OE samples, and they serve as targets of both the miRNA osa-miR1846 and the lncRNA MSTRG.17424.2 through the ceRNA network. These results suggest that lncRNAs mediate hormone signaling pathways associated with rice immunity through *cis*, *trans*, and ceRNA network targeting mechanisms.

In addition, we observed that lncRNAs regulate certain defensive proteins with antimicrobial properties. One such protein is *Os10g0370800*, which shares similarities with a precursor involved in the biosynthesis of beta-1,3-glucanases. These glucanases are important pathogenesis-related proteins that play a crucial role in attacking pathogens, particularly fungi, by degrading their cell walls [66]. Interestingly, *Os10g0370800* exhibited down-regulation in the OsRpp30-OE samples and was identified as a *cis* target of two lncRNAs, namely MSTRG.4760.1 and MSTRG.4754.1. Another protein of interest is *OsTPS1*, a trehalose synthase that regulates the biosynthesis of trehalose. Trehalose is known to contribute to plants' stress response and disease resistance [78, 79]. In our study, we observed the up-regulation of *OsTPS1* in the OsRpp30-OE samples, and its regulation involved both the miRNA *osa-miR171d-5p* and the lncRNA MSTRG.16012.6. These findings suggest that lncRNAs may enhance rice immunity by promoting the expression of antimicrobial compounds.

Transcription factors play a critical role in regulating gene transcription, particularly in response to pathogen perception [100]. In our study, we investigated the regulatory role of lncRNAs on transcription factors. OsbZIP68, a bZIP transcription factor implicated in plant stress response, showed up-regulation in OsRpp30-OE samples and was targeted by the trans-acting lncRNA MSTRG.7147.1 [62, 63]. Another noteworthy transcription factor is OsNAC15, a member of the NAC transcription factor family, which plays a pivotal role in plant immunity. Numerous NAC transcription factors have been shown to either enhance or inhibit plant immune responses by regulating pathogenesis-related genes and hormone pathways [82, 83, 100]. In our study, we observed down-regulation of OsNAC15 in OsRpp30-OE samples, and its expression was regulated by both the miRNA osa-miR1846 and the lncRNA MSTRG.17424.2. Collectively, our findings indicate that lncRNAs can directly modulate the activity of transcription factors through trans-regulation or indirectly influence their expression by acting as miRNA decoys.

IncRNAs can regulate gene transcription by facilitating transcription factor recruitment and histone modification [10]. In our study, we observed several DElncRNAs located in proximity to the promoter regions of their *cis* targets. For instance, the lncRNA Os01t0678900-01 was found in the vicinity of the HIPP49 promoter region and positively regulated its expression through a cis-acting mechanism. HIPPs are key proteins involved in abiotic stress responses, including heavy metal stress, and they also negatively regulate disease resistance in plants [65]. The down-regulation of both Os01t0678900-01 and HIPP49 in the OsRpp30-OE samples implies that IncRNAs might modulate plant immunity by exerting regulatory effects at the promoter region of their target genes. A well-known example demonstrating lncRNAs regulating their targets through promoter interactions is the lncRNA HOTTIP, which recruits histone methyltransferase complexes to the promoter regions of its target genes, consequently leading to H3K4me3 modification and activation of transcription [20]. In addition to Os01t0678900-01, we also identified DElncRNAs MSTRG.10312.1 and MSTRG.5751.1 positioned in proximity to the promoter regions of their respective targets despite their targets not being directly associated with defense-related genes.

OsRpp30 has been previously reported to interact with the histone deacetylase *HDT701* in rice, indicating its involvement in the epigenetic regulation of rice immunity

[32, 42]. While several histone modification-associated proteins, such as HDT701, OsSET30, and SDG714, exhibited differential expression across the different rice lines, none were identified as targets of DElncRNAs. However, our analysis revealed that OsSET30 and SDG714 were targeted by miRNAs, specifically osa-miR166e-5p and osa-miR531b, respectively. Both OsSET30 and SDG714 are methyltransferases, with SDG714 specifically functioning as a histone H3K9 methyltransferase associated with transcription suppression [101]. Interestingly, OsSET30 was down-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples, while its targeting miRNA, osa-miR166e-5p, was upregulated in the same samples. Conversely, SDG714 was up-regulated in OsRpp30-KO samples, despite the downregulation of its targeting miRNA, osa-miR531b. These observations suggest a potential regulatory role of miR-NAs in histone modification during OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance.

Overall, our analysis provides comprehensive profiling of lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs in OsRpp30-OE, OsRpp30-KO, and WT samples, shedding light on the intricate interactions among these three RNA groups in the context of disease resistance. While it is important to note that the identified lncRNAs have not been validated using RT-PCR (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction) or other wet-lab approaches previously described [102], we are highly confident in the lncRNAs we identified based on the following reasons: (1) The mapping rates of sequencing reads averaged approximately 94%, indicating the high quality of our sequencing libraries. (2) We employed stringent criteria in the selection of lncRNA candidates. For instance, to minimize the false positive rate associated with single exon transcripts assembled by StringTie, we exclusively retained transcripts with two or more exons as lncRNA candidates [103]. (3) Many of the identified lncRNAs exhibited high similarity to lncRNAs present in existing databases, and some of the DElncRNAs we discovered were previously reported as disease-responsive lncRNAs in rice [15, 104]. Despite the absence of wet-lab validation, these factors collectively enhance the reliability and validity of our identified lncRNAs.

Conclusions

This study represents a comprehensive investigation of the expression profiles of lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs in a collection of defense mutants in rice, shedding new light on their roles in OsRpp30-mediated disease resistance. The results underscore the significance of lncRNAs as crucial regulators in this context, as evidenced by their differential expression patterns across OsRpp30-OE, OsRpp30-KO, and WT rice samples. These DElncRNAs exert regulatory control over DEm-RNAs that participate in pathogen recognition, hormone pathways, and other downstream responses in rice immunity by targeting them through *cis, trans,* or ceRNA networks. We anticipate that these findings will enhance our understanding of the functions of OsRpp30 and provide candidate lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs for future research aiming to validate the functional roles of these lncRNAs.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The generation of the OsRpp30-OE and OsRpp30-KO transgenic lines was described previously [32]. The seeds of two transgenic lines and the WT Nipponbare were sterilized by treatment with 75% (v/v) ethanol for 1 min, followed by immersion in 2% (w/v) NaCl for 40 min. The treated seeds were washed with sterile water and germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium for 8–9 days at 26 °C with a 12-h/12-h light/dark photoperiod. Subsequently, the seedlings were transferred to soil and grown in a growth chamber at 26 °C and 80% relative humidity with a 12-h/12-h light/dark photoperiod. The leaves of 4-week-old WT, OsRpp30-OE, and OsRpp30-KO plants were collected, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. Two biological replicates were obtained for each sample.

RNA library construction and sequencing

For mRNAs and lncRNAs, total RNA (1.5 μ g per sample) was extracted from plants in different groups. To remove rRNAs, the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA) was used following the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, six sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA). The resulting libraries were sequenced on the Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform with paired-end reads generated.

For small RNAs, a total amount of 1.5 μ g RNA per sample was used for library construction. The NEBNext Ultra Small RNA Sample Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) was employed according to the manufacturer's guidelines to generate sequencing libraries. The quality of the constructed libraries was assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina Hiseq X Ten platform with single-end reads generated.

Identification of novel IncRNAs

The raw RNA-Seq reads from WT, OsRpp30-OE, and OsRpp30-KO rice samples (two biological replicates for each sample) were subject to quality control using FastQC [105], followed by preprocessing steps that involved the removal of adapters, low-quality ends, and reads containing poly-N bases. The clean reads were then mapped to the rice reference genome IRGSP-1.0 [106]

using HISAT2 v2.2.0 [107]. Transcript assembly was performed using StringTie v2.1.2 [108]. To ensure that the assembled transcripts were expressed in our rice samples, we removed transcripts with FPKM values less than 0.1. Additionally, to minimize false positives produced by StringTie for single-exon transcripts, we retained only transcripts with a minimum of two exons for further analysis [103]. In addition, given that lncRNAs are defined as RNAs longer than 200 nts, we excluded transcripts shorter than 200 nts from the analysis.

The selected transcripts were compared with known rice gene annotations obtained from RAP-DB using Cuffcompare [98, 109]. Transcripts with Cuffcompare generated classification codes 'i' (intronic transcript), 'o' (transcript overlapped with an exon of a gene), 'x' (transcript overlapped with an exon of a gene on the opposite strand), and 'u' (intergenic transcript) were selected as lncRNA candidates. These lncRNA candidates were then subject to prediction using both LncDC v1.3.3 and Pfam v35.0 [33, 34]. Only candidates predicted as lncRNAs by both programs were considered confident IncRNAs. BLAST v2.9.0 [110] was used to compare the lncRNAs against existing lncRNA databases, including NONCODE v6, CANTATAdb v2, and RiceLncPedia [35-37]. To maintain consistency in naming, the predicted IncRNAs were assigned the prefix 'MSTRG'. Additionally, prefixes, such as 'Os', 'NONOSA', 'CNT', 'Osa', and 'TCONS' were used for lncRNAs from RAP-DB, NON-CODE, CANTATAdb, RiceLncPedia, and the study by Yu et al. [15], respectively.

In addition to the predicted novel lncRNAs, we extracted 2,063 annotated reference lncRNAs obtained from RAP-DB [98] (biotype=nontranslating_CDS, length>=200 nts) for further analyses.

Identification of miRNAs

The raw small RNA-Seq reads were subject to quality control using FastQC [105], followed by removing adapters, low-quality ends, reads containing poly-N bases, and reads shorter than 18 nts or greater than 30 nts. Subsequently, Bowtie v1.3.1 [111] was used to map the clean reads to the same rice reference genome IRGSP-1.0 [106]. The mapped reads were compared to known mature and precursor miRNAs from miRbase v22.1 [112] by miRDeep2 v0.1.3 [113]. miRDeep2 was also used for the quantification of miRNA expression levels in different samples.

Differential expression analysis of IncRNAs, mRNAs, and miRNAs

Stringtie v2.1.2 [108] was used to quantify the transcript abundance of lncRNAs and mRNAs in samples from the three rice lines. On the other hand, miRDeep2 v0.1.3 [113] was used to quantify the expression of miRNAs. The read counts data was then subject to DESeq2 [114] to identify DElncRNAs, DEmRNAs, and DEmiRNAs among the WT, OsRpp30-OE, and OsRpp30-KO samples. Differential expressions were determined based on the criteria of $|\log_2(\text{Fold Change})| > 1$ and p-adjusted value < 0.05. PCA analysis and expression plots were generated using DESeq2. Volcano plots for DElncRNAs, DEmRNAs, and DEmiRNAs were created using ggplot2 [115]. Additionally, FPKM values were calculated for DElncRNAs across different rice lines and heatmaps were generated using pheatmap (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html).

Target prediction of DEIncRNAs and construction of IncRNA-mRNA interaction network

We performed predictions for both *trans* and *cis* mRNA targets of the DElncRNAs. The correlation between DElncRNAs and DEmRNAs across all six samples was assessed by calculating Pearson's correlation coefficients using the R package psych [116]. *Trans*-targeting pairs were selected based on |R| > 0.9 and p-adjust value < 0.05. We employed LncTar to predict RNA-RNA interactions between DElncRNAs and their targeted DEmRNAs, with a criterion of normalized delta G (ndG) < -0.1 [60].

Additionally, we selected adjacent protein-coding genes within a 100 kb region upstream and downstream of DElncRNAs as their *cis* mRNA targets. The resulting interaction network, which encompasses DElncRNAs, their *trans-targeted* DEmRNAs, and *cis-targeted* DEm-RNAs was visualized using Cytoscape [117].

Enrichment analysis

We conducted GO [118] and KEGG [119] pathway enrichment analyses for DEmRNAs and the targets of DElncRNAs using g:Profiler [120]. Three GO categories were considered in the GO enrichment analysis: molecular function, cellular component, and biological process. The GO terms with an adjusted p-value<0.05 were considered significantly enriched terms.

Target prediction of DEmiRNAs and construction of IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network

We used psRNATarget and RNAhybrid (< -20 kcl/mol) to predict the interactions between DEmiRNAs and DElncRNAs as well as DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs [121, 122]. Only the targeting pairs concordantly predicted by both programs were selected for constructing the lncRNAmiRNA-mRNA ceRNA network. The constructed network was visualized using Cytoscape (https://cytoscape. org/).

List of Abbreviations

ncRNA	Long non-coding RNA
incRNA	Long intergenic non-coding RNA
niRNA	MicroRNA

ceRNA OsRop30	Competitive endogenous RNA Orvza sativa RNase P protein 30
WT	Wildtype
OsRpp30-OE	OsRpp30 overexpression
OsRpp30-KO	OsRpp30 knockout
DE	Differentially expressed
nt	Nucleotide
A. thaliana	Arabidopsis thaliana
Хоо	Xanthomonas oryzae pv. Oryzae
M. oryzae	Magnaporthe oryzae
JA	Jasmonate
SA	Salicylic acid
ET	Ethylene
GA	Gibberellin
ABA	Abscisic acid
RNA-Seq	RNA sequencing
MRE	miRNA response element
FPKM	Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped fragments
NBS-LRR	Nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat
SET	Su(var)3–9, enhancer of zeste, and trithorax
GO	Gene ontology
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
NF-YA	Nuclear factor Y-A
ACO	1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase
ADC	Arginine decarboxylase
CYP	Cytochrome P450
HIPP	Heavy metal-associated isoprenylated protein
ETI	Effector-triggered immunity
PAMP	Pathogen-associated molecular pattern
PTI	PAMP-triggered immunity

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12864-023-09748-w.

Additional file 1: Supplementary figures and tables.

Additional file 2: List of predicted IncRNAs.

Additional file 3: Sequence comparison between predicted IncRNAs and IncRNAs from other databases.

Additional file 4: List of DEIncRNAs.

Additional file 5: List of DEmRNAs.

Additional file 6: List of DEmiRNAs.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank Kimberly and John Manthei for their donation to Miami University, which supports and facilitates the opportunity for the faculty and students to participate in this project.

Authors' contributions

W.Liu, G.L.W., and C.L. designed the research and supervised the project; M.L., W.Li., and Z.L. performed the experiments and data analysis; M.L., M.Z., C.L., and G.L.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in the discussion and revision of the manuscript.

Funding

This project was supported in part by grants from the CFAES Internal Grants Program (IGP) and the President's Research Excellence Program to G.L.W. This project was also partially funded by the College of Arts and Science, the Office for the Advancement of Research & Scholarship, and the Biology Department at Miami University.

Data Availability

The raw RNA-Seq and small RNA-Seq data generated in this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) with the BioProject ID PRJNA993287.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Biology, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA
²Department of Plant Pathology, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
³Department of Microbiology and Cell Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
⁴State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China

Received: 20 July 2023 / Accepted: 17 October 2023 Published online: 26 October 2023

References

- 1. Liu W, Wang G-L. Plant innate immunity in rice: a defense against pathogen Infection. Natl Sci Rev. 2016;3:295–308.
- Bryan GT, Wu K-S, Farrall L, Jia Y, Hershey HP, McAdams SA, et al. tA single amino acid difference distinguishes resistant and susceptible alleles of the Rice Blast Resistance Gene Pi-ta. Plant Cell. 2000;12:2033–46.
- Qu S, Liu G, Zhou B, Bellizzi M, Zeng L, Dai L, et al. The Broad-Spectrum Blast Resistance Gene Pi9 encodes a nucleotide-binding site–leucine-rich repeat protein and is a Member of a Multigene Family in Rice. Genetics. 2006;172:1901–14.
- Dodds PN, Rathjen JP. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plantpathogen interactions. Nat Rev Genet. 2010;11:539–48.
- Nadarajah KK, Abdul Rahman NSN. The role of non-coding RNA in Rice Immunity. Agronomy. 2022;12:39.
- Li Y, Zhao S-L, Li J-L, Hu X-H, Wang H, Cao X-L et al. Osa-miR169 negatively regulates Rice Immunity against the Blast Fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8.
- Li Y, Cao X-L, Zhu Y, Yang X-M, Zhang K-N, Xiao Z-Y, et al. Osa-miR398b boosts H2O2 production and rice blast disease-resistance via multiple superoxide dismutases. New Phytol. 2019;222:1507–22.
- Budak H, Kaya SB, Cagirici HB. Long non-coding RNA in plants in the era of reference sequences. Front Plant Sci. 2020;11.
- Uszczynska-Ratajczak B, Lagarde J, Frankish A, Guigó R, Johnson R. Towards a complete map of the human long non-coding RNA transcriptome. Nat Rev Genet. 2018;19:535–48.
- Statello L, Guo C-J, Chen L-L, Huarte M. Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22:96–118.
- 11. Ransohoff JD, Wei Y, Khavari PA. The functions and unique features of long intergenic non-coding RNA. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19:143–57.
- 12. Heo JB, Sung S. Vernalization-mediated epigenetic silencing by a long Intronic noncoding RNA. Science. 2011;331:76–9.
- Csorba T, Questa JI, Sun Q, Dean C. Antisense COOLAIR mediates the coordinated switching of chromatin states at FLC during vernalization. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014;111:16160–5.
- Ding J, Lu Q, Ouyang Y, Mao H, Zhang P, Yao J, et al. A long noncoding RNA regulates photoperiod-sensitive male sterility, an essential component of hybrid rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2012;109:2654–9.
- Yu Y, Zhou Y, Feng Y, He H, Lian J, Yang Y, et al. Transcriptional landscape of pathogen-responsive IncRNAs in rice unveils the role of ALEX1 in jasmonate pathway and Disease resistance. Plant Biotechnol J. 2020;18:679–90.
- 16. Hadjicharalambous MR, Lindsay MA. Long non-coding RNAs and the Innate Immune Response. Non-Coding RNA. 2019;5:34.

- Castellanos-Rubio A, Fernandez-Jimenez N, Kratchmarov R, Luo X, Bhagat G, Green PHR, et al. A long noncoding RNA associated with susceptibility to celiac Disease. Science. 2016;352:91–5.
- Du M, Yuan L, Tan X, Huang D, Wang X, Zheng Z, et al. The LPS-inducible IncRNA Mirt2 is a negative regulator of inflammation. Nat Commun. 2017;8:2049.
- Wang KC, Yang YW, Liu B, Sanyal A, Corces-Zimmerman R, Chen Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA programs active chromatin domain to coordinate homeotic gene activation. Nature. 2011;472:120–4.
- Arab K, Karaulanov E, Musheev M, Trnka P, Schäfer A, Grummt I, et al. GADD45A binds R-loops and recruits TET1 to CpG island promoters. Nat Genet. 2019;51:217–23.
- Ariel F, Lucero L, Christ A, Mammarella MF, Jegu T, Veluchamy A, et al. R-Loop mediated trans action of the APOLO Long Noncoding RNA. Mol Cell. 2020;77:1055–1065e4.
- Kretz M, Siprashvili Z, Chu C, Webster DE, Zehnder A, Qu K, et al. Control of somatic tissue differentiation by the long non-coding RNA TINCR. Nature. 2013;493:231–5.
- 24. Peterson S, Thompson J, Ufkin M, Sathyanarayana P, Liaw L, Congdon CB. Common features of microRNA target prediction tools. Front Genet. 2014;5.
- O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C. Overview of MicroRNA Biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. Front Endocrinol. 2018;9.
- Hou X, Cui J, Liu W, Jiang N, Zhou X, Qi H, et al. LncRNA39026 enhances Tomato Resistance to Phytophthora infestans by decoying miR168a and inducing PR gene expression. Phytopathology[®]. 2020;110:873–80.
- 27. Zhang T, Liang Q, Li C, Fu S, Kundu JK, Zhou X, et al. Transcriptome analysis of Rice reveals the IncRNA–mRNA Regulatory Network in response to Rice Black-streaked dwarf virus Infection. Viruses. 2020;12:951.
- Wang L-L, Jin J-J, Li L-H, Qu S-H. Long non-coding RNAs responsive to Blast Fungus Infection in Rice. Rice. 2020;13:77.
- Gopalan V, Jarrous N, Krasilnikov AS. Chance and necessity in the evolution of RNase P. RNA. 2018;24:1–5.
- 30. Altman S. A view of RNase P. Mol Biosyst. 2007;3:604-7.
- 31. Jarrous N, Liu F. Human RNase P: overview of a ribonuclease of interrelated molecular networks and gene-targeting systems. RNA. 2023;29:300–7.
- Li W, Xiong Y, Lai LB, Zhang K, Li Z, Kang H, et al. The rice RNase P protein subunit Rpp30 confers broad-spectrum resistance to fungal and bacterial pathogens. Plant Biotechnol J. 2021;19:1988–99.
- Li M, Liang C. LncDC: a machine learning-based tool for long non-coding RNA detection from RNA-Seq data. Sci Rep. 2022;12:19083.
- 34. Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, et al. Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D412–9.
- Zhao Y, Li H, Fang S, Kang Y, wu W, Hao Y, et al. NONCODE 2016: an informative and valuable data source of long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:203–8. Database issue:D.
- 36. Szcześniak MW, Rosikiewicz W, Makałowska I, CANTATAdb. A Collection of Plant Long non-coding RNAs. Plant Cell Physiol. 2016;57:e8.
- 37. Zhang Z, Xu Y, Yang F, Xiao B, Li G. RiceLncPedia: a comprehensive database of rice long non-coding RNAs. Plant Biotechnol J. 2021;19:1492–4.
- Bryan GT, Wu K-S, Farrall L, Jia Y, Hershey HP, McAdams SA, et al. A single amino acid difference distinguishes resistant and susceptible alleles of the Rice Blast Resistance Gene Pi-ta. Plant Cell. 2000;12:2033–45.
- Costanzo S, Jia Y. Alternatively spliced transcripts of Pi-ta blast resistance gene in Oryza sativa. Plant Sci. 2009;177:468–78.
- Cesari S, Thilliez G, Ribot C, Chalvon V, Michel C, Jauneau A, et al. The Rice resistance protein pair RGA4/RGA5 recognizes the Magnaporthe Oryzae Effectors AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39 by direct Binding[W][OA]. Plant Cell. 2013;25:1463–81.
- Tamura Y, Hattori M, Yoshioka H, Yoshioka M, Takahashi A, Wu J, et al. Mapbased Cloning and characterization of a Brown Planthopper Resistance Gene BPH26 from Oryza sativa L. ssp. indica Cultivar ADR52. Sci Rep. 2014;4:5872.
- Ding B, Bellizzi M del, Ning R, Meyers Y, Wang BC. HDT701, a histone H4 deacetylase, negatively regulates Plant Innate immunity by modulating histone H4 acetylation of Defense-related genes in Rice. Plant Cell. 2012;24:3783–94.
- Lu X, Zhang J, Brown B, Li R, Rodríguez-Romero J, Berasategui A, et al. Inferring roles in defense from metabolic allocation of Rice Diterpenoids[OPEN]. Plant Cell. 2018;30:1119–31.

- Delteil A, Gobbato E, Cayrol B, Estevan J, Michel-Romiti C, Dievart A, et al. Several wall-associated kinases participate positively and negatively in basal defense against rice blast fungus. BMC Plant Biol. 2016;16:17.
- 45. Alam MM, Tanaka T, Nakamura H, Ichikawa H, Kobayashi K, Yaeno T, et al. Overexpression of a rice heme activator protein gene (OsHAP2E) confers resistance to pathogens, salinity and drought, and increases photosynthesis and tiller number. Plant Biotechnol J. 2015;13:85–96.
- Liu D, Chen X, Liu J, Ye J, Guo Z. The rice ERF transcription factor OsERF922 negatively regulates resistance to Magnaporthe oryzae and salt tolerance. J Exp Bot. 2012;63:3899–911.
- Tsukada Y, Fang J, Erdjument-Bromage H, Warren ME, Borchers CH, Tempst P, et al. Histone demethylation by a family of JmjC domain-containing proteins. Nature. 2006;439:811–6.
- Wang H, Li Y, Chern M, Zhu Y, Zhang L-L, Lu J-H, et al. Suppression of rice miR168 improves yield, flowering time and immunity. Nat Plants. 2021;7:129–36.
- Baldrich P, Campo S, Wu M-T, Liu T-T, Hsing Y-IC, Segundo BS. MicroRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression in the response of rice plants to fungal elicitors. RNA Biol. 2015;12:847–63.
- van Loon LC, Geraats BPJ, Linthorst HJM. Ethylene as a modulator of Disease resistance in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 2006;11:184–91.
- Yao S, Yang Z, Yang R, Huang Y, Guo G, Kong X, et al. Transcriptional regulation of miR528 by OsSPL9 orchestrates antiviral response in Rice. Mol Plant. 2019;12:1114–22.
- 52. Wu J, Yang R, Yang Z, Yao S, Zhao S, Wang Y, et al. ROS accumulation and antiviral defence control by microRNA528 in rice. Nat Plants. 2017;3:1–7.
- Tong A, Yuan Q, Wang S, Peng J, Lu Y, Zheng H, et al. Altered accumulation of osa-miR171b contributes to rice stripe virus Infection by regulating Disease symptoms. J Exp Bot. 2017;68:4357–67.
- Yang W, Fan T, Hu X, Cheng T, Zhang M. Overexpressing osa-miR171c decreases salt stress tolerance in rice. J Plant Biol. 2017;60:485–92.
- Um T, Choi J, Park T, Chung PJ, Jung SE, Shim JS, et al. Rice microRNA171f/ SCL6 module enhances drought tolerance by regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis genes. Plant Direct. 2022;6:e374.
- Talesh Sasani S, Soltani M, Mehrabi B, Fereidoun Padasht-Dehkaei R. Expression alteration of candidate Rice MiRNAs in response to Sheath Blight Disease. Iran J Biotechnol. 2020;18:e2451.
- 57. Grewal RK, Saraf S, Deb A, Kundu S. Differentially expressed MicroRNAs Link Cellular Physiology to phenotypic changes in Rice under stress conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. 2018;59:2143–54.
- Salvador-Guirao R, Hsing Y-I, San Segundo B. The Polycistronic miR166k-166 h positively regulates Rice Immunity via Post-transcriptional Control of EIN2. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:337.
- Du P, Wu J, Zhang J, Zhao S, Zheng H, Gao G, et al. Viral Infection induces expression of Novel phased MicroRNAs from conserved Cellular MicroRNA precursors. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7:e1002176.
- 60. Li J, Ma W, Zeng P, Wang J, Geng B, Yang J, et al. LncTar: a tool for predicting the RNA targets of long noncoding RNAs. Brief Bioinform. 2015;16:806–12.
- Meng W, Xu L, Du Z-Y, Wang F, Zhang R, Song X, et al. RICE ACYL-COA-BINDING PROTEIN6 affects Acyl-CoA homeostasis and growth in Rice. Rice. 2020;13:75.
- Li Z, Fu D, Wang X, Zeng R, Zhang X, Tian J, et al. The transcription factor bZIP68 negatively regulates cold tolerance in maize. Plant Cell. 2022;34:2833–51.
- Li Y, Liu W, Zhong H, Zhang H-L, Xia Y. Redox-sensitive bZIP68 plays a role in balancing stress tolerance with growth in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 2019;100:768–83.
- 64. Wu Y, Wang Q, Hillwig ML, Peters RJ. Picking sides: distinct roles for CYP76M6 and 8 in rice oryzalexin biosynthesis. Biochem J. 2013;454:209–16.
- Bentham AR, la Concepcion JCD, Mukhi N, Zdrzałek R, Draeger M, Gorenkin D, et al. A molecular roadmap to the plant immune system. J Biol Chem. 2020;295:14916–35.
- Perrot T, Pauly M, Ramírez V. Emerging roles of β-Glucanases in Plant Development and adaptative responses. Plants. 2022;11:1119.
- 67. Pandian BA, Sathishraj R, Djanaguiraman M, Prasad PVV, Jugulam M. Role of cytochrome P450 enzymes in plant stress response. Antioxidants. 2020;9:454.
- Hwang IS, Hwang BK. The Pepper 9-Lipoxygenase gene CaLOX1 functions in defense and cell death responses to Microbial pathogens. Plant Physiol. 2010;152:948–67.
- Gao X, Shim W-B, Göbel C, Kunze S, Feussner I, Meeley R, et al. Disruption of a maize 9-lipoxygenase results in increased resistance to fungal pathogens

and reduced levels of contamination with mycotoxin fumonisin. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact MPMI. 2007;20:922–33.

- Chapman KD, Dyer JM, Mullen RT. Biogenesis and functions of lipid droplets in plants. J Lipid Res. 2012;53:215–26.
- 71. Sawai S, Saito K. Triterpenoid Biosynthesis and Engineering in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2011;2.
- Castelán-Muñoz N, Herrera J, Cajero-Sánchez W, Arrizubieta M, Trejo C, García-Ponce B, et al. MADS-Box Genes Are Key Components of Genetic Regulatory Networks Involved in Abiotic Stress and plastic developmental responses in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:853.
- Khong GN, Pati PK, Richaud F, Parizot B, Bidzinski P, Mai CD, et al. OsMADS26 negatively regulates resistance to pathogens and Drought Tolerance in Rice1[OPEN]. Plant Physiol. 2015;169:2935–49.
- Ellis C, Karafyllidis I, Wasternack C, Turner JG. The Arabidopsis Mutant cev1 links cell Wall Signaling to Jasmonate and Ethylene responses. Plant Cell. 2002;14:1557–66.
- Hernández-Blanco C, Feng DX, Hu J, Sánchez-Vallet A, Deslandes L, Llorente F, et al. Impairment of Cellulose Synthases required for Arabidopsis secondary cell wall formation enhances Disease Resistance. Plant Cell. 2007;19:890–903.
- Arruda P, Barreto P. Lysine catabolism through the Saccharopine pathway: enzymes and intermediates involved in plant responses to Abiotic and biotic stress. Front Plant Sci. 2020;11:587.
- Návarová H, Bernsdorff F, Döring A-C, Zeier J. Pipecolic Acid, an endogenous mediator of defense amplification and priming, is a critical Regulator of Inducible Plant Immunity. Plant Cell. 2012;24:5123–41.
- Li H-W, Zang B-S, Deng X-W, Wang X-P. Overexpression of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene OsTPS1 enhances abiotic stress tolerance in rice. Planta. 2011;234:1007–18.
- MacIntyre AM, Meline V, Gorman Z, Augustine SP, Dye CJ, Hamilton CD, et al. Trehalose increases tomato drought tolerance, induces defenses, and increases resistance to bacterial wilt Disease. PLoS ONE. 2022;17:e0266254.
- Tiwari M, Sharma D, Singh M, Tripathi RD, Trivedi PK. Expression of OsMATE1 and OsMATE2 alters development, stress responses and pathogen susceptibility in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2014;4:3964.
- Rossi FR, Marina M, Pieckenstain FL. Role of Arginine decarboxylase (ADC) in Arabidopsis thaliana defence against the pathogenic bacterium Pseudomonas viridiflava. Plant Biol. 2015;17:831–9.
- Nuruzzaman M, Sharoni AM, Kikuchi S. Roles of NAC transcription factors in the regulation of biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. Front Microbiol. 2013;4:248.
- Nakashima K, Tran L-SP, Van Nguyen D, Fujita M, Maruyama K, Todaka D, et al. Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. Plant J. 2007;51:617–30.
- Zhan J, Zou W, Li S, Tang J, Lu X, Meng L, et al. OsNAC15 regulates tolerance to Zinc Deficiency and Cadmium by binding to OsZIP7 and OsZIP10 in Rice. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:11771.
- Yang D-L, Li Q, Deng Y-W, Lou Y-G, Wang M-Y, Zhou G-X, et al. Altered Disease Development in the eui mutants and Eui overexpressors indicates that Gibberellins negatively regulate Rice basal Disease Resistance. Mol Plant. 2008;1:528–37.
- Lai LB, Vioque A, Kirsebom LA, Gopalan V. Unexpected diversity of RNase P, an ancient tRNA processing enzyme: challenges and prospects. FEBS Lett. 2010;584:287–96.
- 87. Drainas D. Antibiotics and RNase P. Antibiotics. 2016;5:15.
- Newhart A, Powers SL, Shastrula PK, Sierra I, Joo LM, Hayden JE, et al. RNase P protein subunit Rpp29 represses histone H3.3 nucleosome deposition. Mol Biol Cell. 2016;27:1154–69.
- Abu-Zhayia ER, Khoury-Haddad H, Guttmann-Raviv N, Serruya R, Jarrous N, Ayoub N. A role of human RNase P subunits, Rpp29 and Rpp21, in homology directed-repair of double-strand breaks. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1002.
- Molla-Herman A, Vallés AM, Ganem Elbaz C, Antoniewski C, Huynh J. tRNA processing defects induce replication stress and Chk2 dependent disruption of piRNA transcription. EMBO J. 2015;34:3009–27.
- Martelli MP, Rossi R, Venanzi A, Meggendorfer M, Perriello VM, Martino G, et al. Novel NPM1 exon 5 mutations and gene fusions leading to aberrant cytoplasmic nucleophosmin in AML. Blood. 2021;138:2696–701.
- Li G, Zhai Y, Liu H, Wang Z, Huang R, Jiang H, et al. RPP30, a transcriptional regulator, is a potential pathogenic factor in glioblastoma. Aging. 2020;12:16155–71.

- Hernandez-Cid A, Aguirre-Sampieri S, Diaz-Vilchis A, Torres-Larios A. Ribonucleases P/MRP and the Expanding Ribonucleoprotein World. IUBMB Life. 2012;64:521–8.
- 94. Jarrous N. Roles of RNase P and its subunits. Trends Genet. 2017;33:594-603.
- 95. Wu H, Yang L, Chen L-L. The diversity of long noncoding RNAs and their generation. Trends Genet. 2017;33:540–52.
- Wilusz JE, Freier SM, Spector DL. 3' end Processing of a Long Nuclear-retained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA. Cell. 2008;135:919–32.
- 97. Yang L, Altman S. A noncoding RNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is an RNase P substrate. RNA. 2007;13:682–90.
- Sakai H, Lee SS, Tanaka T, Numa H, Kim J, Kawahara Y, et al. Rice Annotation Project Database (RAP-DB): an integrative and interactive database for Rice Genomics. Plant Cell Physiol. 2013;54:e6.
- Denancé N, Sánchez-Vallet A, Goffner D, Molina A. Disease resistance or growth: the role of plant hormones in balancing immune responses and fitness costs. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4.
- 100. Falak N, Imran QM, Hussain A, Yun B-W. Transcription factors as the Blitzkrieg of Plant Defense: a pragmatic view of nitric oxide's role in Gene Regulation. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:522.
- Ding Y, Wang X, Su L, Zhai J, Cao S, Zhang D, et al. SDG714, a histone H3K9 methyltransferase, is involved in Tos17 DNA methylation and transposition in Rice. Plant Cell. 2007;19:9–22.
- 102. Shin S-Y, Jeong JS, Lim JY, Kim T, Park JH, Kim J-K, et al. Transcriptomic analyses of rice (Oryza sativa) genes and non-coding RNAs under nitrogen Starvation using multiple omics technologies. BMC Genomics. 2018;19:532.
- 103. Sahraeian SME, Mohiyuddin M, Sebra R, Tilgner H, Afshar PT, Au KF, et al. Gaining comprehensive biological insight into the transcriptome by performing a broad-spectrum RNA-seq analysis. Nat Commun. 2017;8:59.
- 104. Tian D, Yang F, Niu Y, Lin Y, Chen Z, Li G, et al. Loss function of SL (sekiguchi lesion) in the rice cultivar Minghui 86 leads to enhanced resistance to (hemi) biotrophic pathogens. BMC Plant Biol. 2020;20:507.
- Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/ fastqc/. Accessed 28 Jun 2021.
- 106. Sasaki T. The map-based sequence of the rice genome. Nature. 2005;436:793–800.
- Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37:907–15.
- Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang T-C, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33:290–5.
- 109. Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc. 2012;7:562–78.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215:403–10.
- Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. 2009;10:R25.
- 112. Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:D155–62.
- 113. Friedländer MR, Mackowiak SD, Li N, Chen W, Rajewsky N. miRDeep2 accurately identifies known and hundreds of novel microRNA genes in seven animal clades. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:37–52.
- 114. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of Fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:550.
- 115. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2016.
- 116. Revelle W. Psych: procedures for psychological, psychometric, and Personality Research. Northwestern University; 2022.
- 117. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. Genome Res. 2003;13:2498–504.
- 118. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet. 2000;25:25–9.
- 119. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28:27–30.
- 120. Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, Arak T, Adler P, Peterson H, et al. G:profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:W191–8.

and flexible. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34 Web Server issue:W451-4.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.